Parkside Yeronga

Conceptual Engineering Services Report

Prepared for: Economic Development Queensland (EDQ)

Date: 14/02/2022 Prepared by: Anthony Raadschelders Ref: 301048272

Stantec Australia Pty Ltd Level 3, 52 Merivale St, South Brisbane QLD 4101 Tel: +61 7 3811 4500 Web: www.Stantec.com

WGE-BRI-FS-01/PROJECTS/301048272/PROJECT DOCUMENTATION/CIVIL/DOCUMENTS & REPORTS/DA REPORTS/ENGINEERING SERVICES REPORT/301048272/RE-01_D - YERONGA ENGINEERING REPORT DOCX



Revision

Revision	Date	Comment	Prepared By	Approved By
A	08/09/2021	Draft – Issued for Comment	AR	AR
В	15/9/2021	Issued for Approval	AR	AR
С	11/11/2021	Draft Further Issues Response	AR	AR
D	14/02/2022	Further Issues Response	AR	AR

 Site Address:
 70 Park Road, Yeronga Q 4104

 Real Property Description:
 Lot 3 on SP 300888

 Proposed Development:
 PDA Preliminary Approval for a material change of use and a PDA Development

 Permit for a reconfiguring a lot (1 into 11 lots, easements and road).

Client: Authority: Stantec Reference: Economic Development Queensland Minister for Economic Development Queensland 301048272

Anthony Raadschelders RPEQ 19881 For and on behalf of **Stantec Australia**

Document Use and Context

This report has been prepared by Stantec in the context of the purposes as specified within the report. Stantec do not warrant its use for any other purpose other than those outlined. Stantec have prepared this report for the exclusive use of the Client in accordance with the respective Terms of Agreement of our engagement by the Client. Stantec accepts no liability or responsibility whatsoever for, or in respect of, any use, or reliance upon, this report by any third party. The report shall be read and used in its entirety to establish and understand the context of the assumptions and findings within. Stantec does not accept any responsibility for use of any part of this report in any other context. Stantec have established this report based on various sources of information provided by the client and project team. Stantec accepts no responsibility as the accuracy of the supplied information and unless noted otherwise in the report, Stantec has not attempted to verify the completeness of such information. It is assumed the information supplied is current and accurate at the time of issue. If the supplied information is found to be incomplete, false, outdated or inaccurate, then the conclusions and recommendations of the report may change.

Contents

1.	Introduction	1
1.1	Further Issues Response	1
2.	Purpose and Constraints	2
2.1 2.2	Purpose Constraints	22
3.	Existing Site Characteristics	3
3.1	Property Detail	3
3.2 3.3 3.4	Existing Site Conditions Proposed Development Topography	3 3 4
4.	Civil Engineering	5
4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4	Road Access Filling and Excavation Environmental Considerations Stormwater Management	5 5 6 7
5.	Utilities	8
5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5	Water Supply Sewerage Connection Electrical Telecommunications Gas	8 9 11 12 13
6.	Conclusion	14
Apper	ndix A – Proposed Plan of Subdivision	15
Apper	ndix B – Proposed Indicative Masterplan	16
Apper	ndix C – Site survey	17
Apper	ndix D – Civil Engineering Plan Set	18



Design with community in mind



Appendix E - Erosion Hazard Assessment	19
Appendix F - Acid Sulfate Soils Assessment	20
Appendix G – Demand Calculations	21
Appendix H – Urban Utilities Service Advice Notice	22
Appendix I – Services Records	23



Design with community in mind

1. Introduction

Stantec Australia (Stantec) have been commissioned by the Economic Development Queensland (EDQ) to prepare this Engineering Services Report in conjunction with an application for a PDA Preliminary Approval for a material change of use and a PDA Development Permit for a reconfiguring a lot (1 into 11 lots, easements and road) on the site located at 70 Park Rd, Yeronga.

This report provides a framework for addressing site engineering constraints, and conceptual servicing strategies to support the Parkside Yeronga proposal. The framework will demonstrate strategies to achieve compliance with the relevant State and EDQ standards and requirements as appropriate, to ensure that the development can be adequately serviced and result in no discernible amenity or environmental impact.

1.1 Further Issues Response

The Applicant received a Further Issues Letter from Economic Development Queensland Development Assessment dated 26 October 2021. This report has been revised to reflect the items raised. Specifically:

Item 4a - *Resubmit all Civil Engineering Plan contained in Appendix D of the Conceptual ESR, prepared by Stantec, dated 15 Sept 2021 to be certified by a RPEQ.*

Item 4b - The proposal seeks to re-align the existing private sewerage of the Yeronga Secondary State School site. Provide the adjoining property owner written consent to carry out the proposed private sanitary realignment works within their land. Alternatively, the application shall provide an amended plan shown the proposed extent of the sewer within the site, up to where the existing private sanitary drainage crosses the property boundary.

Item 4c - *Provide preliminary sewer long sections demonstrating that the proposed network can achieve minimum standard grades, covers and clearances with other existing and proposed infrastructure.*

Item 4d - The Combined Service Plan – Conceptual Masterplan, drawing number CI-700-P02, rev A, prepared by Stantec, dated 15 September 2021, shows a sewer connection to Lot 7. Demonstrate how Lots 7, 8 and 9 will be independently connected to the water and sewerage networks, either by separate direct connections to the public networks within the road or through private services on private land under services easement.

Item 4e - The proposal does not provide water connections to Lots 12 & 13 (green spine). Given this is a green spine, a water connection to these Lots should be considered for irrigation purposes.

Item 4f - The ESR should confirm the purpose of lots 12 and 13 and that connection to the sewerage network is not required. That is, amenities such as drink fountains that require sewerage connection is not proposed.

Item 4g - The Combined Service Plan – Conceptual Master plan, drawing number CI-700-P02, rev A, prepared by Stantec, dated 15 September 2021, shows a water reticulation section through Lot 1 to service Lot 11 which is not acceptable. Amend the services plan to remove the section of the water main shown within Lot 1.



2. Purpose and Constraints

2.1 Purpose

The purpose of this Concept Engineering Report is to outline a framework for the provision of services to the proposed development and demonstrate the principles which will be adopted regarding Earthworks, Roads, Water, Sewer, Underground Power and Communications and Stormwater Management on the site.

This Report specifically addresses the following in a preliminary format:

- Earthworks & Erosion Control
- Road and Footpath Network
- Sewer Reticulation
- Water Reticulation
- Stormwater Drainage
- Underground Power and Communications

2.2 Constraints

Key constraints for the proposed development include the following:

- The proposed development is to be serviced with reticulated water, sewer, power and telecommunications,
- The proposed development is to establish safe vehicular, cyclist and pedestrian access to and from the site;
- The proposed development is not to produce adverse hydraulic impacts on adjacent properties during the construction, maintenance and operational phases of the development,
- Stormwater discharging from the site is to be at acceptable discharge levels and quality; and
- All reasonable and practical measures must be taken to minimise or prevent environmental harm.



3. Existing Site Characteristics

3.1 Property Detail

Address:	70 Park Road, Yeronga 4104
Real Property Description:	Lot 3 on SP 300888
Total Site Area:	31,229m² (3.123ha)

For the purpose of the development application, the Parkside Yeronga site relates to all land within the Yeronga Priority Development Area. In broad terms, the site can be described as the land bounded by Villa Street, Park Street, the railway line and Yeronga State School. This is pictured in Figure 3-1 and Figure 3-2 below.



Figure 3-1: PDA Boundary (Source: DSDMIP, 2018)



Figure 3-2: Site Location Plan (Source: Nearmap Australia 2018)

3.2 Existing Site Conditions

The site was formerly occupied by the Yeronga TAFE until it closed in 2010. All buildings on the site have been demolished and the site cleared. However, for the purposes of assessing development impacts, the site's former use should be considered as the baseline position.

3.3 Proposed Development

The proposal seeks a PDA Preliminary Approval for a material change of use and a PDA Development Permit for a reconfiguring a lot (1 into 11 lots, easements and road). The proposed Plan of Subdivision is included in Appendix A.

The proposal seeks a PDA preliminary approval for a material change of use in accordance with a masterplan for the development of 4 precincts as follows:

- 1. Residential Precinct
- 2. Community Precinct
- 3. Commercial Precinct
- 4. Open Space Precinct

The land uses sought in each precinct are outlined within Table 3-1 below.



Residential Precinct	Community Precinct	Commercial Precinct	Open Space Precinct
Care co-located uses	Childcare centre	Childcare centre	Park
worship)	Community care centre	Community care centre	
Dwelling house	Community use	Food and drink outlet	
Dual occupancy	Food and drink outlet	Health care service	
Multiple dwelling	Shop	Office	
Park		Shop	
Residential care facility			
Retirement facility			

Table 3-1: Precinct land uses

The PDA Development Permit for reconfiguring a lot (1 into 11 lots, easements and road) will be undertaken in the following stages:

- Stage 1: 3 lot subdivision to establish lots 1, 11 easements and balance lot (lot 500)
- Stage 2a: 8 lot subdivision of balance lot (lot 500) to establish lots 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and easements
- Stage 2b: volumetric easements (Public Plaza)

There will be subsequent stages for separate applications associated with Material Change of Use applications for each of the newly created lots (not part of this application).

The proposed Masterplan in Appendix B has been used to develop a potential composition. This will demonstrate the proposed servicing has adequate capacity to support the proposed development.

For the purposes of assessing capacity of the infrastructure to support the development, the following demand units have been utilised:

- 38 attached residential dwellings
- 78 residential units
- 178 Retirement Living units
- 6000m² GFA commercial use
- 730m² GFA Community Use
- Recreational areas including community gardens

It is noted that the Masterplan layout is illustrative of the intended development but is subject to design development and associated approvals. Therefore, depicted civil works, should be interpreted as pictorial only, and not necessarily an engineering requirement.

3.4 Topography

The sites ground level prior to the demolition of the existing improvements ranged from a minimum of 5.5m AHD adjacent to the rail line embankment, to a maximum ground level of 22.3m AHD on Villa St. The site generally grades from the southern boundary to the northern boundary. The topography is characterised as steep or retained towards Villa St, with general grade on average of 8% towards the railway line. Batters are located adjacent to the railway line adjacent to the stormwater inlet pit. The Pre-demolition Detailed Feature Survey drawing prepared by RPS has been included in Appendix C. This survey was of the existing campus. It is noted that the site has now been demolished.

4. Civil Engineering

4.1 Road Access

The proposed development lot layout by Wolters Consulting (in Appendix A) illustrates that the internal road is proposed to be dedicated to the local Council (Brisbane City Council). It will provide access to all the proposed sites contained within the Yeronga Parkside development. The internal road network is accessible from Park Rd with the provision of two new unsignalised intersections. The intersections shall be designed generally in accordance with the relevant standards as described in the relevant site Traffic Report under separate cover. The southern intersection is proposed to be left in/ left out only, with the northern intersection providing both ingress and egress access to the site in both directions to Park Rd (all movements).

Shared driveways have been proposed to Lots 10 and 11, and Lots 6 and 7 to allow access within the development off the proposed internal road. Crossovers shall generally be provided in accordance with the Brisbane City Council standards, with the shared driveways to be constructed as part of the future works associated the relevant future application for the subsequent development of the respective lots.

Minimum 1.5m wide footpaths are proposed on at least one side of all internal roads, and a 1.8m wide upgraded footpaths are proposed along the existing Park Rd and Villa St road frontages. Street tree planting is proposed as a minimum streetscape treatment. It is noted that the Green Spine proposed on Lot 8 is proposed to incorporate landscaping and pathways. The adjacent verge is a minimum 2.5m wide and will incorporate similar treatments at the adjacent Green Spine to provide a consistent experience and appearance.

New pavements will be designed and constructed in accordance with BCC City Plan 2014.

4.2 Filling and Excavation

Earthworks associated with the Masterplan are expected to consist of bulk earthworks for construction of building pads, roads, and services as required. During the Stage 2, the roads, Park, Drainage Reserve and overland flow paths will be established. Retaining walls are expected to be limited to preservation/ replacement of existing walls were located and are identified as not suitable for retention (condition, support conditions changes, etc). While some earthworks may be undertaken over the proposed lot areas to minimise export/ import of fill from/ to the subject site – majority of the earthworks on these sites will be undertaken as part of their subsequent future applications.

A conceptual earthworks model has been undertaken to inform the approximate finished earthworks levels of the master lots and road grading. They indicate the potential volumes and extents of the bulk earthworks that may be required as part of the Yeronga Parkside development proposal. The Conceptual Masterplan Earthworks Plan in Appendix D shows the likely depths of fill and cut associated with these bulk earthworks, and their respective areas within the site. Note, this does not illustrate detailed finish surface levels, purely bulk earthworks, as such, temporary batters are shown in some areas to allow for future built forms and/or retaining.

The proposed earthworks will need to ensure the overland flow external to the site is managed appropriately to ensure it does not impact areas external to the site or the rail infrastructure. Section 4.4 outlines the respective measures proposed to address this.

It is noted that the flood storage available on the subject site for the 1% AEP flooded extent associated with the Brisbane River flooding is considered to have a negligible impact/ influence of the downstream properties. However, irrespective, the development is seeking to maintain the existing flood storage volume in the base line case up to the Defined Flood Level. Filling above existing surface levels is proposed to be minimised where below the Defined Flood Level.

Temporary batters may be required as part of the subdivision of the site, prior to the subsequent development of the created lots. These batters will be at safe long-term slopes, and stabilised in accordance with International Erosion Control Association Australiasia (IECA) guidelines and EDQ PDA Guideline 13.

There is an existing approval over the subject site (EDQ Ref: DEV2019/1051/4) for Operational Works (clearing and excavation and filling over part of the site) and a 1 into 2 Reconfiguring a Lot. Under this approval the site has been



cleared of existing buildings and improvements, and vegetation within the proposed footprint of the masterplan has been substantially cleared. Further, within this footprint, existing soils which were contaminated with asbestos containing materials (ACM) have been removed and replaced as structural filling. It is noted that there is potential for unknown structures, pits, services and other contaminated objects to exist within the site that will only be discovered as the bulk earthworks and underground infrastructure works are undertaken. It is further noted that earthworks are anticipated to occur in Lot 4, adjacent to the railway corridor. The works may include removal, remediation and replacement under appropriate supervision by a geotechnical engineer, capping of contaminated soils, preparation of landscaping areas, including improvement or replacement with imported topsoils. These works would be undertaken strictly under approved management plans and strict supervision by a suitably qualified geotechnical engineer to ensure that potential for impacts to the railway corridor and their associated embankment and utilities are managed. It is noted that proposed lots and road (with the exception of Lot 4) have been cleared of known ACM.

As a part of the further issues response, a Flood Impact Assessment has been completed by Water Technology Pty Ltd, dated 10 October 2022. This assessment has established a number of minimum site levels for flood immunity as shown in drawing CI-520-P01 (in Appendix D).

4.3 Environmental Considerations

4.3.1 Erosion and Sediment Control

Stantec have undertaken an Erosion Hazard Assessment utilised the Brisbane City Council form. The proposed works for Stage 2 has been identified as a High Erosion Hazard Risk.

Therefore, an Erosion and Sediment Control programme will be developed in accordance with International Erosion Control Association Australiasia (IECA) guidelines and EDQ PDA Guideline 13. The design shall be prepared by a suitably qualified consultant, and shall address in particular:

- Upstream catchment conveyance from the existing Regional Park, Villa St and Yeronga State School
- Large areas of disturbance
- Protection of the rail corridor

4.3.2 Acid Sulfate Soils

The subject site is located within the Potential and Actual Acid Sulfate Soils (ASS) Overlay within the Brisbane City Plan 2014. Refer to Figure 4-1 for the respective overlay extents.





Figure 4-1: Potential and Actual Acid Sulfate Soils shown Brown (Source: Brisbane City Plan 2014)

Butler Partners have undertaken an Acid Sulfate Soils Assessment for the site. They concluded that soils encountered in their 26 test locations include zones that are acidic, but are considered to mainly comprise residual soils that be been derived from deep in place weathered rock. Based on their visual assessment, site geology, and the surface elevation, the soils are <u>not</u> considering to be ASS. Further, there was no potential for generation of additional acidity due to oxidsation of pyrite. As such, an Acid Sulfate Soils Management Plan is not required.

It was noted that due to the presence of some acidic soils, it is recommended that any stormwater discharge leaving the site should be checked prior to discharge to ensure the pH is within the range of 6.5pH to 8.5pH units, or treated to achieve this range prior to discharge.

4.4 Stormwater Management

As a part of the further issues response, an updated Site Based Stormwater Management Plan (SBSMP) has been prepared by Stantec dated 10 February 2022 and is submitted as a separate report to illustrate that all appropriate measures have been taken to ensure site pre-development discharge levels are not exceeded in the post-development scenario and flooding is mitigated up to and during a 100-year storm event. As a part of the further issues response, a Flood Impact Assessment has been completed by Water Technology Pty Ltd, dated 10 October 2022. The SBSMP has been updated to reflect the findings of this assessment.

By examining contours of the surrounding area, it has been established there is an external catchment that affects the site. The impact of this catchment and how it will be accommodated is examined further in the aforementioned SBSMP. Flooding issues within or relating to the site, and all stormwater quantity and quality issues are addressed in accordance with relevant EDQ Guidelines, or their referred standards, ie Brisbane City Council relevant Planning Scheme Policies.

It is proposed to construct new stormwater pit and pipe infrastructure within the site to convey the stormwater runoff to the Lawful Point of Discharge in accordance with the requirements of the Queensland Urban Drainage Manual (2017). Major storm overland flow paths are expected to generally be the internal roadways and proposed overland flow paths/ drains. An indicative conceptual stormwater management strategy is included in Appendix D.

It is further proposed to reduce the frequency of overland flow entering the site, by upgrading and realigning the existing BCC stormwater pipe that traverses the site to a nominally 1200mm stormwater pipe (it is currently an existing 525 /



600mm pipe). The inlet pits in Villa St would require associated upgrading to accommodate additional inlet capacity. This relief drainage line will allow the existing trapped sag in Villa St to drain and improve the existing overland flow conditions, to achieve the Level of Serviceability for Villa St as defined in QUDM.

5. Utilities

5.1 Water Supply

5.1.1 Demand

The development site demands have been determined in accordance with the water design standards as detailed in the Southeast Queensland Water Supply and Sewerage Design & Construction Code (SEQ WS&S D&C Code) dated 1 February 2020 based on the indicative Masterplan as described in Section 3.3.

The existing water demands were determined in accordance with Table 4.1 - Water Network Design Criteria and Appendix A4 – Queensland Urban Utilities within the SEQCode. The existing uses were utilised in reference to their category as per the SEQCode. The assessed flows have been included in Appendix G, and summarised in the below tables.

Source	Equivalent Persons	Average Day Demand	Peak Hour Demand
	(EP)	AD (I/s)	PH (I/s)
Subject Site (Former TAFE)	56	0.15	0.42

Table 5-1: Existing Water Demand Assessment

The required demand associated with the proposed development has been assessed in accordance with the SEQCode. The assessment is included in Appendix G, and summarised in Table 5-2.

Source	Equivalent	Average Day Demand	Peak Hour Demand
	Persons (EP)	AD (I/s)	PH (l/s)
Subject Site (Parkside Yeronga)	555	1.67	5.29

Table 5-2: Proposed Water Demand Assessment

A Service Advice Notice was requested from Urban Utilities (UU) in conjunction with the proposed development. Their responsive Service Advice Notice is included in Appendix H. It is noted that UU assessed higher flows than those estimated using SEQCode methods. They clarified that they used area specific data based on their data.

5.1.2 Water Capacity

The proposed development is increasing the demand for water on the surrounding network. UU were engaged to undertake a water network assessment of the proposed development and impacts on the existing network. UU confirmed that there is inadequate capacity in the existing water network, and augmentation works would be required to the existing network to achieve the required fire-fighting flows.

5.1.3 Reticulation System Requirements

A new water reticulation network is proposed within the proposed development to supply each of the proposed parcels as illustrated in drawing CI-700-P01 in Appendix D. It will be designed and constructed in accordance the SEQCode and dedicated to UU. It is proposed to be a DN180 PE water main, with associated hydrants, scours and isolation valves as necessary. Two connections to the external network in Park Rd are proposed to provide the required redundancy.



Each parcel will be serviced and metered individually from this UU main to the requirements of UU as conditioned as part of their future separation applications for their subsequent development. It is noted that in addition to the municipal main, the proposed uses and buildings on the proposed parcels may require private firefighting requirements in accordance with the Building Regulations and Queensland Fire and Emergency Services (QFES) as appropriate. These shall be determined based on in-situ testing following construction of the proposed municipal assets. It is noted that:

- Lot 7 is proposed to be supplied by a water service within the road reserve, terminating at the boundary Lot 8. A private water main will extend through Lot 8, to supply Lot 7. The main within Lot 8 will be contained in an easement for the purposes of services in favour of Lot 7.
- Lot 8 (green spine) is intended to be a landscaped setting featuring hard and soft finishes. While amenities such as garden hose taps and water drinking fountains are likely outcomes. A single standard DN20/25 service is proposed for the Green Spine lot.

As noted in Section 5.1.2, it has been demonstrated that augmentation works are required to support the proposed development. UU investigated 3 options for augmentation works. It is proposed to upgrade the existing network in Ovendean St to a DN180PE water main and provide a cross connection to the Park Rd water reticulation network South of the railway line via Park Rd under the existing rail bridge. The Concept Augmentation is included in Appendix D. This proposal in generally in accordance with UU's preferred Option 1 augmentation strategy.

5.2 Sewerage Connection

5.2.1 Existing Sewerage Flows

The development site demands have been determined in accordance with the Design Criteria as detailed in the South East Queensland Water Supply and Sewerage Design & Construction Code (SEQCode) dated 1 February 2020.

The existing sewerage flows were determined in accordance with Table 10 – Sewerage Network Design Criteria and Appendix A4 – Queensland Urban Utilities within the SEQCode. The existing uses were utilised in reference to their category as per the SEQCode. The assessed flows have been included in Appendix G, and summarised below.

Similarly, an assessment was undertaken of the sewerage catchment external to the site that is contributing to the manhole receiving the subject site's sewage (QUU MH Ref: 122778), and further downstream where it connects to the 225mm diameter trunk sewerage network near the Ovendean St intersection with Park Rd (QUU MH:186913). The calculated EP at each of the following locations in the existing condition, as calculated in Appendix G, are summarised in Table 5-3.

Source	Equivalent Persons (EP)
Subject Site (Former TAFE)	56
External Catchments	
Upstream - (Yeronga State High School)	178
External Catchment discharging towards the rail line crossing on Park Rd	78

Table 5-3: Existing Catchment Assessment

The respective Peak Wet Weather Flows (PWWF) were calculated based on Table 10 of the SEQCode Design Criteria and summarised in Table 5-4. In accordance with the SEQCode, the pipe capacity of the existing connection to the sewerage network at each of the respective locations were assessed as if operating at a 75% flow depth. To allow for some uncertainty, assessed grades for capacity were the minimum allowable grades. Pipe capacities were assessed against the PWWF flows calculated based on the above catchment assessment. The baseline flows (210 L/EP/d) were utilised for this calculation as the network is existing and not a Nusewer network. It is noted that where QUU mapping is silent, minimum allowable pipe grades have been assumed, and marked with a [†].



Location	Description	EP	PWWF (l/s)	Pipe Capacity (I/s)	Adequate Capacity
1	Site sewerage property connection (150dia.)	56	0.68	14.1 @ 1%†	Yes
2	150mm diameter existing sewerage network (MH122778)	312	3.80	28.56 @ 4.1%	Yes
3	225mm diameter trunk sewerage line (MH186913)	312	3.80	22.78 @ 0.3%	Yes

Table 5-4: Existing Sewerage Flows and capacity assessment

5.2.2 Proposed Sewerage Flows

The flows for the proposed development were calculated based on the development scheme in Section 3.3. The demand for the proposed development was assessed as 555 EP, which is calculated in Appendix G.

A Service Advice Notice was requested from Urban Utilities (UU) in conjunction with the proposed development. Their responsive Service Advice Notice is included in Appendix H. It is noted that UU assessed higher flows than those estimated using SEQCode methods. They clarified that they used area specific data based on their data.

5.2.3 Sewerage Network Capacity

Similar to the existing demand, the resultant flows at each of the locations proximate to the site were assessed against the estimated pipe capacity. The assessment is summarised below.

Location	Description	EP	PWWF	Pipe Capacity	Adequate
			(I/s)	(I/s)	Capacity
1	Subject site sewerage flows	555	6.07	N/A	N/A
2	150mm diameter existing sewerage network	811	9.18	28.56 @	Yes
	(MH122778)			4.1%	
3	225mm diameter trunk sewerage line	811	9.18	22.78 @	Yes
	(MH186913)			0.3%	

Table 5-5: Proposed Flows and capacity assessment

It is noted that it is proposed to extend the existing sewerage reticulation network into the proposed development to provide connection to service each internal parcel, and the existing 150mm dia. property connection (Location 1) will be abandoned.

The proposed development is increasing the estimated sewerage flows on the surrounding network. UU were engaged to undertake a sewer network assessment of the proposed development, and it impacts on the existing network. UU confirmed that there is <u>adequate</u> capacity in the existing sewerage network, and augmentation works are not required to the existing network.

5.2.4 Reticulation System Requirements

The Parkside Yeronga development sewerage reticulation will be a gravity network which will connect into the existing UU sewer network via the existing sewer manhole along Park Road (MH122778). The natural topography of the site and levelled distances across the site is sufficient to allow an internal gravity sewer network, and as such, no municipal sewer pump stations are proposed. The proposed sewerage reticulation network shall be designed in accordance with the SEQCode and dedicated to UU. This proposed reticulation network can be seen in drawings CI-700-P01 and CI-702-L02 in Appendix D.

The existing property connection to the subject site will be abandoned, as the new internal network will provide service to each respective parcel.



The Yeronga State School currently drains via an existing combined sanitary drain that traverses the subject site. This sewer is required to be abandoned and removed. The proposed QUU sewerage reticulation network will be extended to the property boundary. It is proposed to undertake the appropriate works to direct the existing private sanitary drainage within the Yeronga State High School (YSHS) to the new sewerage property connection for their sanitary drainage. This will be subject to the relevant plumbing approvals and owners' consent for the works in the adjacent land.

5.3 Electrical

5.3.1 Maximum Demand

An approximate maximum demand has been calculated for the site using typical W/m2 values and kVA per apartment methodologies based on the assumed indicative masterplan described in Section 3.3. It is expected the site will be supplied with dedicated transformers per lot (for lots with higher electrical load demands) and transformers on common land/easements to supply multiple lots (for lots with lower electrical load demands). The anticipated groupings of these lots are shown in Table 5-6 below.

Padmount Transformer	Lots	Anticipated Transformer size
1	Lots 2, 3 & 6	500kVA
2	Lots 12, 13 & 789	750kVA
3	Lots 1, 10 & 11	1000kVA

Table 5-6 - Preliminary Maximum Demand

5.3.2 Electrical Supply

The subject site has existing overhead power infrastructure along its Villa St frontage, and the southern portion of its Park Rd frontage. The existing overhead lines running adjacent to Park Road are on the opposite side of the road to the development site. The former site's high voltage feed was from overhead power in Villa St and has since been disconnected and recovered as part of the demolition activities. Appendix I contains the relevant existing surrounding power infrastructure from the utility provider's (Energex) asset mapping via the Dial Before You Dig enquiry.

The Energex 11kV Feeder established around the site is MRK6, which, per the 2019 Energex Distribution Annual Planning Report 11kV Feeder forecasts, there is spare capacity available for this feeder in excess of the above maximum demand for the subject site. That said, it is not known what other developments in progress will impact the loading on this feeder, hence until applications for connection are made to Energex, it remains uncertain if the electrical load demands of the development will trigger any HV network upgrades.

As part of the development proposal, a new Energex underground conduit and pit system will be required for the development consisting of 6 x 125mm power and 1 x 100mm communications conduits. These will be provided along the proposed internal road and are also likely required within the road reserve of the entire property boundary along both Park Rd and Villa St as a future provision as per typical Energex requirements. Any requirements for undergrounding existing networks shall be as determined as part of the relevant applications to Energex. Transformers shall be provided as required and incorporated either into the respective development (for example retirement living, Commercial and the affordable housing apartments) or integrated into the public space for the smaller developments (Community Centre, townhouse complexes, etc) as agreed with the Energex as part of their offer to supply.





Figure 5-1: Existing Electrical Infrastructure (Source: DBYD)

5.3.3 Street Lighting

New street lighting (pole top luminaires) will be required for the new internal road. An upgrade to the street lighting near the new intersections into the development is also expected due to the change in use.

5.4 Telecommunications

The subject site's communications supply appears to be serviced by an existing Telstra network running adjacent to the subject site's western and southern boundary along Park Rd and Villa St. Refer to Figure 5-2 below. Appendix I contains the relevant existing surrounding communications infrastructure from the utility providers' asset mapping via the Dial Before You Dig enquiry. It is unknown if the telecommunications demand of the development will trigger any upgrades to the Telstra/NBN network to service the development.

A supply agreement will be entered into with the selected communications service provider to supply each dwelling, tenancy, and buildings with appropriate telecommunications connection.

It is anticipated that the telecommunication authority will bring fibre services to the development boundary with a new conduit and pit system to be provided by the developer to the internal road for final reticulation to each lot. The developer will also likely be required to provide new conduits and pits within the road reserve of the entire property boundary along both Park Rd and Villa St. NBN's conduit system typically consists of multiple 50mm or 100mm conduits.

It is anticipated that some existing communications assets located in Park Rd will require relocation/ lowering to accommodate the proposed new vehicle access to the site. Further, the existing Telstra phone box will need removal or relocation as agreed specifically with Telstra and the relevant cable owners.





Figure 5-2: Existing Communications infrastructure (Source: DBYD)

5.5 Gas

The subject site appears to be serviced by an existing APA low pressure property connection, taking off from an existing DN63 PE APA gas main running adjacent to Park Rd. Refer to Figure 5-3 below and Appendix I detailing the existing APA main and property connection servicing the subject site.

The developer will engage with the gas provider to confirm the ability and commercial viability of providing connection of, and reticulation within, the proposed development. The existing capacity and potential network augmentation works are unable to be confirmed without consultation with the relevant provider. The developer may elect, at their discretion, to enter a supply agreement and provide reticulation mains within the development for connection as part the development of the individual lots.



Figure 5-3: Existing Gas Infrastructure (Source: DBYD)



6. Conclusion

This Engineering Services Report has been prepared for the proposed Preliminary Approval for Material Change of Use and the Development Permit for Reconfiguring a lot (1 into 11, easement and road) at 70 Park Rd, Yeronga.

This report has demonstrated that all services will be available to the development upon completion of the works and construction of the proposed development will not adversely impact on any surrounding properties or services.

Stormwater management has been addressed in a separate report prepared by Stantec entitled "Site Based Stormwater Management Plan" dated 10 February 2022. This report has been updated to reflect the response to the Further Issues Letter from Economic Development Queensland Development Assessment dated 26 October 2021.

It is clear from the above that there are no serviceability restrictions which would prevent development of the subject site. We therefore request that MEDQ support the Development Application for this site



Appendix A – Proposed Plan of Subdivision







Gross area of subject land	2.8 ha
Area of open space (Lots 4, 5, 8 and Emt 3)5	457m²
Area of Development (Lots 21, 22, 3, 6, 7 & 10). 1.8	71 ha
Area of New Road	987m²
Length of New Road	263m
Number of proposed lots	9
Number of existing lots	1

DRAWING NO.	VERSION
18-0765P-02	0
DATE DRAWN	SHEET NO.
22-12-2021	2 of 2

Appendix B – Proposed Indicative Masterplan





ILLUSTRATIVE MASTER PLAN

The master plan framework will be realised as an integrated precinct with a variety of housing choice, diverse demographics and supporting community infrastructure

> *This master plan and the underlying building forms are used for reference and for illustration purposes only.

Legend

- 1 Informal pedestrian crossing
- 2 Green spine community garden
- 3 Community plaza
- 4 Neighbourhood park
- 5 Bio-retention basin
- 6 Access paths
- 7 Existing trees to remain
- 8 Shade trees
- 9 Street trees
- 10 Swale

0 5 10m 20m

Scale 1 : 1000 @ A3



50m



Appendix C – Site survey





at the time of survey. This is not I" as defined by some councils and	PROPERTY BOUNDARIES The property boundaries and dimensions shown on this plan are plotted from original title records (SP300888. Not registered at the date of survey). Accurate boundary locations can only be determined by completing a cadastral survey as defined by the	COORDINATE SYSTEM The coordinate system of this digital data is a plane system with a scale factor of 1.0.	Hz Datum: Hz Origin: Vrt Datum:	Plane (See note) PM132397 AHD	Locality: Local Authorit Lat Long	YERONGA y: Brisbane City Council S27'305825' E157'01'17.29'	E	Departm Gov
i some councils buiking neight innus.	Survey and Mapping Infrastructure Act 2003 and Surveyors Act 2003. The property boundaries and dimensions shown on this plan must not be relied upon what decirating continue buildings or other structures that will be constructed in close	To transform to MGA94 Zone 56, scale by 1.00040183140362 about PM20976 (501976.917,6956280.184).	Vrt Origin: Origin RL:	PM20976 21.413m	DWG: 12D:	139204DET 139204MASTER	Z	RPS Australia Asia P
st height diameter have been located. of trees shown are layman's estimates	proximity to them. Designs of services, buildings or structures that will be consudered and close boundary offsets will require accurate boundary locations determined by completing a cadastral survey as defined by the Survey and Mapping Infrastructure Act 2003 and Surveyers Act 2005	FLOOD DATA Flood information from BCC Floodwise Report 22/3/2018.	4	2 0 2	4	6 8 10	A1	Fortitude Valley Fo QLD 4006 Q t (07) 3237 8895
	Surveyors Act 2005. Boundary corners were not marked at the time of survey.	January 2011 Flood: RL 7.8. F		SCALE IN METERS AT	ORIGINAL REDU	UCTION RATIO	1:200	www.rpsgroup.com





at the time of survey. This is not " as defined by some councils and	PROPERTY BOUNDARIES The property boundaries and dimensions shown on this plan are plotted from original title records (SP300888. Not registered at the date of survey). Accurate boundary locations can only be determined by completing a cadastral survey as defined by the	COORDINATE SYSTEM The coordinate system of this digital data is a plane system with a scale factor of 1.0.	Hz Datum: Hz Origin: Vrt Datum:	Plane (See note) PM132397 AHD	Locality: Local Authorit Lat Long	YERONGA ty: Brisbane City Council S27 305825' E157 01'17.29'	E	Departme Gove
some councus bunung negat mans.	Survey and Mapping Intrastructure Act 2003 and Surveyors Act 2003. The property boundaries and dimensions shown on this plan must not be relied upon when designing services, buildings or other structures that will be constructed in close	To transform to MGA94 Zone 56, scale by 1.00040183140362 about PM20976 (501976.917,6956280.184).	Vrt Origin: Origin RL:	PM20976 21.413m	DWG: 12D:	139204DET 139204MASTER	Z	RPS Australia Asia Pa 743 Ann Street PC
t height diameter have been located. of trees shown are layman's estimates	proximity to them. Designs of services, buildings or structures that respute critical boundary offsets will require accurate boundary locations determined by completing a cadastral survey as defined by the Survey and Mapping Infrastructure Act 2003 and	FLOOD DATA Flood information from BCC Floodwise Report 22/3/2018.	4	2 0 2	4	6 8 10	A1	Fortitude Valley Fo QLD 4006 QI t (07) 3237 8899
	Surveyors Act 2003. Boundary corners were not marked at the time of survey.	January 2011 Flood Level: RL7.8. — F — January 2011 Flood: RL 7.8. Defined Flood Level: RL 6.7. — F — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		SCALE IN METERS AT	ORIGINAL RED	UCTION RATIO	CALE SCALE	www.rpsgroup.com.



Appendix D – Civil Engineering Plan Set



ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT QUEENSLAN PARKSIDE YERONGA - SUBDIVISION 70 PARK ROAD, YERONGA, BRISBANE



	DRAWING REGISTRY
NUMBER	DRAWING DESCRIPTION
P01	COVER SHEET, DRAWING REGISTRY AND LOCALITY PLAN
P01	BULK EARTHWORKS PLANCONCEPTUAL MASTER PLAN
C01	BULK EARTHWORKS SECTIONS SHEET 1
C02	BULK EARTHWORKS SECTIONS SHEET 2
P01	CONCEPTUAL STORMWATER EXTERNAL CATCHMENT PLAN
P02	CONCEPTUAL STORMWATER QUALITY CATCHMENT PLAN
P01	CONCEPTUAL STORMWATER DRAINAGE PLAN
P02	CONCEPTUAL STORMWATERMANAGEMENT PLAN
D01	STORMWATER DRAINAGE DETAILS
P01	EXTERNAL WATER AUGMENTATIONCONCEPT PLAN
P01	COMBINED SERVICES PLANCONCEPTUAL MASTER PLAN

OPMENT QUEENSLAND	Title COVER SHEET AND I	Title COVER SHEET AND DRAWING REGISTRY				
a - Subdivision						
A, BRISBANE	Project No. 301048272	Scale 1:1000 @A1				













Notes		lissue Status	Colour Disclaimer This drawing has been documented in colour. This drawing is equived to be printed in colour. Failure to do so may result infoss of information. Block and	Client/Project Logo	Client/Project ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT QUEENSLAND	Title STORMWATER DRAINAGE DETAILS		
		PRELIMINARY NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION	while printing may be used if specific black and white documents have been obtained from Stantec.	Stantec Australia Pty. Ltd.		PARKSIDE YERONGA - SUBDIVISION		
	C FURTHER ISSUES RESPONSE MK AR 2022/02	Inis document is suitable only for the purpose noted above. Use of this document for any other purpose is not permitted.	AUTHORISED:	South Britshane, QLD 4101 Tel: +61 7 3811 4500 Copyright Reserved		70 Park Road, yeronga, brisbane	Project No. 301048272	Scale
	B FURTHER ISSUES LETTER TJ AR 2021.11 A ISSUED FOR APPROVAL BM/VI AR 2021.09 Issued/Revision By Appd YYYY.MW	10 15 DD	A RAADSCHELDERS (RPEQ 19881)	The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that outdrived by Stantes (s torbidden. The Contractor shall verify out be responsible for all dimensions, DD VOT scale the drawing - any errors or amissions shall be reported to Stantec without deby.		File Name: CF526-D01.DWG DDJ TJ AR 2021.11.10 Dwn, Dsgn, Chkd. YYYY.MM.DD	Revision Drawing No.	CI-526-D


				-
_				
_				
_				
_				
_				
_				
-				
-				-
-				
	FURTHER ISSUES RESPONSE	MK	AR	2022.02.10
С		TJ	AR	2021.11.10
C B	FURTHER ISSUES LETTER			2021 09 15
C B A	FURTHER ISSUES LETTER ISSUED FOR APPROVAL	BM/VI	AR	2021.07.15







L ORIGINAL SHEET ISO A1 COORD MGA/YY-Zone DATUM mAH

(<u>3</u>) 0	2 MID mm006) (1/E	TP 70m RADIUS	T) Q/E ZINOH BR.	TP 7 0m RADIUS) <u>3/</u> E	800mm DIA	T) 4/E	TP 70m RADIUS	D 2/E) (6/E	900mm DIA
2													
	-1.	PRIVATE DN150 PVC SN8 50.00 21.194 0		PRIVATE DN150 PVC SN8 50.00 1.286		PRIVATE DN150 PVC SN8 50.00 11.837	- - - -	PRIVATE DN150 PVC SN8 25.00 12.110	- - - -	PRIVATE DN150 PVC SN8 25.00 0.672	F	PRIVATE DN150 PVC SN8 25.00 22.202	
9.683 1.311	0.036 0.958	.460 1.138	0.460 1.138	0.485 1.157	0.485 1.157	0.722 1.316	0.968 1.071	1.452 0.965	1.452 0.965	1.479 0.959	1.479 0.959	2.367 1.130	
10.994 10.994 9	10	11.598 11.598 10	1	11.643 11.643 10	10	12.038 12.038 10	10	12.417 12.417 11	11	12.439 12.439 11	<u>-</u>	13.497 13.497 12	
0.000 E: 502133.206	N: 6956411.652	21.194 E: 502138.075	N: 6956391.025	22.480 E: 502138.255	N: 6956389.753	34.317 E: 502138.824	N: 6956377.930	46.427 E: 502137.974	N: 6956365.850	47.100 E: 502137.895	N: 6956365.182	69.302 E: 502134.220	N: 6956343.287
		(PRI)	/A ⁻	TE SAN	 T.	ARY DF	RA	IN IN Y	EF	Ronga	Sł	HS)	
PME - SUI	INT	QUEEN	SLA	ND		SEV	VE	r long	ΞIT	UDINAL	. SI	ECTION	- 1
BRISB,	ANE DJ wn.	TJ Dsgn.	AR Chkd.	2021.11.10 YYYY.MM.DI	-	Project 3010 Revisio C	t No 482 n	o. 172	Dr	awing No.		Scale 1:1	00 @A1 -702-L01

		MAINTENANCE HOLE MH/MS TYPE MH/MS COVER TYPE JUNCTION LINE DOWNSTREAM STRU	E/SHAFT No. 12/1 1(LOT)		1/2 1/LOT) 900mm006 8000mm006	
		DEPTH TO HOUSE C	N INVERT LEVEL	16.734 1.127	10.725 2.475	
		HOUSE CONNECTIO	N TYPE 8	8	8	
		HOUSE CONNECTIO	N LOT No. 5		Lot 7	
		DISTANCE FROM D/S	S STRUCTURE	3.765	9.244	
HORIZONTAL 0 20 40m SCALE 1:1000 (A1)						
SCALE 1:2000 (A3)						
VERTICAL SCALE 1:100 (A1) SCALE 1:200 (A3) VERTICAL 2 4m						
		EMBEDMENT TYPE				
		BULKHEADS (B) / TR PIPE NOMINAL DIAM	ENCHSTOPS (T) ETER (DN)			
		PIPE TYPE & CLASS PIPE GRADE (1 IN X)	SDR21 PE100 69.94	SDR21 PE100 	SDR21 PE100 66.67	
		PIPE LENGTH (m) DATUM RL	7.043 4.0	3.765 4.0	9.244 -1.0	
		JUNCTION INVERT L	EVEL			
		DEPTH TO INVERT B	3282 1999: 1 1999: 1 1999: 1	3.825 1.664 1.672	3.638	
		INVERT LEVEL (IL)	13.931 16.101 16.201	13.931 16.092 16.189	8.988 10.261 10.400	
		FINISHED SURFACE	LEVEL (FSL) 99 98 21	17.756	12.626	
		EXISTING SURFACE	LEVEL (ESL) 20 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	17.246	9.225	
		SETOUT COORDINA	TES 020037.050 E: 5020037.050 E: 502037.050 E: 502037.050	E: 502039.913 N: 6956306.050 E: 502041.389 N: 6956302.586	E: 502072,562 N: 6956421,910 E: 502071,079 N: 6956412,786	
		CHAINAGE (CH)	0.000	3.765	9.244	
		LINE NUMBER	LOT 1	LOT 11	LOT 7	
Notes	Issue Status		Colour Disclaimer This drawing has been documented in colour. This drawing is required to be printed in colour. Failure to do so may result inbos of information. Black and while printing may be used if searching) Stant	Client/Project Logo	Client/Project ECONOMIC DEVEL
			black and white documents have been obtained from Stantec.			

2

С

В

ORIGINAL SHEET ISO A1 COORD MGA/YY-Zone DATUM

					1 100
_					
_					
_					
_					
_					
С	FURTHER ISSUES RESPONSE	MK	AR	2022.02.10	
В	FURTHER ISSUES LETTER	TJ	AR	2021.11.10	
A	ISSUED FOR APPROVAL	BM/VI	AR	2021.09.15	
lee	und /Powisian	By	Annd	YYYY MM DD	

This document is suitable only for the purpose noted above. Use of this document for any other purpose is not permitted.

AUTHORISED:



PARKSIDE YERONGA - SUBDIVISION

70 PARK ROAD, YERONGA, BRISBANE

٨

File Name: CI-702-L01.DWG

elopment queensland	

_	DDJ	TJ	AR	2021.11.10
_	Dwn.	Dsgn.	Chkd.	YYYY.MM.DD

Title	_	
SE/V/ED	I.	

SEWER LONGITUDINAL SECTION - 2

Project No. 301048272 Scale 1:100 @A1 Revision C Drawing No. CI-702-L02

5

Appendix E - Erosion Hazard Assessment





BRISBANE CITY COUNCIL ABN 72 002 765 795

Erosion Hazard Assessment - June 2014

Brisbane City Council (BCC), *Erosion Hazard Assessment* form must be read in conjunction with the *Erosion Hazard Assessment- Supporting Technical Notes* (June 2014 or later version) for explanatory terms and Certification information.

What is an Erosion Hazard Assessment?

Soil erosion and sediment from urban development, particularly during construction activities, is a significant source of sediment pollution in Brisbane's waterways. The Erosion Hazard Assessment determines whether the risk of soil erosion and sediment pollution to the environment is 'low', 'medium' or 'high'.

When is the EHA required?

An *Erosion Hazard Assessment* form must be completed and lodged with BCC for any Development Application (ie MCU or ROL) that will result in soil disturbance OR Operational Works or Compliance Assessment Application for 'Filling' or Excavation.

Failure to submit this form during lodgement of an application may result in assessment delays or refusal of the application.

Privacy Statement

The personal information collected on this form will be used by Brisbane City Council for the purposes of fulfilling your request and undertaking associated Council functions and services. Your personal information will not be disclosed to any third party without your consent, unless this is required or permitted by law.

Assessment Details

- 1 Please turn over and complete the erosion hazard assessment.
- **2** Based on the erosion hazard assessment overleaf, is the site:

A 'low' risk site

Best practice erosion and sediment control (ESC) must be implemented but no erosion and sediment control plans need to be submitted with the development application. Factsheets outlining best practice ESC can be found at http://www.waterbydesign.com.au/factsheets

A 'medium' risk site

If the development is approved, the applicant will need to engage a Registered Professional Engineer (RPEQ) <u>or</u> Certified Professional in Erosion and Sediment Control (CPESC) to prepare an ESC Program and Plan and supporting documentation — in accordance with the requirements of the Infrastructure Design Planning Scheme Policy.

🕺 A 'high' risk site

If the development is approved, the applicant will need to engage a RPEQ <u>and</u> CPESC to prepare an ESC Program and Plan and supporting documentation — in accordance with the requirements of the Infrastructure Design Planning Scheme Policy. The plans and program will need to be certified by a CPESC. 3 Site Information and Certification

Application number (if known)

Site address

70 PARK RD YERONGA	
Postcode	

I certify that:

- I have made all relevant enquiries and am satisfied no matters of significance have been withheld from the assessment manager.
- I am a person with suitable qualifications and/or experience in erosion and sediment control.
- The Erosion Hazard Assessment was completed in accordance with the Erosion Hazard Assessment Supporting Technical Notes and the BCC Infrastructure Design Planning Scheme Policy.
- The Erosion Hazard Assessment accurately reflects the site's overall risk of soil erosion and sediment pollution to the environment.
- I acknowledge and accept that the BCC, as assessment manager, relies, in good faith, on this certification as part of its development assessment process and the provision of false or misleading information to the BCC constitutes an offence for which BCC may take punitive steps/ action against me/ enforcement action against me.

Certified by Print name

ANTHONY RAADSCHELDERS FOR AND ON BEHALF OF STANTEC Certifier's signature

Date

8 / 9 /2021

Table 1: Low Risk Test



Appendix F - Acid Sulfate Soils Assessment







Acid Sulfate Soils Investigation Yeronga Priority Development Area 70 Park Road, Yeronga

Prepared for Economic Development Queensland Project No. 019-113B

31 August 2021



Butler Partners Pty Ltd ABN 14 816 574 868 22 Corunna Street Albion, Queensland 4010 P.O. Box 2267 Fortitude Valley BC Queensland 4006

Acid Sulfate Soils Investigation Yeronga Priority Development Area 70 Park Road, Yeronga Project No.: 019-113B 31 August 2021

Ph: 61 7 **3256 2900** Fx: 61 7 3256 2901 enquiries@butlerpartners.com.au

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1 - INTR	ODUCTION	2
1.1	Project	2
1.2	Proposed Scope of Work	2
1.3	ASS Impacts	2
1.4	Commission	2
SECTION 2 - THE	SITE	3
2.1	Background Information	3
2.2	Site Description	3
2.3	Geology	3
	2.3.1 Acid Sulfate Soils Mapping	4
SECTION 3 - FIEL	DWORK	5
3.1	Drilling and Sampling Methods	5
3.2	Bore Locations and Supervision	5
SECTION 4 - INVE	STIGATION RESULTS	6
4.1	Subsurface Conditions	6
4.2	Groundwater	6
4.3	Laboratory Testing	6
	4.3.1 Chromium Suite Tests	6
	4.3.2 QA/QC Methods	7
SECTION 5 - ACIE	SULFATE SOIL DISCUSSION	8
5.1	Assessment Criteria of Acid Sulfate Soils	8
5.2	Discussion of Results – Acid Sulfate Soil Assessment	8
SECTION 6 - REF	ERENCES1	0

Important Information abour your Geoenvironmental Report (3 pages)

TABLES:

Table 1: Table 2:	Groundwater Observations During Auger Drilling					
Table 3:	Action Criteria Based on A	SS Analysis for Three Broad Texture Categories	8			
ATTACH	MENTS: No. 1 Rev A	Locality Plan and Bore Locations				
Appendix	A	Bore Report Sheets with Explanatory Notes				

Laboratory Documentation

Copyright 2021

Appendix B

© Butler Partners 2021

This publication is subject to copyright. Except as permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, no part of it may in any form or by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise) be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted without prior written permission. Enquiries should be addressed to the publishers.

BUTLER PARTNERS PTY LTD

www.butlerpartners.com.au





SECTION 1 - INTRODUCTION

1.1 <u>Project</u>

It is understood that Economic Development Queensland (EDQ) is currently in the process of selling the Yeronga Priority Development Area (PDA) site located at 70 Park Road, Yeronga and is proposed to be developed into a community, residential and commercial precinct. The overall development area comprises one large property lot; Lot 3 on SP300888 covering an area of 3.12ha. At the time of the investigation, the site was vacant with no buildings present.

Butler Partners Pty Ltd (Butler Partners) has visited the site on various occasions, since first being commissioned in March 2019 by Rosenlund Contractors (Rosenlund) after Construction and Demolition (C&D) Waste containing asbestos containing material (ACM) was identified during demolition works beneath the former Yeronga TAFE building. Butler Partners subsequently supervised the removal of the C&D waste. As part of pre-sale due diligence, Butler Partners was commissioned by EDQ in September 2020 to complete a due diligence detailed environmental site assessment (DESA). In addition to the DESA, given the site falls within an area where acid sulfate soils (ASS) may be present, EDQ commissioned Butler Partners to complete an ASS investigation in October 2020. The sample locations are presented in the attached Drawing No. 1 Rev A.

1.2 Proposed Scope of Work

The following scope of work was proposed for the ASS investigation:

- drilling and sampling of thirteen bores (one per proposed future lot); and
- selected soil samples to undergo Chromium Suite laboratory analysis.

Using the results of the fieldwork and laboratory testing outcomes, it was proposed that a report would be produced that would provide information on the potential for ASS and in addition, provide an Acid Sulfate Soil Management Plan (ASSMP) where necessary.

1.3 <u>ASS Impacts</u>

Oxidation of disturbed ASS has the potential to impact on both soil and groundwater quality during the excavation works and may result in the release of sulphuric acid (acid leachate). Release of acid leachate into the receiving environment has the potential to adversely affect flora and fauna (i.e. lowering of pH and fish kills).

Potential oxidation of ASS and release of acid leachate may occur from:

- lowering of the groundwater table;
- excavation, transportation and placement of ASS at a disposal/treatment area;
- acidic runoff from ASS treatment and/or storage areas;
- discharge from sediment basins and accumulated waters; and
- acid leachate entering groundwater at the proposed treatment area.

1.4 <u>Commission</u>

Based on the anticipated subsurface conditions and the scope of work, a fee to undertake preliminary ASS investigation was presented in an email proposal dated 8 October 2020. Butler Partners was subsequently commissioned by EDQ to conduct the investigation work as proposed.



SECTION 2 - THE SITE

2.1 Background Information

It is understood that no previous ASS assessment has been carried out prior to Butler Partners being commissioned to complete ASS investigation.

2.2 <u>Site Description</u>

The site is located at 70 Park Road, Yeronga and occupies a total area of approximately 3.12ha. The site has been unoccupied following the demolition of the former TAFE buildings and removal of most of the concrete and bituminous concrete hardstand areas. Limited survey information was available at the time of reporting, however, the topography of the proposed development area varies between RL5mAHD and RL20mAHD. A recent Nearmap image of the site, with the approximate site boundaries highlighted blue and is presented in Photograph 1.



Photograph 1: An aerial view of the site with the approximate boundary outlined in blue (Image Source NearMap, Image Dated 17 September 2020)

2.3 <u>Geology</u>

Reference to the Geological Survey on Queensland Globe indicates that the site is mapped in an area of the Aspley Formation (comprising conglomerate sandstone and minor shale).



2.3.1 Acid Sulfate Soils Mapping

The ASS overlay accessed through the Brisbane City Council (BCC) City Plan 2014 indicates that the site is mapped in an area where the ground surface levels are above RL5mAHD and below RL20mAHD. An extract of the overlay is presented as Figure 1 below.



Figure 1: An aerial view of the site with ASS and RL5mAHD to RL20mAHD overlay (Image Source, BCC, Image Dated 19 October 2020)



SECTION 3 - FIELDWORK

3.1 Drilling and Sampling Methods

The ASS investigation comprised the drilling and sampling of thirteen bores (Bores 201 to 211 and GW02 and GW03), to varying depths from between 0.35m to 2.9m, with a truck mounted Hydrapower Scout drilling rig using solid flight auger drilling techniques. Disturbed samples were collected for ASS testing during the installation of both groundwater wells (GW02 and GW03) on the 1 October 2020. An additional eleven ASS bores (Bores 201 to 211) were drilled and sampled on the 9 October 2020. Strata identification was based on the inspection of cuttings brought to the surface on the auger and inspection of disturbed samples recovered for subsequent laboratory testing. On completion of drilling, the bores were backfilled with drill spoil and the surface plugged.

Samples were placed in plastic bags that were sealed (after removing as much air as possible), labelled with a unique identifier and frozen prior to analysis.

3.2 Bore Locations and Supervision

Bore locations were set out based on information provided by EDQ and their approximate locations are shown on Drawing No. 1 Rev A. The ground surface level at each bore location was interpolated from BCC's City Plan 2014 Contour Map.

An experienced senior geotechnician and environmental scientist logged the stratigraphy encountered in the bores and directed the insitu sampling and testing program.



SECTION 4 - INVESTIGATION RESULTS

4.1 Subsurface Conditions

The subsurface conditions encountered in the bores are given on Bore Report sheets included in Appendix A, using classification and descriptive terms defined in accompanying notes (which are based on Australian Standard AS1726-1993).

For a description of the subsurface conditions encountered at the bore locations, the Bore Report sheets should be consulted. However, in broad summary, the subsurface conditions encountered at the bore locations comprised variable layers of fill between 0.05m to 2.1m depths overlying silty/sandy clay and underlain by sandstone in some locations.

4.2 <u>Groundwater</u>

Free groundwater was encountered during the auger drilling of Bore 209 and the depth of groundwater encountered during auger drilling is summarised in Table 1.

Table 1: Groundwater Observations During Auger Drilling

Bore	Date	Depth (m)
209	9 October 2020	1.5

4.3 Laboratory Testing

4.3.1 Chromium Suite Tests

Based on the results of the screening tests, the stratigraphy encountered at the bore locations and a strategy to provide reasonable site, depth and stratigraphic coverage, twenty-six samples were selected for analysis using the Chromium Suite test method to provide quantitative data on ASS. Soil samples were frozen until transported to Australian Laboratory Services' (ALS) NATA accredited chemical testing laboratory under standard chain of custody protocols. A summary of results is summarised in Table 2 and laboratory test report sheets are attached in Appendix B.



Bore	Depth (m)	Sample Description	рНкс∟	Titratable Actual Acidity % Pyrite S	Chromium Reducible Sulfur – (S _{CR}) %S	Acid Neutralising Capacity % Pyrite S	Net Acidity %S	ALS Calculated Liming Rate (kg/t) ⁽¹⁾
201	1.8 – 2.0	Silty Sand	4.1	0.12	0.009	-	0.13	6
201	2.3 – 2.5	Silty Sand	4.4	0.09	0.020	-	0.13	6
202	0.8 – 1.0	Silty Sand	4.5	0.08	0.017	-	0.09	4
202	1.3 – -1.5	Sandstone	4.7	0.04	0.016	-	0.06	3
202	1.3 – 1.5	Silty Clay	4.6	0.04	0.019	-	0.06	3
203	1.8 – 2.0	Silty Sand	5.1	0.02	0.017	-	0.04	2
20.4	1.3 – 1.5	Silty Clay	4.6	0.05	0.029	-	0.08	4
204	1.8 – 2.0	Silty Clay	4.1	0.11	0.022	-	0.13	6
205	0.1 – 0.2	Sandstone	6.0	<0.02	0.018	-	0.02	1
205	0.25 – 0.35	Sandstone	4.8	0.04	0.024	-	0.07	3
206	1.4 – 1.5	Silty Clay	4.9	0.03	0.019	-	0.05	2
200	1.8 – 2.0	Silty Clay	4.8	0.03	0.016	-	0.04	2
207	2.3 – 2.5	Silty Clay	4.5	0.06	0.021	-	0.08	4
207	2.75 – -2.85	Silty Clay	4.7	0.04	0.019	-	0.06	3
200	1.8 – 2.0	Silty Clay	4.7	0.05	0.024	-	0.08	4
200	2.3 – 2.5	Silty Clay	5.0	0.02	0.023	-	0.04	2
200	1.8 – 2.0	Sandy Clay	4.3	0.10	0.023	-	0.13	6
209	2.3 – 2.5	Silty Clay	4.4	0.08	0.013	-	0.10	4
210	0.8 – 1.0	Sandstone	4.8	0.06	0.012	-	0.07	3
210	1.15 – 1.25	Sandstone	4.4	0.14	0.038	-	0.17	8
011	0.65 – 0.75	Sandy Clay	4.3	0.15	0.021	-	0.17	8
211	1.3 – 1.5	Sandy Clay	5.4	0.02	0.012	-	0.04	2
014/00	2.5 - 3.0	Silty Clay	4.3	0.11	0.012	-	0.12	6
GWU2	4.5 – 4.6	Sandstone	4.9	0.03	0.010	-	0.04	2
C14/02	1.5 – 1.75	Silty Clay	4.3	0.12	0.012	-	0.13	6
Gw03	2.25 - 2.5	Silty Clay	4.3	0.12	0.009	-	0.13	6

Table 2: Summary of Chromium Suite Test Results

Figures in **bold** equal or exceed the QASSIT Action Criteria for disturbance of >1000 tonnes of soil (refer to section 5.1)

⁽¹⁾ Calculated by ALS on a dry weight basis assuming use of fine ag-lime (C_aCO_3) with a neutralising value of 100% and using a safety factor of 1.5 to allow for non-homogeneous mixing and poor reactivity of lime

 $^{\mbox{\tiny (2)}}\mbox{No ANC}$ was tested for this sample batch due to all $\mbox{pH}_{\mbox{\tiny KCL}}$ results being <6.5

The reported liming rates for the neutralisation of soils are summarised in Table 2 for each sample, based on the use of good quality, fine ag-lime, with a neutralising value of 100% and incorporate a factor of safety of 1.5. If lime of a lower neutralising value is proposed for use, it will be necessary to increase the nominated liming rate (e.g. the values given in Table 2 should be increased by 25%, if lime with a neutralising value of 80% is to be used).

4.3.2 QA/QC Methods

The samples were dispatched under Chain on Custody documentation provided in Appendix B in order to identify sample movements.

A review of the data quality within the ALS laboratory reports indicated no outliers exist for ASS analysis with respect to sample holding times, laboratory method blanks, matrix spikes or laboratory control samples and surrogate spikes. As such, the overall quality of the analytical data produced is considered to be and acceptable standard for interpretive use. The laboratory's internal QA/QC results are included in Appendix B.



SECTION 5 - ACID SULFATE SOIL DISCUSSION

5.1 Assessment Criteria of Acid Sulfate Soils

ASS in Queensland are assessed in accordance with the Queensland Acid Sulfate Soils Investigation Team (QASSIT) *Guidelines for Sampling and Analysis of Lowland Acid Sulfate Soils (ASS)* in Queensland 1998 (QASSIT Guidelines), the Queensland Acid Sulfate Soils Management Advisory Committee's (QASSMAC) *Queensland Acid Sulfate Soil Technical Manual; Soil Management Guidelines Version 2014* (Guidelines) and the State Planning Policy 2013 Guideline – *State Interest Guideline – Emissions and Hazardous Activities – Guidance on Acid Sulfate Soils – April 2016.* The Guidelines provide Action Criteria for assessing the results of laboratory testing quantifying the acid producing effects based on the sum of existing plus potential acidity. These action criteria are presented in Table 3.

Type of Material	Action (1 – 1000 tonr	Criteria nes disturbed)	Action Criteria (> 1000 tonnes disturbed)			
		Existing + Po	tential Acidity	Existing + Potential Acidity		
Texture Range	Approximate Clay Content (%)	imate Equivalent Equivalent y Sulfur Acidity ht (%) %S Mol H ⁺ /tonne		Equivalent Sulfur %S	Equivalent Acidity Mol H⁺/tonne	
Coarse Texture Sands to loamy sands	<5	0.03	18	0.03	18	
Medium Texture Sandy loams to light clays	5-40	0.06	36	0.03	18	
Fine Texture Medium to heavy clays, silty clays	>40	0.1	62	0.03	18	

Table 3: Action Criteria Based on ASS Analysis for Three Broad Texture Categories

The volume of natural soil to be disturbed during site development works is anticipated to exceed 1,000 tonnes and the '>1000 tonnes disturbed Action Criteria' from Table 3 has therefore been used as the basis for assessment of the presence of ASS requiring treatment.

5.2 Discussion of Results – Acid Sulfate Soil Assessment

The results of Chromium Suite testing undertaken on twenty-six samples recovered from the bores, indicate that one of the twenty-six samples (Bore 210 - 1.15m to 1.25m) reported low levels of potential acidity greater than the Action Criteria of 0.03%S, with a chromium reducible sulfur (Scr) result of 0.03%S.

Twenty-two of the twenty-six samples recovered reported low levels of titratable actual acidity (TAA) greater than or equal to the Action Criteria of 0.03%S.

Based on the results of the investigation, the soils encountered in the test locations include zones that are acidic, but are considered to mainly comprise residual soils that have been derived from the deep in place weathering of rock. Based on a visual assessment, the site geology, and the surface elevation, the soils are considered <u>not</u> to be ASS. The Chromium Suite test results indicate that there is no potential for the generation of additional acidity due to the oxidation of pyrite. As such, an ASSMP will not be required for the site.



The acidic soils are of relatively low permeability, therefore, all cut to fill that is uniformly compacted in accordance with an appropriate engineering specification, would be essentially impermeable and 'acid leachate' would be negligible. However, if the soils are placed in temporary loose stockpiles and exposed to the weather, they may leach acid and may therefore require the construction of a containment bund such that runoff can be collected and tested prior to leaving site.

Because the soils are acidic, all stormwater collected during earthworks should be checked prior to offsite discharge; if the pH is outside the range of 6.5pH to 8.5pH units, it should be treated to bring it within this range, prior to discharge.

It is suggested that all earthworks be inspected by an experienced environmental scientist/engineer to ensure that if any (currently unidentified) zones of ASS do exist, they are identified and the soils appropriately treated.

BUTLER PARTNERS PTY LTD

KRISTINA JORGENSEN Environmental Scientist

RICHARD PEARCE Environmental Scientist Reviewed By: SUZANNE WALKER Principal



SECTION 6 - REFERENCES

Ahern C.R, Ahern M.R and Powell, B. (1998). *Guidelines for Sampling and Analysis of Lowland Acid Sulfate* Soils *(ASS) in* Queensland. QASSIT, Department of Natural Resources, Indooroopilly.

Ahern C.R, McElnea A.E, Sullivan L.A. (2004). Acid Sulfate Soils Laboratory Methods Guidelines. In: Queensland Acid Sulfate Soil Manual 2004. Dept Natural Resources, Mines and Energy, Indooroopilly, Queensland, Australia.

ANZECC (2000). *Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality.* Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council, Canberra, Australia.

Dear S.E, Ahern C.R, O'Brien L.E, Dobos S.K, McElena A.E, Moore N.G and Watling K.M, 2014. *Queensland Acid Sulfate Soil Technical Manual; Soil Management Guidelines*. Brisbane: Department of Science, Information Technology, Innovation and the Arts, Queensland Government.

NEPC (2013). National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Amendment Measure 2013 (No. 1) (NEPM). National Environmental Protection Council, Adelaide, Australia.

Powell, B and Ahern, C.R. (1999). *Nature, Origin and Distribution of Acid Sulfate Soils: Issues for Queensland,* Queensland Department of Natural Resources and Mines, Queensland.

Queensland Government (2013). State Planning Policy State Interest Guideline - Emissions and Hazardous Activities - Guidance on Acid Sulfate Soils, December 2013. Department of State Development, Infrastructure and Planning, Brisbane, Australia.

Queensland Government, State Planning Policy – state interest guideline – Water Quality, April 2016

Queensland Government State Planning Policy – Department of Infrastructure, Local Government and Planning, July 2017.

Simpson S.L, Mosley L, Batley G.E and Shand P, P 2018. *National acid sulfate soils guidance: Guidelines for the dredging of acid sulfate soil sediments and associated dredge spoil management,* Department of Agriculture and Water Resources, Canberra, ACT. CC by 4.0.

Sullivan L, Ward N, Toppler N and Lancaster G, 2018, *National acid sulfate soils guidance: National acid sulfate soils identification and laboratory methods manual*, Department of Agriculture and Water Resources, Canberra, ACT. CC by 4.0.

Important Information About Your Geoenvironmental Report

Geoenvironmental studies are commissioned to gain information about environmental conditions on and beneath the surface of a site. The more comprehensive the study, the more reliable the assessment is likely to be. But remember: Any such assessment is to a greater or lesser extent based on professional opinions about conditions that cannot be seen or tested. Accordingly, no matter how many data are developed, risks created by unanticipated conditions will always remain. *Have realistic expectations*. Work with your geoenvironmental consultant to manage known and unknown risks. Part of that process should already have been accomplished, through the risk allocation provisions you and your geoenvironmental professional discussed and included in your contract's general terms and conditions. This document is intended to explain some of the concepts that may be included in your agreement, and to pass along information and suggestions to help you manage your risk.

Beware of Change; Keep Your Geoenvironmental Professional Advised

The design of a geoenvironmental study considers a variety of factors that are subject to change. Changes can undermine the applicability of a report's findings, conclusions, and recommendations. *Advise your geoenvironmental professional about any changes you become aware of.* Geoenvironmental professionals cannot accept responsibility or liability for problems that occur because a report fails to consider conditions that did not exist when the study was designed. Ask your geoenvironmental professional about the types of changes you should be particularly alert to. Some of the most common include:

- modification of the proposed development or ownership group,
- sale or other property transfer,
- · replacement of or additions to the financing entity,
- amendment of existing regulations or introduction of new ones, or
- changes in the use or condition of adjacent property.

Should you become aware of any change, *do not rely on a geoenvironmental report*. Advise your geoenvironmental professional immediately; follow the professional's advice.

Recognize the Impact of Time

A geoenvironmental professional's findings, recommendations, and conclusions cannot remain valid indefinitely. The more time that passes, the more likely it is that important latent changes will occur. *Do not rely on a geoenvironmental report if too much time has elapsed since it was completed.* Ask your environmental professional to define "too much time." In the case of Phase I Environmental Site Assessments (ESAs), for example, more than 180 days after submission is generally considered "too much."

Prepare To Deal with Unanticipated Conditions

The findings, recommendations, and conclusions of a Phase I ESA report typically are based on a review of historical information, interviews, a site "walkover," and other forms of noninvasive research. When site subsurface conditions are not sampled in any way, the risk of unanticipated conditions is higher than it would otherwise be.

While borings, installation of monitoring wells, and similar invasive test methods can help reduce the risk of unanticipated conditions, *do not overvalue the effectiveness of testing*. Testing provides information about actual conditions only at the precise locations where samples are taken, and only when they are taken. Your geoenvironmental professional has applied that specific information to develop a general opinion about environmental conditions. *Actual conditions in areas not sampled may differ (sometimes sharply) from those predicted in a report*. For example, a site may contain an unregistered underground storage tank that shows no surface trace of its existence. *Even conditions in areas that were tested can change*, sometimes suddenly, due to any number of events, not the least of which include occurrences at

adjacent sites. Recognize, too, that *even some conditions in tested areas may go undiscovered*, because the tests or analytical methods used were designed to detect only those conditions assumed to exist.

Manage your risks by retaining your geoenvironmental professional to work with you as the project proceeds. Establish a contingency fund or other means to enable your geoenvironmental professional to respond rapidly, in order to limit the impact of unforeseen conditions. And to help prevent any misunderstanding, identify those empowered to authorize changes and the administrative procedures that should be followed.

Do Not Permit Any Other Party To Rely on the Report

Geoenvironmental professionals design their studies and prepare their reports to meet the specific needs of the clients who retain them, in light of the risk management methods that the client and geoenvironmental professional agree to, and the statutory, regulatory, or other requirements that apply. The study designed for a developer may differ sharply from one designed for a lender, insurer, public agency...or even another developer. Unless the report specifically states otherwise, it was developed for you and only you. Do not unilaterally permit any other party to rely on it. The report and the study underlying it may not be adequate for another party's needs, and you could be held liable for shortcomings your geoenvironmental professional was powerless to prevent or anticipate. Inform your geoenvironmental professional when you know or expect that someone else-a third-partywill want to use or rely on the report. Do not permit third-party use or reliance until you first confer with the geoenvironmental professional who prepared the report. Additional testing, analysis, or study may be required and, in any event, appropriate terms and conditions should be agreed to so both you and your geoenvironmental professional are protected from third-party risks. Any party who relies on a geoenvironmental report without the express written permission of the professional who prepared it and the client for whom it was prepared may be solely liable for any problems that arise.

Avoid Misinterpretation of the Report

Design professionals and other parties may want to rely on the report in developing plans and specifications. They need to be advised, in writing, that their needs may not have been considered when the study's scope was developed, and, even if their needs were considered, they might misinterpret geoenvironmental findings, conclusions, and recommendations. *Commission your geoenvironmental professional to explain pertinent elements of the report to others who are permitted to rely on it, and to review any plans, specifications or other instruments of professional service that incorporate any of the report's findings, conclusions, or recommendations.* Your geoenvironmental professional has the best understanding of the issues involved, including the fundamental assumptions that underpinned the study's scope.

Give Contractors Access to the Report

Reduce the risk of delays, claims, and disputes by giving contractors access to the full report, providing that it is accompanied by a letter of transmittal that can protect you by making it unquestionably clear that: 1) the study was not conducted and the report was not prepared for purposes of bid development, and 2) the findings, conclusions, and recommendations included in the report are based on a variety of opinions, inferences, and assumptions and are subject to interpretation. Use the letter to also advise contractors to consult with your geoenvironmental professional to obtain clarifications, interpretations, and guidance (a fee may be required for this service), and that-in any event-they should conduct additional studies to obtain the specific type and extent of information each prefers for preparing a bid or cost estimate. Providing access to the full report, with the appropriate caveats, helps prevent formation of adversarial attitudes and claims of concealed or differing conditions. If a contractor elects to ignore the warnings and advice in the letter of transmittal, it would do so at its own risk. Your geoenvironmental professional should be able to help you prepare an effective letter.

Do Not Separate Documentation from the Report

Geoenvironmental reports often include supplemental documentation, such as maps and copies of regulatory files, permits, registrations, citations, and correspondence with regulatory agencies. If subsurface explorations were performed, the report may contain final boring logs and copies of laboratory data. If remediation activities occurred on site, the report may include: copies of daily field reports; waste manifests; and information about the disturbance of subsurface materials, the type and thickness of any fill placed on site, and fill placement practices, among other types of documentation. *Do not separate supplemental documentation from the report. Do not, and do not permit any other party to redraw or modify any of the supplemental documentation for incorporation into other professionals' instruments of service.*

Understand the Role of Standards

Unless they are incorporated into statutes or regulations, standard practices and standard guides developed by the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) and other recognized standards-developing organizations (SDOs) are little more than aspirational methods agreed to by a consensus of a committee. The committees that develop standards may not comprise those best-qualified to establish methods and, no matter what, no standard method can possibly consider the infinite client- and project-specific variables that fly in the face of the theoretical "standard conditions" to which standard practices and standard guides apply. In fact, these variables can be so pronounced that geoenvironmental professionals who comply with every directive of an ASTM or other standard procedure could run afoul of local custom and practice, thus violating the standard of care.

Accordingly, when geoenvironmental professionals indicate in their reports that they have performed a service "in general compliance" with one standard or another, it means they have applied professional judgement in creating and implementing a scope of service designed for the specific client and project involved, and which follows some of the general precepts laid out in the referenced standard. To the extent that a report indicates "general compliance" with a standard, you may wish to speak with your geoenvironmental professional to learn more about what was and was not done. *Do not assume a given standard was followed to the letter.* Research indicates that that seldom is the case.

Realize That Recommendations May Not Be Final

The technical recommendations included in a geoenvironmental report are based on assumptions about actual conditions, and so are preliminary or tentative. Final recommendations can be prepared only by observing actual conditions as they are exposed. For that reason, you should retain the geoenvironmental professional of record to observe construction and/or remediation activities on site, to permit rapid response to unanticipated conditions. *The geoenvironmental professional who prepared the report cannot assume responsibility or liability for the report's recommendations if that professional is not retained to observe relevant site operations.*

Understand That Geotechnical Issues Have Not Been Addressed

Unless geotechnical engineering was specifically included in the scope of professional service, a report is not likely to relate any findings, conclusions, or recommendations about the suitability of subsurface materials for construction purposes, especially when site remediation has been accomplished through the removal, replacement, encapsulation, or chemical treatment of on-site soils. The equipment, techniques, and testing used by geotechnical engineers differ markedly from those used by geoenvironmental professionals; their education, training, and experience are also significantly different. If you plan to build on the subject site, but have not yet had a geotechnical engineering study conducted, your geoenvironmental professional should be able to provide guidance about the next steps you should take. The same firm may provide the services you need.

Read Responsibility Provisions Closely

Geoenvironmental studies cannot be exact; they are based on professional judgement and opinion. Nonetheless, some clients, contractors, and others assume geoenvironmental reports are or certainly should be unerringly precise. Such assumptions have created unrealistic expectations that have led to wholly unwarranted claims and disputes. To help prevent such problems, geoenvironmental professionals have developed a number of report provisions and contract terms that explain who is responsible for what, and how risks are to be allocated. Some people mistake these for "exculpatory clauses," that is, provisions whose purpose is to transfer one party's rightful responsibilities and liabilities to someone else. Read the responsibility provisions included in a report and in the contract you and your geoenvironmental professional agreed to. *Responsibility provisions are not "boilerplate."* They are important.

Rely on Your Geoenvironmental Professional for Additional Assistance

Membership in ASFE exposes geoenvironmental professionals to a wide array of risk management techniques that can be of genuine benefit for everyone involved with a geoenvironmental project. Confer with your ASFE-member geoenvironmental professional for more information.



8811 Colesville Road/Suite G106, Silver Spring, MD 20910 Telephone: 301/565-2733 Facsimile: 301/589-2017 e-mail: info@asfe.org www.asfe.org

Copyright 2000 by ASFE, Inc. Duplication, reproduction, or copying of this document, in whole or in part, by any means whatsoever, is strictly prohibited, except with ASFE's specific written permission. Excerpting, quoting, or otherwise extracting wording from this document is permitted only with the express written permission of ASFE, and only for purposes of scholarly research or book review. Only ASFE Member Firms may insert this document in their reports.

IIGR06055.0M









APPENDIX A

BORE REPORT SHEETS WITH EXPLANATORY NOTES



Client: Economic Development Queensland *Project:* Yeronga PDA *Location:* 70 Park Road, Yeronga *Project No:* 019-113B **BORE 201**

Page No: 1 of 1 Date: 9 October 2020 Ground Surface Level: RL19.0m*

Depth (m)	Description	RL (m)	Lithology	Sample Type	Sample Depth (m)	Sample ID	Test Results
0_		19.0					
0	FILL - brown, gravelly silty clay, with medium to coarse gravel SILTY CLAY grow brown						
-			##		1.8		
2—	- red-brown, possible residual sandstone	17.0-		D	2.0	B201-1	
					2.3		
-		-	-	D		B201-2	
	End of Bore at 2.5 m	-			2.5		
		- - 16.0-	-				
D A	Disturbed Sample (ASS) E Environmental Sample Asbestos Sample () No Sample Recovery		C P	nml Pfa	C Coring S Sample) es	

Rig: Hydrapower Scout	Drilling Method: Auger	Logged By: RP		
Groundwater: No free groundwater encountered during	Handheld GPS Coordinates - E: 0502050			
Remarks: *Ground surface levels are approximate, base	Handheld GPS Coordinates - N: 6956286			



Client: Economic Development Queensland *Project:* Yeronga PDA *Location:* 70 Park Road, Yeronga *Project No:* 019-113B **BORE 202**

Page No: 1 of 1 Date: 9 October 2020 Ground Surface Level: RL16.5m*

Depth (m)	Description	RL (m)	Lithology	Sample Type	Sample Depth (m)	Sample ID	Test Results
0-		16.5					
-	FILL - brown, gravelly silty clay, with fine to coarse gravel SILTY SAND - red-brown (possible residual sandstone)	- - 16.0-					
-							
_		_			0.8		
		-		D	010	B202-1	
1–					1.0		
		_					
-	CANDETONIC		<u> </u>		1.3		
_	- brown, extremely weathered			D		B202-2	
_	End of Bore at 1.5 m	15.0—			1.5		
		_					
_							
2_		_					
-		-					
_							
_		_					
		14.0-					
-							
		–					
		_					
3—							
D A	Disturbed Sample (ASS) E Environmental Sample Asbestos Sample () No Sample Recovery		C P	nml Pfa	C Coring S Sample) es	

Rig: Hydrapower Scout	Drilling Method: Auger	Logged By: KVB
Groundwater: No free groundwater encountered during	Handheld GPS Coordinates - E: 0502051	
Remarks: *Ground surface levels are approximate, base	Handheld GPS Coordinates - N: 6956332	



Client: Economic Development Queensland *Project:* Yeronga PDA *Location:* 70 Park Road, Yeronga *Project No:* 019-113B **BORE 203**

Page No: 1 of 1 Date: 9 October 2020 Ground Surface Level: RL15.0m*

Depth (m)	Description	RL (m)	Lithology	Sample Type	Sample Depth (m)	Sample ID	Test Results
0_		15.0					
-	<i>FILL</i> - brown, gravelly silty clay, with fine to coarse gravel	-					
-	- dark brown, with fine gravel	-					
1—		14.0-					
-	SILTY CLAY	-	ΗĦ		10		
-	- grey mottled brown, with trace of fine to coarse sand	=	HH HH	D	1.3	B203-1	
_	SILTY SAND - orange-brown (residual sandstone)	_			1.5		
-	- pale grey-brown	-		р	1.8	B203-2	
2—		13.0-		D	2.0	D203-2	
	End of Bore at 2 m						
-		-					
-		-					
_		_					
-		-					
2		12.0					
5-		12.0-	1				
D A	Disturbed Sample (ASS)EEnvironmental SampleAsbestos Sample()No Sample Recovery		C P	nml Pfa	C Coring S Sample) es	

Rig: Hydrapower Scout	Drilling Method: Auger	Logged By: RP			
Groundwater: No free groundwater encountered during	drilling	Handheld GPS Coordinates - E: 0502084			
Remarks: *Ground surface levels are approximate, based	Handheld GPS Coordinates - N: 6956342				



Client: Economic Development Queensland *Project:* Yeronga PDA *Location:* 70 Park Road, Yeronga *Project No:* 019-113B **BORE 204**

Page No: 1 of 1 Date: 9 October 2020 Ground Surface Level: RL12.0m*

Depth (m)	Description	RL (m)	Lithology	Sample Type	Sample Depth (m)	Sample ID	Test Results
		12.0					
0	<i>FILL</i> - brown, gravelly sand, with fine to coarse gravel	_					
_	- pale grey, silty clay, with trace of sand	=					
_	SILTY CLAY	_	ΗĦ		0.8		
	- dark brown, with sand		##	D	0.0	B204-1	
1-		11.0-			1.0		
					1.3		
_	- brown, with sand, with fine gravel	-		D	1.5	B204-2	
_		_			1.8		
	- grey mottled orange			D		B204-3	
2	End of Bore at 2 m	10.0-	11.11		2.0		
_		-					
-		_					
-		_					
3—		9.0-					
D A	Disturbed Sample (ASS)EEnvironmental SampleAsbestos Sample()No Sample Recovery	1	C P	nml Pfa	.C Corinç S Sample) es	

This Environmental Bore Report is not intended for geotechnical engineering interpretation.

Rig: Hydrapower ScoutDrilling Method: AugerLogged By: KVBGroundwater: No free groundwater encountered during drillingHandheld GPS Coordinates - E: 050219Remarks: *Ground surface levels are approximate, based off BCCs City Plan 2014 Contour MapHandheld GPS Coordinates - N: 6956348



Client: Economic Development Queensland *Project:* Yeronga PDA *Location:* 70 Park Road, Yeronga *Project No:* 019-113B **BORE 205**

Page No: 1 of 1 Date: 9 October 2020 Ground Surface Level: RL13.5m*

Depth (m)	Description	RL (m)	Lithology	Sample Type	Sample Depth (m)	Sample ID	Test Results
0	FILL - brown, gravelly silty sand, with fine to coarse gravel SANDSTONE - pale grey, weathered End of Bore at 0.35 m (Refusal)	13.5 		D	0.1 0.2 0.25 0.35	B205-1 B205-2	
1		- - 12.0-					
_ 2— _		-					
		- 11.0 					
D A	Disturbed Sample (ASS) E Environmental Sample Asbestos Sample () No Sample Recovery	1	C P	NML PFA	C Coring	g es	

Rig: Hydrapower Scout	Drilling Method: Auger	Logged By: KVB		
Groundwater: No free groundwater encountered during	Handheld GPS Coordinates - E: 0502063			
Remarks: *Ground surface levels are approximate, base	Handheld GPS Coordinates - N: 6956379			



Client: Economic Development Queensland *Project:* Yeronga PDA *Location:* 70 Park Road, Yeronga *Project No:* 019-113B **BORE 206**

Page No: 1 of 1 Date: 9 October 2020 Ground Surface Level: RL10.5m*

Depth (m)	Description	RL (m)	Lithology	Sample Type	Sample Depth (m)	Sample ID	Test Results
0		10.5					
-	<i>FILL</i> - pale brown, gravelly sand, with fine to coarse gravel	-					
_	- red	- 10.0					
1–	- pale grey, sandy clay	-					
-	SILTY CLAY - dark brown, trace of sand, trace of organic	_			1.4	B206-1	
-	- brown, with sand, with fine gravel	9.0-	HH HH	0	1.5	D200 1	
_		_		D	1.8	B206-2	
2	End of Bore at 2 m	-			2.0		
_		-					
_		8.U-					
3-		_					
DA	Disturbed Sample (ASS) E Environmental Sample Asbestos Sample () No Sample Recovery	<u> </u>	C P	NML PFA	C Coring S Sample	g es	

Rig: Hydrapower Scout	Drilling Method: Auger	Logged By: RP
Groundwater: No free groundwater encountered during	Handheld GPS Coordinates - E: 0502119	
Remarks: *Ground surface levels are approximate, base	Handheld GPS Coordinates - N: 6956396	



Client: Economic Development Queensland *Project:* Yeronga PDA *Location:* 70 Park Road, Yeronga *Project No:* 019-113B **BORE 207**

Page No: 1 of 1 Date: 9 October 2020 Ground Surface Level: RL10.0m*

Depth (m)	Description	RL (m)	Lithology	Sample Type	Sample Depth (m)	Sample ID	Test Results
	FILL - brown, silly clay, with trace of fine to medium gravel - grey-brown, gravelly silt, with trace of fine to medium sand SILTY CLAY - grey-brown - grey-brown - with trace of charcoal - grey mottled orange End of Bore at 2.9 m	10.0 		D	 2.3 2.5 2.75 2.85 	B207-1 B207-2	
D A	Disturbed Sample (ASS) E Environmental Sample Asbestos Sample () No Sample Recovery	1	C P	nml Pfa	C Coring] es	

Rig: Hydrapower Scout	Drilling Method: Auger	Logged By: RP		
Groundwater: No free groundwater encountered during	Handheld GPS Coordinates - E: 0502117			
Remarks: *Ground surface levels are approximate, based	d off BCCs City Plan 2014 Contour Map	Handheld GPS Coordinates - N: 6956423		



Client: Economic Development Queensland Project: Yeronga PDA Location: 70 Park Road, Yeronga Project No: 019-113B

BORE 208

Page No: 1 of 1 Date: 9 October 2020 Ground Surface Level: RL8.4m*

Depth (m)	Description	RL (m)	Lithology	Sample Type	Sample Depth (m)	Sample ID	Test Results
0-		8.4					
-	<i>FILL</i> - grey-brown, gravelly sand, with trace of fine to medium gravel	- 8.0					
1	- grey-brown, silty clay, with trace of fine to medium gravel	- 7.0-					
_	- grey-brown	-			1.0		
2—	- grey, with some organics (tree roots)	-		D	2.0	B208-1	
_	- grey mottled brown	6.0-		D	2.3	B208-2	
	End of Bore at 2.5 m	-			2.5		
D	Disturbed Sample (ASS) E Environmental Sample		С	NML	C Coring	9	

Asbestos Sample А

() No Sample Recovery

PFAS Samples Ρ

Rig: Hydrapower Scout	Drilling Method: Auger	Logged By: RP		
Groundwater: No free groundwater encountered during	Handheld GPS Coordinates - E: 050217			
Remarks: *Ground surface levels are approximate, base	Handheld GPS Coordinates - N: 6956452			



Client: Economic Development Queensland *Project:* Yeronga PDA *Location:* 70 Park Road, Yeronga *Project No:* 019-113B **BORE 209**

Page No: 1 of 1 Date: 9 October 2020 Ground Surface Level: RL10.5m*

_

Depth (m)	Description	RL (m)	Lithology	Sample Type	Sample Depth (m)	Sample ID	Test Results
0	 FILL brown, gravelly silty sand, with fine to coarse gravels and some cobbles red-brown, silty sand, with fine to medium gravel dark brown, silty sand 	10.5					
2—	<i>SILTY SAND</i> - grey mottled orange, with fine gravel <i>SANDY CLAY</i> - orange-brown	9.0-		D	1.8 2.0	B209-1	
	<i>SILTY CLAY</i> - pale grey, with sand End of Bore at 2.5 m	- 8.0	H H H	D	2.3 2.5	B209-2	
D A	Disturbed Sample (ASS) E Environmental Sample Asbestos Sample () No Sample Recovery	<u> </u>	C P	NML PFA	.C Coring S Sample) es	

Rig: Hydrapower Scout	Drilling Method: Auger	Logged By: RP			
Groundwater: Free groundwater encountered at 1.5m d	uring drilling	Handheld GPS Coordinates - E: 0502051			
Remarks: *Ground surface levels are approximate, based	Handheld GPS Coordinates - N: 6956463				



Client: Economic Development Queensland *Project:* Yeronga PDA *Location:* 70 Park Road, Yeronga *Project No:* 019-113B **BORE 210**

Page No: 1 of 1 Date: 9 October 2020 Ground Surface Level: RL11.0m*

Depth (m)	Description	RL (m)	Lithology	Sample Type	Sample Depth (m)	Sample ID	Test Results
0_		11.0					
-	<i>FILL</i> - brown, gravelly silty sand, with fine to coarse gravel, with asphalt, with concrete	-					
	SILTY SAND - brown						
-	SANDSTONE - pale brown				0.0		
		-		р	0.8	R210-1	
1–		10.0-			1.0	D2101	
_		-		D	1.15	B210-2	
_	End of Bore at 1.25 m (Refusal)	-			1.25		
-		-					
2-		9.0-					
_		-					
_		_					
-		-					
-		_					
3—		8.0-					
D A	Disturbed Sample (ASS) E Environmental Sample Asbestos Sample () No Sample Recovery	1	C P	nml Pfa	C Coring] es	I

Rig: Hydrapower Scout	Drilling Method: Auger	Logged By: KVB
Groundwater: No free groundwater encountered during	drilling	Handheld GPS Coordinates - E: 0502016
Remarks: *Ground surface levels are approximate, base	d off BCCs City Plan 2014 Contour Map	Handheld GPS Coordinates - N: 6956445



Client: Economic Development Queensland *Project:* Yeronga PDA *Location:* 70 Park Road, Yeronga *Project No:* 019-113B **BORE 211**

Page No: 1 of 1 Date: 9 October 2020 Ground Surface Level: RL17.5m*

Depth (m)	Description	RL (m)	Lithology	Sample Type	Sample Depth (m)	Sample ID	Test Results
0-	FILL	17.5					
_	- gravelly silty clay, with medium to fine gravel	_					
_	SANDY CLAY - grey-brown (residual sandstone)	17.0-					
_		_		D	0.65	B211-1	
-		_			0.70		
1—		_					
-	- orange-brown	_			1.3		
_		16.0-		D	1.5	B211-2	
_	End of Bore at 1.5 m	_	-				
_		_					
2—		_					
_							
_		-					
_		15.0-					
_		_					
3-		_					
D A	Disturbed Sample (ASS) E Environmental Sample Asbestos Sample () No Sample Recovery		C P	nml Pfa	C Coring S Sample) es	

Rig: Hydrapower Scout	Drilling Method: Auger	Logged By: RP		
Groundwater: No free groundwater encountered during	Handheld GPS Coordinates - E: 0502019			
Remarks: *Ground surface levels are approximate, base	Handheld GPS Coordinates - N: 6956348			

BORE REPORT



Client: Economic Development Queensland *Project:* Mixed Use Development *Location:* 70 Park Road, Yeronga *Project No:* 019-113B BORE GW02

Page No: 1 of 2 Date: 1 October 2020 Ground Surface Level: RL19.0m*

Depth (m)	Description	RL (m)	Lithology	ASS Samples	ASS Sample Depth (m)	Sample Type	Sample Depth (m)	Test Results	Groundwater Monitoring Bore
0-	FILL brown candy clayey gravel, fine to medium angular	19.0			0.5		0.5	Dontonito	
1-	gravel, fine to coarse grained sand	- - 18.0-		GW2-1	0.5 1.0	D	1.0	Bentonite	
2-	- grey mottled red-brown and orange 	- - 17.0-						Casing	→
- - 3		- - 16.0-	H H H H	GW2-2	2.5 3.0	D	2.5 3.0	Sana	
4-	SII TV (1 AV (CH)	- - 15.0-							
- - 5-	- red-brown mottled yellow and grey, with fine grained sand SANDY CLAY (CI) grey mottled grange brown fine to modium grained sand	- - 14.0-		GW2-3	4.5 4.6	S	4.5 4.6	30/100mm	
- - 6-	<i>SANDSTONE (XW)</i> - grey mottled brown, fine to coarse grained	- - - 13.0-						Benonite	
- - - 7-		- - - 12.0-	-						
		- - - 11.0-	-						
9_	- grey	- - - 10.0-						Sand	
, – – –		-						Screen	
10		7.0	-						
11 — - - 12 _	SANDSTONE (DW) - dark grey, fine to coarse grained	0.0							
D B U pp	Disturbed SampleSStandardBulk SampleHBSPT HamUndisturbed Tube (50mm diameter)()No SampPocket Penetrometer Test (kPa)VVane She	I Penetr Imer Bo Ile Reco ear Stre	ometer uncing overy ngth, Ui	Test (SF	PT) red (kPa))	C NM Is(50) Po (d) Dia (a) Ax	ILC Coring int Load Test Rea ametral Point Load ial Point Load St	II≡II sult (MPa) ad Strength Test rength Test
Rig Dr Gr	Rig: Hydrapower Scout Logged by: WR Drilling Method: Auger Groundwater: No free groundwater encountered during drilling								

Remarks: *Ground surface levels are approximate, based off BCCs City Plan 2014 Contour Map
BORE REPORT



Client: Economic Development Queensland *Project:* Mixed Use Development *Location:* 70 Park Road, Yeronga *Project No:* 019-113B BORE GW02

Page No: 2 of 2 Date: 1 October 2020 Ground Surface Level: RL19.0m*

Depth (m)	Description	(m) E	KL (m)	Lithology	ASS Samples	ASS Sample Depth (m)	Sample Type	Sample Depth (m)	Test Results	Groundwater Monitoring Bore
	SANDSTONE (DW) - dark grey, fine to coarse grained	6.	- - - 0.0							
- - 14-		5.	- - - - 0.0							
- 15— -		4.	- - - - -							
16— — —		3.	3.0 — - - -							
17— - - - 18—		2.	2.0							
		0.	.0 -							
20-	End of Bore at 20 m	-1.	0.							
21		-2	- - 2.0 - - -							
22		-3.	3.0 - - - -							
23		-4.	i.0 - - - - - -							
D B U pp	Disturbed SampleSBulk SampleHBUndisturbed Tube (50mm diameter)()Pocket Penetrometer Test (kPa)V	S Standard Penetrometer Test (SPT) C NMLC Coring HB SPT Hammer Bouncing Is(50) Point Load Test Result (MPa) () No Sample Recovery (d) Diametral Point Load Strength Test V Vane Shear Strength, Uncorrected (kPa) (a) Axial Point Load Strength Test			sult (MPa) Id Strength Test rength Test					
Ri	Rig: Hydrapower Scout Logged by: WR									
Dr Gr	Drilling Method: Auger Groundwater: No free groundwater encountered during drilling									
Re	Remarks: *Ground surface levels are approximate, based off BCCs City Plan 2014 Contour Map									

BORE REPORT



Client: Economic Development Queensland *Project:* Mixed Use Development *Location:* 70 Park Road, Yeronga *Project No:* 019-113B BORE GW03

Page No: 1 of 2 Date: 1 October 2020 Ground Surface Level: RL17.5m*

Depth (m)	Description	RL (m)	Lithology	ASS Samples	ASS Sample Depth (m)	Sample Type	Sample Depth (m)	Test Results	Groundwater Monitoring Bore
0 1 2 3 4 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	 <i>FILL</i> brown, silty sandy gravel, fine to coarse angular gravel, fine to coarse sand <i>SILTY CLAY (CI)</i> brown mottled grey and orange-brown brown mottled red and orange-brown, with fine to medium grained sand <i>SILTY CLAY (CH)</i> grey mottled red and orange, trace of fine to coarse grained sand dark grey with orange-grey, red bands <i>SANDY CLAY (CL)</i> orange-yellow mottled red and grey, fin to coarse grained sand <i>SANDSTONE (XW)</i> yellow with orange and brown bands, fine to coarse grained 		年、年、年、年、年、年、年、年、年、年、年、年、年、年、年、年、年、年、年、	GW3-1 GW3-2 GW3-3 GW3-3 GW3-5 GW3-5 GW3-5 GW3-7 GW3-8 GW3-7 GW3-10 GW3-11 GW3-11 GW3-11 GW3-13	0.0 0.25 0.5 0.75 1.0 1.25 1.5 1.75 2.0 2.25 2.5 2.75 3.0 5.0 5.95 7.0	D D D D D D D D D D S S	0.0 0.25 0.5 0.75 1.0 1.25 1.5 1.75 2.0 2.25 2.5 2.75 3.0 5.0 5.95 7.0 7.95	Bentonite Sand Casing Bentonite 10,13,16 N=29 30/95mm Sand Screen	
11- 		4.0							
D B U pp	DDisturbed SampleSStandard Penetrometer Test (SPT)CNMLC CoringBBulk SampleHBSPT Hammer BouncingIs(50) Point Load Test Result (MPa)UUndisturbed Tube (50mm diameter)()No Sample Recovery(d)Diametral Point Load StrengthppPocket Penetrometer Test (kPa)VVane Shear Strength, Uncorrected (kPa)(a)Axial Point Load Strength Test					sult (MPa) Id Strength Test rength Test			
Rig Dr	Rig: Hydrapower Scout Logged by: WR Drilling Method: Auger Control of the second seco								

Groundwater: No free groundwater encountered during drilling

Remarks: *Ground surface levels are approximate, based off BCCs City Plan 2014 Contour Map

BORE REPORT



Client: Economic Development Queensland *Project:* Mixed Use Development *Location:* 70 Park Road, Yeronga *Project No:* 019-113B BORE GW03

Page No: 2 of 2 Date: 1 October 2020 Ground Surface Level: RL17.5m*

Depth (m)	Description	RL (m)	Lithology	ASS Samples	ASS Sample Depth (m)	Sample Type	Sample Depth (m)	Test Results	Groundwater Monitoring Bore
- S 13- g	SANDSTONE (XW) yellow with orange and brown bands, fine to coarse grained	2.0-							
14		1.0							
15		0.0							
		-1.0-							
		-2.0-							
17 	SANDSTONE (DW) grey, fine to coarse grained	-3.0							
18		-4.0							
19									
20	End of Bore at 20 m	-6.0							
21									
22-		-7.0-							
23-		-8.0 							
24-		-9.0							
D Dis B Bul U Uni pp Po	Disturbed SampleSStandard Penetrometer Test (SPT)CNMLC CoringBulk SampleHBSPT Hammer BouncingIs(50) Point Load Test Result (MPa)Undisturbed Tube (50mm diameter)()No Sample Recovery(d)pPocket Penetrometer Test (kPa)VVane Shear Strength, Uncorrected (kPa)(a)Axial Point Load Strength Test			sult (MPa) ad Strength Test rength Test					
Rig: H	Rig: Hydrapower Scout Logged by: WR								
Groun	Drilling Method: Auger Groundwater: No free groundwater encountered during drilling								



Notes on Description and Classification of Soil

The methods of description and classification of soils used in this report are generally based on Australian Standard AS1726-1993 Geotechnical Site Investigations.

Soil description is based on an assessment of disturbed samples, as recovered from bores and excavations, or from undisturbed materials as seen in excavations and exposures or in undisturbed samples. Descriptions given on report sheets are an interpretation of the conditions encountered at the time of investigation.

In the case of cone or piezocone penetrometer tests, actual soil samples are not recovered and soil description is inferred based on published correlations, past experience and comparison with bore and/or test pit data (if available).

Soil classification is based on the particle size distribution of the soil and the plasticity of the portion of the material finer than 0.425mm. The description of particle size distribution and plasticity is based on the results of visual field estimation, laboratory testing or both. When assessed in the field, the properties of the soil are estimated; precise description will always require laboratory testing to define soil properties.

Where soil can be clearly identified as FILL this will be noted as the main soil type followed by a description of the composition of the fill (e.g. FILL – yellow-brown, fine to coarse grained gravelly clay fill with concrete rubble). If the soil is assessed as possibly being fill this will be noted as an additional observation.

Soils are generally described using the following sequence of terms. In certain instances, not all of the terms will be included in the soil description.

MAIN SOIL TYPE (CLASSIFICATION GROUP SYMBOL)

- strength/density, colour, structure/grain size, secondary and minor components, additional observations

Information on the definition of descriptive and classification terms follows.

SOIL TYPE and CLASSIFICATION GROUP SYMBOLS

	Major Divisions	Particle Size	Classification Group Symbol	Typical Names
	BOULDERS	>200mm		
	COBBLES	63 – 200mm		
	GRAVELS	0	GW	Well graded gravels, gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines.
	(more than half of coarse fraction is larger	Medium: 6 – 20mm	GP	Poorly graded gravels and gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines, uniform gravels.
COARSE	than 2.36mm)	Fine: 2.30 - 0mm	GM	Silty gravels, gravel-sand-silt mixtures.
GRAINED SOILS			GC	Clayey gravels, gravel-sand-clay mixtures.
(more than half of material is larger than	SANDS		SW	Well graded sands, gravelly sands, little or no fines.
0.075mm)	(more than half of coarse fraction is smaller than 2.36mm)	Coarse: 0.6 – 2.36mm Medium: 0.2 – 0.6mm	SP	Poorly graded sands and gravelly sands; little or no fines, uniform sands.
		Fine: 0.075 – 0.2mm	SM	Silty sands, sand-silt mixtures.
			SC	Clayey sands, sand-clay mixtures.
			ML	Inorganic silts and very fine sands, silty/clayey fine sands or clayey silts with low plasticity.
	(liquid limit <50%)		CL and CI	Inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity, gravelly clays, sandy clays, silty clays.
FINE			OL	Organic silts and organic silty clays of low plasticity.
(more than half of			MH	Inorganic silts, micaceous or diatomaceous fine sandy or silty soils.
0 075mm)	(liquid limit >50%)		СН	Inorganic clays of high plasticity.
			ОН	Organic clays of medium to high plasticity, organic silts.
	HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS		Pt	Peat and other highly organic soils.



PLASTICITY CHART FOR CLASSIFICATION OF FINE GRAINED SOILS



(Reference: Australian Standard AS1726-1993 Geotechnical site investigations)

DESCRIPTIVE TERMS FOR MATERIAL PROPORTIONS

	Coarse Grained Soils	Fine Grained Soils			
% Fines	Modifier	% Coarse	Modifier		
<5	Omit, or use 'trace'	<15	Omit, or use trace.		
5 – 12	Describe as 'with clay/silt' as applicable.	15 – 30	Describe as 'with sand/gravel' as applicable.		
>12	Prefix soil as 'silty/clayey' as applicable	>30	Prefix soil as 'sandy/gravelly' as applicable.		

STRENGTH TERMS - COHESIVE SOILS

Strength Term	Undrained Shear Strength	Field Guide to Strength
Very soft	<12kPa	Exudes between the fingers when squeezed in hand.
Soft	12 – 25kPa	Can be moulded by light finger pressure.
Firm	25 – 50kPa	Can be moulded by strong finger pressure.
Stiff	50 – 100kPa	Cannot be moulded by fingers, can be indented by thumb.
Very stiff	100 – 200kPa	Can be indented by thumb nail.
Hard	>200kPa	Can be indented with difficulty by thumb nail.

DENSITY TERMS - NON COHESIVE SOILS

Density Term	Density Index	SPT "N"	CPT Cone Resistance
Very loose	<15%	0 - 5	0 – 2MPa
Loose	15 – 35%	5 – 10	2 – 5MPa
Medium dense	35 – 65%	10 – 30	5 – 15MPa
Dense	65 – 85%	30 – 50	15 – 25MPa
Very dense	>85%	>50	>25MPa

<u>COLOUR</u>

The colour of a soil will generally be described in a 'moist' condition using simple colour terms (e.g. black, grey, red, brown etc.) modified as necessary by "pale", "dark", "light" or "mottled". Borderline colours will be described as a combination of colours (e.g. greybrown).

EXAMPLE

e.g. CLAYEY SAND (SC) - medium dense, grey-brown, fine to medium grained with silt.

Indicates a medium dense, grey-brown, fine to medium grained clayey sand with silt.



Notes on Description and Classification of Rock

The methods of description and classification of rock used in this report are generally based on Australian Standard AS1726-1993 Geotechnical site investigations.

Rock description is based on an assessment of disturbed samples, as recovered from bores and excavations, or from undisturbed materials as seen in excavations and exposures, or in core samples. Descriptions given on report sheets are an interpretation of the conditions encountered at the time of investigation.

Notes outlining the method and terminology adopted for the description of rock defects are given below, however, detailed information on defects can generally only be determined where rock core is taken, or excavations or exposures allow detailed observation and measurement.

Rocks are generally described using the following sequence of terms. In certain instances not all of the terms will be included in the rock description.

ROCK TYPE (WEATHERING SYMBOL), strength, colour, grain size, defect frequency

Information on the definition of descriptive and classification terms follows.

ROCK TYPE

In general, simple rock names are used rather than precise geological classifications.

ROCK MATERIALS WEATHERING CLASSIFICATION

Term	Weathering Symbol	Definition
Residual soil	RS	Soil developed from extremely weathered rock; the mass structure and substance fabrics are no longer evident; there is a large change in volume but the soil has not been significantly transported.
Extremely weathered	XW	Rock is weathered to such an extent that it has 'soil' properties, i.e. it either disintegrates or can be remoulded in water.
Distinctly weathered *	DW	Rock strength usually changed by weathering. The rock may be highly discoloured, usually by ironstaining. Porosity may be increased by leaching, or may be decreased due to deposition of weathering products in pores.
- Highly weathered	HW	Rock substance affected by weathering to the extent that limonite staining or bleaching affects the whole of the rock substance and other signs of chemical or physical decomposition are evident. Porosity and strength may be increased or decreased compared to the fresh rock, usually as a result of iron leaching or deposition. The colour and strength of the original fresh rock substance is no longer recognisable.
- Moderately weathered	MW	Rock substance affected by weathering to the extent that staining extends throughout the whole of the rock substance and the original colour of the fresh rock may be no longer recognisable.
Slightly weathered	SW	Rock is slightly discoloured but shows little or no change of strength from fresh rock.
Fresh	FR	Rock shows no sign of decomposition or staining.

* Subdivision of this weathering grade into highly and moderately may be used where applicable.

STRENGTH OF ROCK MATERIAL

Term	Symbol	Point Load Index I₅ (50)	Field Guide To Strength
Extremely low	EL	<0.03MPa	Easily remoulded by hand to a material with soil properties.
Very low	VL	0.03 – 0.1MPa	Material crumbles under firm blows with sharp end of pick; can be peeled with knife; too hard to cut a triaxial sample by hand. Pieces up to 30mm thick can be broken by finger pressure.
Low	L	0.1 – 0.3MPa	Easily scored with a knife; indentations 1mm to 3mm show in the specimen with firm blows of the pick point; has dull sound under hammer. A piece of core 150mm long 50mm diameter may be broken by hand. Sharp edges of core may be friable and break during handling.
Medium	М	0.3 – 1.0MPa	Readily scored with a knife; a piece of core 150mm long by 50mm diameter can be broken by hand with difficulty.
High	Н	1.0 – 3.0MPa	A piece of core 150mm long by 50mm diameter cannot be broken by hand but can be broken by a pick with a single firm blow; rock rings under hammer.
Very high	VH	3.0 – 10.0MPa	Hand specimen breaks with pick after more than one blow; rock rings under hammer.
Extremely high	EH	>10MPa	Specimen requires many blows with geological pick to break through intact material; rock rings under hammer.

Notes:

1. These terms refer to the strength of the rock material and not to the strength of the rock mass which may be considerably weaker due to the effect of rock defects.

2. The field guide visual assessment for rock strength may be used for preliminary assessment or when point load testing is not available.

3. Anisotropy of rock may affect the field assessment of strength.

COLOUR

The colour of a rock will generally be described in a 'moist' condition using simple colour terms (e.g. black, grey, red, brown, etc) modified as necessary by 'pale', 'dark', 'light' or 'mottled'. Borderline colours will be described as a combination of colours (e.g. grey-brown).



GRAIN SIZE

Descriptive Term	Particle Size Range
Coarse grained	0.6 – 2.0mm
Medium grained	0.2 – 0.6mm
Fine grained	0.06 – 0.2mm

DEFECT FREQUENCY

Where appropriate, a defect frequency may be recorded as part of the rock description and will be expressed as the number of natural (or interpreted natural) defects present in an equivalent one metre length of core; by use of the following defect frequency descriptive terms; or both. The descriptive terms refer to the spacing of all types of natural defects along which the rock is discontinuous and include, bedding plane partings, joints and other rock defects, but excludes known artificial fractures such as drilling breaks.

Defect Frequency	Description
Fragmented	Rock core is comprised primarily of fragments of length less than 20mm, and mostly of width less than the core diameter
Highly Fractured	Core lengths are generally less than 20mm to 40mm with accessional fragments
	Core renguis are generally less than zomin to 40min with occasional nagments.
Fractured	Core lengths are mainly 30mm to 100mm with occasional shorter and longer sections.
Fractured to Slightly Fractured	Core lengths are mainly 100mm to 300mm with occasional shorter to longer sections.
Slightly Fractured	Core lengths are generally 300mm to 1,000mm with occasional longer sections and occasional sections of 100mm to 300mm.
Unbroken	The core does not contain any fractures.

EXAMPLE

e.g. SANDSTONE (XW) - low strength, pale brown, fine to coarse grained, slightly fractured.

ROCK DEFECT LOGGING

Defects are discontinuities in the rock mass and include joints, sheared zones, cleavages and bedding partings. The ability to observe and log defects will depend on the investigation methodology. Defects logged in core are described using the abbreviations noted in the following tables.

The *depth* noted in the description is measured in metres from the ground surface, the *defect angle* is measured in degrees from horizontal, and the defect *thickness* is measured normal to the plane of the defect and is in millimetres (unless otherwise noted).

Defects are generally described using the following sequence of terms:

Depth, Defect Type, Defect Angle (dip), Surface Roughness, Infill, Thickness

DEFECT TYPE

В	 Bedding
J	– Joint
S	 Shear Zone
С	 Crushed Zone

SURFACE ROUGHNESS

i	 rough or irregular, stepped
ii	 smooth, stepped
iii	 slickensided, stepped
iv	 rough or irregular, undulating
v	- smooth, undulating
vi	 slickensided, undulating
vii	- rough or irregular, planar
viii	- smooth planar
ix	- slickensided planar

INFILL

Infill refers to secondary minerals or other materials formed on the surface of the defect and some common descriptions are given in the following table together with their abbreviations.

Ls	 limonite staining
Fe	 iron staining
CI	- clay
Mn	 manganese staining
Qtz	- quartz
Ca	- calcite
Clean	- no visible infill

EXAMPLE

3.59m, J, 90, vii, Ls, 1mm

indicates a joint at 3.59m depth that is at 90° to horizontal (i.e. vertical), is rough or irregular and planar, limonite stained and 1mm thick.



APPENDIX B LABORATORY DOCUMENTATION



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Work Order	EB2026680	Page	: 1 of 8
Client	: BUTLER PARTNERS PTY LTD	Laboratory	Environmental Division Brisbane
Contact	: MIKE MELLES	Contact	: Andrew Epps
Address	: PO BOX 2267	Address	2 Byth Street Stafford QLD Australia 4053
	FORTITUDE VALLEY BC QLD, AUSTRALIA 4006		
Telephone	+61 07 38523800	Telephone	: +61 7 3552 8639
Project	: 019-113B	Date Samples Received	: 12-Oct-2020 18:04
Order number	: 202829	Date Analysis Commenced	: 15-Oct-2020
C-O-C number	: ASS01	Issue Date	: 15-Oct-2020 16:51
Sampler	: KIERAN VAN BRECHT, RICHARD PEARCE		Hac-MRA NAI
Site	Yeronga		
Quote number	: EN/222 (Planned event Tables)		The Automation
No. of samples received	: 40		Accreditation No. a Accredited for compliance w
No. of samples analysed	: 26		ISO/IEC 17025 - Test

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

This Certificate of Analysis contains the following information:

- General Comments
- Analytical Results

Additional information pertinent to this report will be found in the following separate attachments: Quality Control Report, QA/QC Compliance Assessment to assist with Quality Review and Sample Receipt Notification.

Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

Signatories	Position	Accreditation Category
Ben Felgendrejeris	Senior Acid Sulfate Soil Chemist	Brisbane Acid Sulphate Soils, Stafford, QLD



General Comments

The analytical procedures used by ALS have been developed from established internationally recognised procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are fully validated and are often at the client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes.

Where a result is required to meet compliance limits the associated uncertainty must be considered. Refer to the ALS Contact for details.

Key: CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

LOR = Limit of reporting

^ = This result is computed from individual analyte detections at or above the level of reporting

ø = ALS is not NATA accredited for these tests.

~ = Indicates an estimated value.

• ASS: EA033 (CRS Suite): ANC not required because pH KCl less than 6.5

ASS: EA033 (CRS Suite): Liming rate is calculated and reported on a dry weight basis assuming use of fine agricultural lime (CaCO3) and using a safety factor of 1.5 to allow for non-homogeneous mixing and
poor reactivity of lime. For conversion of Liming Rate from 'kg/t dry weight' to 'kg/m3 in-situ soil', multiply 'reported results' x 'wet bulk density of soil in t/m3'.

Page : 3 of 8 Work Order : EB2026680 Client : BUTLER PARTNERS PTY LTD Project : 019-113B



Sub-Matrix: SOIL		Clie	ent sample ID	B201-1	B201-2	B202-1	B202-2	B203-1
(Matrix: SOIL)				1.8-2.0	2.3-2.5	0.8-1.0	1.3-1.5	1.3-1.5
	CI	lient sampli	ng date / time	09-Oct-2020 00:00				
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	EB2026680-001	EB2026680-002	EB2026680-003	EB2026680-004	EB2026680-005
				Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EA033-A: Actual Acidity								
pH KCI (23A)		0.1	pH Unit	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.6
Titratable Actual Acidity (23F)		2	mole H+ / t	74	59	47	24	23
sulfidic - Titratable Actual Acidity (s-23F)		0.02	% pyrite S	0.12	0.09	0.08	0.04	0.04
EA033-B: Potential Acidity								
Chromium Reducible Sulfur (22B)		0.005	% S	0.009	0.020	0.017	0.016	0.019
acidity - Chromium Reducible Sulfur		10	mole H+ / t	<10	12	11	10	12
(a-22B)								
EA033-D: Retained Acidity								
KCI Extractable Sulfur (23Ce)		0.02	% S	<0.02	<0.02			
HCI Extractable Sulfur (20Be)		0.02	% S	<0.02	0.02			
Net Acid Soluble Sulfur (20Je)		0.02	% S	<0.02	0.02			
acidity - Net Acid Soluble Sulfur (a-20J)		10	mole H+ / t	<10	11			
sulfidic - Net Acid Soluble Sulfur (s-20J)		0.02	% pyrite S	<0.02	<0.02			
EA033-E: Acid Base Accounting								
ANC Fineness Factor		0.5	-	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
Net Acidity (sulfur units)		0.02	% S	0.13	0.13	0.09	0.06	0.06
Net Acidity (acidity units)		10	mole H+ / t	80	83	58	35	35
Liming Rate		1	kg CaCO3/t	6	6	4	3	3
Net Acidity excluding ANC (sulfur units)		0.02	% S	0.13	0.13	0.09	0.06	0.06
Net Acidity excluding ANC (acidity units)		10	mole H+ / t	80	83	58	35	35
Liming Rate excluding ANC		1	kg CaCO3/t	6	6	4	3	3

Page : 4 of 8 Work Order : EB2026680 Client : BUTLER PARTNERS PTY LTD Project : 019-113B



Sub-Matrix: SOIL		Clie	ent sample ID	B203-2	B204-2	B204-3	B205-1	B205-2
				1.8-2.0	1.3-1.5	1.8-2.0	0.1-0.2	0.25-0.35
	Cl	lient sampli	ng date / time	09-Oct-2020 00:00				
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	EB2026680-006	EB2026680-008	EB2026680-009	EB2026680-010	EB2026680-011
				Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EA033-A: Actual Acidity								
рН КСІ (23А)		0.1	pH Unit	5.1	4.6	4.1	6.0	4.8
Titratable Actual Acidity (23F)		2	mole H+ / t	14	32	67	4	28
sulfidic - Titratable Actual Acidity (s-23F)		0.02	% pyrite S	0.02	0.05	0.11	<0.02	0.04
EA033-B: Potential Acidity								
Chromium Reducible Sulfur (22B)		0.005	% S	0.017	0.029	0.022	0.018	0.024
acidity - Chromium Reducible Sulfur		10	mole H+ / t	11	18	14	11	15
(a-22B)								
EA033-D: Retained Acidity								
KCI Extractable Sulfur (23Ce)		0.02	% S			<0.02		
HCI Extractable Sulfur (20Be)		0.02	% S			<0.02		
Net Acid Soluble Sulfur (20Je)		0.02	% S			<0.02		
acidity - Net Acid Soluble Sulfur (a-20J)		10	mole H+ / t			<10		
sulfidic - Net Acid Soluble Sulfur (s-20J)		0.02	% pyrite S			<0.02		
EA033-E: Acid Base Accounting								
ANC Fineness Factor		0.5	-	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
Net Acidity (sulfur units)		0.02	% S	0.04	0.08	0.13	0.02	0.07
Net Acidity (acidity units)		10	mole H+ / t	24	50	81	16	43
Liming Rate		1	kg CaCO3/t	2	4	6	1	3
Net Acidity excluding ANC (sulfur units)		0.02	% S	0.04	0.08	0.13	0.02	0.07
Net Acidity excluding ANC (acidity units)		10	mole H+ / t	24	50	81	16	43
Liming Rate excluding ANC		1	kg CaCO3/t	2	4	6	1	3

Page : 5 of 8 Work Order : EB2026680 Client : BUTLER PARTNERS PTY LTD Project : 019-113B



Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL)		Clie	ent sample ID	B206-1 1.4-1.5	B206-2 1.8-2.0	B207-1 2.3-2.5	B207-2 2.75-2.85	B208-1 1.8-2.0
	Cl	ient sampli	ng date / time	09-Oct-2020 00:00	09-Oct-2020 00:00	09-Oct-2020 00:00	09-Oct-2020 00:00	09-Oct-2020 00:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	EB2026680-012	EB2026680-013	EB2026680-014	EB2026680-015	EB2026680-016
				Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EA033-A: Actual Acidity								
pH KCI (23A)		0.1	pH Unit	4.9	4.8	4.5	4.7	4.7
Titratable Actual Acidity (23F)		2	mole H+ / t	19	18	38	24	32
sulfidic - Titratable Actual Acidity (s-23F)		0.02	% pyrite S	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.05
EA033-B: Potential Acidity								
Chromium Reducible Sulfur (22B)		0.005	% S	0.019	0.016	0.021	0.019	0.024
acidity - Chromium Reducible Sulfur		10	mole H+ / t	12	<10	13	12	15
(a-22B)								
EA033-E: Acid Base Accounting								
ANC Fineness Factor		0.5	-	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
Net Acidity (sulfur units)		0.02	% S	0.05	0.04	0.08	0.06	0.08
Net Acidity (acidity units)		10	mole H+ / t	31	27	51	36	47
Liming Rate		1	kg CaCO3/t	2	2	4	3	4
Net Acidity excluding ANC (sulfur units)		0.02	% S	0.05	0.04	0.08	0.06	0.08
Net Acidity excluding ANC (acidity units)		10	mole H+ / t	31	27	51	36	47
Liming Rate excluding ANC		1	kg CaCO3/t	2	2	4	3	4

Page : 6 of 8 Work Order : EB2026680 Client : BUTLER PARTNERS PTY LTD Project : 019-113B



Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL)		Clie	ent sample ID	B208-2 2 3-2 5	B209-1 1 8-2 0	B209-2 2 3-2 5	B210-1	B210-2 1 15-1 25
	CI	lient sampli	ng date / time	09-Oct-2020 00:00				
Compound	CAS Number	LOR .	Unit	EB2026680-017	EB2026680-018	EB2026680-019	EB2026680-020	EB2026680-021
				Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EA033-A: Actual Acidity								
pH KCI (23A)		0.1	pH Unit	5.0	4.3	4.4	4.8	4.4
Titratable Actual Acidity (23F)		2	mole H+/t	14	65	51	38	84
sulfidic - Titratable Actual Acidity (s-23F)		0.02	% pyrite S	0.02	0.10	0.08	0.06	0.14
EA033-B: Potential Acidity								
Chromium Reducible Sulfur (22B)		0.005	% S	0.023	0.023	0.013	0.012	0.038
acidity - Chromium Reducible Sulfur		10	mole H+ / t	14	15	<10	<10	24
(a-22B)								
EA033-D: Retained Acidity								
KCI Extractable Sulfur (23Ce)		0.02	% S		<0.02	<0.02		<0.02
HCI Extractable Sulfur (20Be)		0.02	% S		<0.02	<0.02		<0.02
Net Acid Soluble Sulfur (20Je)		0.02	% S		<0.02	<0.02		<0.02
acidity - Net Acid Soluble Sulfur (a-20J)		10	mole H+ / t		<10	<10		<10
sulfidic - Net Acid Soluble Sulfur (s-20J)		0.02	% pyrite S		<0.02	<0.02		<0.02
EA033-E: Acid Base Accounting								
ANC Fineness Factor		0.5	-	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
Net Acidity (sulfur units)		0.02	% S	0.04	0.13	0.10	0.07	0.17
Net Acidity (acidity units)		10	mole H+ / t	28	80	60	45	108
Liming Rate		1	kg CaCO3/t	2	6	4	3	8
Net Acidity excluding ANC (sulfur units)		0.02	% S	0.04	0.13	0.10	0.07	0.17
Net Acidity excluding ANC (acidity units)		10	mole H+ / t	28	80	60	45	108
Liming Rate excluding ANC		1	kg CaCO3/t	2	6	4	3	8

Page : 7 of 8 Work Order : EB2026680 Client : BUTLER PARTNERS PTY LTD Project : 019-113B



Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL)		Clie	ent sample ID	B211-1 0.65-0.75	B211-2 1 3-1 5	GW02-2 2 5-3 0	GW02-3	GW03-7 1 50-1 75
	CI	lient sampli	ng date / time	24-Sep-2020 00:00	24-Sep-2020 00:00	01-Oct-2020 00:00	01-Oct-2020 00:00	01-Oct-2020 00:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR .	Unit	EB2026680-022	EB2026680-023	EB2026680-025	EB2026680-026	EB2026680-033
				Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EA033-A: Actual Acidity								
pH KCI (23A)		0.1	pH Unit	4.3	5.4	4.3	4.9	4.3
Titratable Actual Acidity (23F)		2	mole H+ / t	96	15	71	17	74
sulfidic - Titratable Actual Acidity (s-23F)		0.02	% pyrite S	0.15	0.02	0.11	0.03	0.12
EA033-B: Potential Acidity								
Chromium Reducible Sulfur (22B)		0.005	% S	0.021	0.012	0.012	0.010	0.012
acidity - Chromium Reducible Sulfur		10	mole H+ / t	13	<10	<10	<10	<10
(a-22B)								
EA033-D: Retained Acidity								
KCI Extractable Sulfur (23Ce)		0.02	% S	<0.02		<0.02		<0.02
HCI Extractable Sulfur (20Be)		0.02	% S	<0.02		<0.02		<0.02
Net Acid Soluble Sulfur (20Je)		0.02	% S	<0.02		<0.02		<0.02
acidity - Net Acid Soluble Sulfur (a-20J)		10	mole H+ / t	<10		<10		<10
sulfidic - Net Acid Soluble Sulfur (s-20J)		0.02	% pyrite S	<0.02		<0.02		<0.02
EA033-E: Acid Base Accounting								
ANC Fineness Factor		0.5	-	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
Net Acidity (sulfur units)		0.02	% S	0.17	0.04	0.12	0.04	0.13
Net Acidity (acidity units)		10	mole H+ / t	109	22	78	24	81
Liming Rate		1	kg CaCO3/t	8	2	6	2	6
Net Acidity excluding ANC (sulfur units)		0.02	% S	0.17	0.04	0.12	0.04	0.13
Net Acidity excluding ANC (acidity units)		10	mole H+ / t	109	22	78	24	81
Liming Rate excluding ANC		1	kg CaCO3/t	8	2	6	2	6

Page : 8 of 8 Work Order : EB2026680 Client : BUTLER PARTNERS PTY LTD Project : 019-113B



Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL)		Clie	ent sample ID	GW03-10 2.25-2.50	 	
	CI	lient sampli	ng date / time	01-Oct-2020 00:00	 	
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	EB2026680-036	 	
				Result	 	
EA033-A: Actual Acidity						
рН КСІ (23А)		0.1	pH Unit	4.3	 	
Titratable Actual Acidity (23F)		2	mole H+ / t	78	 	
sulfidic - Titratable Actual Acidity (s-23F)		0.02	% pyrite S	0.12	 	
EA033-B: Potential Acidity						
Chromium Reducible Sulfur (22B)		0.005	% S	0.009	 	
acidity - Chromium Reducible Sulfur		10	mole H+ / t	<10	 	
(a-22B)						
EA033-D: Retained Acidity						
KCI Extractable Sulfur (23Ce)		0.02	% S	<0.02	 	
HCI Extractable Sulfur (20Be)		0.02	% S	<0.02	 	
Net Acid Soluble Sulfur (20Je)		0.02	% S	<0.02	 	
acidity - Net Acid Soluble Sulfur (a-20J)		10	mole H+ / t	<10	 	
sulfidic - Net Acid Soluble Sulfur (s-20J)		0.02	% pyrite S	<0.02	 	
EA033-E: Acid Base Accounting						
ANC Fineness Factor		0.5	-	1.5	 	
Net Acidity (sulfur units)		0.02	% S	0.13	 	
Net Acidity (acidity units)		10	mole H+ / t	84	 	
Liming Rate		1	kg CaCO3/t	6	 	
Net Acidity excluding ANC (sulfur units)		0.02	% S	0.13	 	
Net Acidity excluding ANC (acidity units)		10	mole H+ / t	84	 	
Liming Rate excluding ANC		1	kg CaCO3/t	6	 	



QUALITY CONTROL REPORT

Work Order	: EB2026680	Page	: 1 of 3
Client	BUTLER PARTNERS PTY LTD	Laboratory	: Environmental Division Brisbane
Contact	: MIKE MELLES	Contact	: Andrew Epps
Address	: PO BOX 2267	Address	: 2 Byth Street Stafford QLD Australia 4053
	FORTITUDE VALLEY BC QLD, AUSTRALIA 4006		
Telephone	: +61 07 38523800	Telephone	: +61 7 3552 8639
Project	: 019-113B	Date Samples Received	: 12-Oct-2020
Order number	: 202829	Date Analysis Commenced	: 15-Oct-2020
C-O-C number	: ASS01	Issue Date	: 15-Oct-2020
Sampler	: KIERAN VAN BRECHT, RICHARD PEARCE		Hac-MRA NATA
Site	: Yeronga		
Quote number	: EN/222 (Planned event Tables)		Accorditation No. 925
No. of samples received	: 40		Accredited for compliance with
No. of samples analysed	: 26		ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full. This Quality Control Report contains the following information:

- Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report; Relative Percentage Difference (RPD) and Acceptance Limits
- Method Blank (MB) and Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) Report; Recovery and Acceptance Limits
- Matrix Spike (MS) Report; Recovery and Acceptance Limits

Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

Signatories	Position	Accreditation Category
Ben Felgendrejeris	Senior Acid Sulfate Soil Chemist	Brisbane Acid Sulphate Soils, Stafford, QLD



General Comments

The analytical procedures used by ALS have been developed from established internationally recognised procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are fully validated and are often at the client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis. Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high

Key: Anonymous = Refers to samples which are not specifically part of this work order but formed part of the QC process lot

CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

LOR = Limit of reporting

RPD = Relative Percentage Difference

= Indicates failed QC

Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

The quality control term Laboratory Duplicate refers to a randomly selected intralaboratory split. Laboratory duplicates provide information regarding method precision and sample heterogeneity. The permitted ranges for the Relative Percent Deviation (RPD) of Laboratory Duplicates are specified in ALS Method QWI-EN/38 and are dependent on the magnitude of results in comparison to the level of reporting: Result < 10 times LOR: No Limit; Result between 10 and 20 times LOR: 0% - 50%; Result > 20 times LOR: 0% - 20%.

Sub-Matrix: SOIL	-Matrix: SOIL					Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report						
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)	Recovery Limits (%)			
EA033-A: Actual Ac	idity (QC Lot: 3307639)											
EB2026680-010	B205-1 0.1-0.2	EA033: sulfidic - Titratable Actual Acidity (s-23F)		0.02	% pyrite S	<0.02	<0.02	0.00	No Limit			
		EA033: Titratable Actual Acidity (23F)		2	mole H+ / t	4	4	0.00	No Limit			
		EA033: pH KCI (23A)		0.1	pH Unit	6.0	6.0	0.00	0% - 20%			
EB2026300-009	Anonymous	EA033: sulfidic - Titratable Actual Acidity (s-23F)		0.02	% pyrite S	<0.02	<0.02	0.00	No Limit			
		EA033: Titratable Actual Acidity (23F)		2	mole H+ / t	<2	<2	0.00	No Limit			
		EA033: pH KCI (23A)		0.1	pH Unit	8.6	8.6	0.00	0% - 20%			
EA033-A: Actual Ac	idity (QC Lot: 3307640)											
EB2026680-020	B210-1 0.8-1.0	EA033: sulfidic - Titratable Actual Acidity (s-23F)		0.02	% pyrite S	0.06	0.06	0.00	No Limit			
		EA033: Titratable Actual Acidity (23F)		2	mole H+ / t	38	38	0.00	0% - 50%			
		EA033: pH KCI (23A)		0.1	pH Unit	4.8	4.8	0.00	0% - 20%			
EA033-B: Potential	Acidity (QC Lot: 330763	9)										
EB2026680-010	B205-1 0.1-0.2	EA033: Chromium Reducible Sulfur (22B)		0.005	% S	0.018	0.022	19.6	No Limit			
		EA033: acidity - Chromium Reducible Sulfur (a-22B)		10	mole H+ / t	11	14	19.6	No Limit			
EB2026300-009	Anonymous	EA033: Chromium Reducible Sulfur (22B)		0.005	% S	0.183	0.180	1.50	0% - 20%			
		EA033: acidity - Chromium Reducible Sulfur (a-22B)		10	mole H+ / t	114	112	1.50	0% - 50%			
EA033-B: Potential	Acidity (QC Lot: 330764	0)										
EB2026680-020	B210-1 0.8-1.0	EA033: Chromium Reducible Sulfur (22B)		0.005	% S	0.012	0.012	0.00	No Limit			
		EA033: acidity - Chromium Reducible Sulfur (a-22B)		10	mole H+ / t	<10	<10	0.00	No Limit			



Method Blank (MB) and Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) Report

The quality control term Method / Laboratory Blank refers to an analyte free matrix to which all reagents are added in the same volumes or proportions as used in standard sample preparation. The purpose of this QC parameter is to monitor potential laboratory contamination. The quality control term Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) refers to a certified reference material, or a known interference free matrix spiked with target analytes. The purpose of this QC parameter is to monitor method precision and accuracy independent of sample matrix. Dynamic Recovery Limits are based on statistical evaluation of processed LCS.

Sub-Matrix: SOIL				Method Blank (MB)	Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) Report				
				Report	Spike	Spike Recovery (%)	Recovery	Limits (%)	
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Concentration	LCS	Low	High	
EA033-A: Actual Acidity (QCLot: 3307639)									
EA033: pH KCI (23A)			pH Unit		4.4 pH Unit	100	91.0	107	
EA033: Titratable Actual Acidity (23F)		2	mole H+ / t	<2	15 mole H+ / t	111	70.0	124	
EA033: sulfidic - Titratable Actual Acidity (s-23F)		0.02	% pyrite S	<0.02					
EA033-A: Actual Acidity (QCLot: 3307640)									
EA033: pH KCI (23A)			pH Unit		4.4 pH Unit	100	91.0	107	
EA033: Titratable Actual Acidity (23F)		2	mole H+ / t	<2	15 mole H+ / t	107	70.0	124	
EA033: sulfidic - Titratable Actual Acidity (s-23F)		0.02	% pyrite S	<0.02					
EA033-B: Potential Acidity (QCLot: 3307639)									
EA033: Chromium Reducible Sulfur (22B)		0.005	% S	<0.005	0.155 % S	111	77.0	121	
EA033: acidity - Chromium Reducible Sulfur (a-22B)		10	mole H+ / t	<10					
EA033-B: Potential Acidity (QCLot: 3307640)									
EA033: Chromium Reducible Sulfur (22B)		0.005	% S	<0.005	0.155 % S	105	77.0	121	
EA033: acidity - Chromium Reducible Sulfur (a-22B)		10	mole H+ / t	<10					
EA033-D: Retained Acidity (QCLot: 3307639)									
EA033: Net Acid Soluble Sulfur (20Je)		0.02	% S	<0.02					
EA033: acidity - Net Acid Soluble Sulfur (a-20J)		10	mole H+ / t	<10					
EA033: sulfidic - Net Acid Soluble Sulfur (s-20J)		0.02	% pyrite S	<0.02					
EA033: KCI Extractable Sulfur (23Ce)		0.02	% S	<0.02	0.04779 % S	101	70.0	128	
EA033: HCI Extractable Sulfur (20Be)		0.02	% S	<0.02	0.279 % S	104	70.0	120	
EA033-D: Retained Acidity (QCLot: 3307640)									
EA033: Net Acid Soluble Sulfur (20Je)		0.02	% S	<0.02					
EA033: acidity - Net Acid Soluble Sulfur (a-20J)		10	mole H+ / t	<10					
EA033: sulfidic - Net Acid Soluble Sulfur (s-20J)		0.02	% pyrite S	<0.02					
EA033: KCI Extractable Sulfur (23Ce)		0.02	% S	<0.02	0.04779 % S	101	70.0	128	
EA033: HCI Extractable Sulfur (20Be)		0.02	% S	<0.02	0.279 % S	104	70.0	120	

Matrix Spike (MS) Report

The quality control term Matrix Spike (MS) refers to an intralaboratory split sample spiked with a representative set of target analytes. The purpose of this QC parameter is to monitor potential matrix effects on analyte recoveries. Static Recovery Limits as per laboratory Data Quality Objectives (DQOs). Ideal recovery ranges stated may be waived in the event of sample matrix interference.

• No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



QA/QC Compliance Assessment to assist with Quality Review

Work Order	: EB2026680	Page	: 1 of 6
Client	: BUTLER PARTNERS PTY LTD	Laboratory	: Environmental Division Brisbane
Contact	: MIKE MELLES	Telephone	: +61 7 3552 8639
Project	: 019-113B	Date Samples Received	: 12-Oct-2020
Site	: Yeronga	Issue Date	: 15-Oct-2020
Sampler	: KIERAN VAN BRECHT, RICHARD PEARCE	No. of samples received	: 40
Order number	: 202829	No. of samples analysed	: 26

This report is automatically generated by the ALS LIMS through interpretation of the ALS Quality Control Report and several Quality Assurance parameters measured by ALS. This automated reporting highlights any non-conformances, facilitates faster and more accurate data validation and is designed to assist internal expert and external Auditor review. Many components of this report contribute to the overall DQO assessment and reporting for guideline compliance.

Brief method summaries and references are also provided to assist in traceability.

Summary of Outliers

Outliers : Quality Control Samples

This report highlights outliers flagged in the Quality Control (QC) Report.

- <u>NO</u> Method Blank value outliers occur.
- <u>NO</u> Duplicate outliers occur.
- <u>NO</u> Laboratory Control outliers occur.
- <u>NO</u> Matrix Spike outliers occur.
- For all regular sample matrices, <u>NO</u> surrogate recovery outliers occur.

Outliers : Analysis Holding Time Compliance

• <u>NO</u> Analysis Holding Time Outliers exist.

Outliers : Frequency of Quality Control Samples

• <u>NO</u> Quality Control Sample Frequency Outliers exist.



Analysis Holding Time Compliance

Matrix: SOIL

Method

If samples are identified below as having been analysed or extracted outside of recommended holding times, this should be taken into consideration when interpreting results.

This report summarizes extraction / preparation and analysis times and compares each with ALS recommended holding times (referencing USEPA SW 846, APHA, AS and NEPM) based on the sample container provided. Dates reported represent first date of extraction or analysis and preclude subsequent dilutions and reruns. A listing of breaches (if any) is provided herein.

Holding time for leachate methods (e.g. TCLP) vary according to the analytes reported. Assessment compares the leach date with the shortest analyte holding time for the equivalent soil method. These are: organics 14 days, mercury 28 days & other metals 180 days. A recorded breach does not guarantee a breach for all non-volatile parameters.

Holding times for <u>VOC in soils</u> vary according to analytes of interest. Vinyl Chloride and Styrene holding time is 7 days; others 14 days. A recorded breach does not guarantee a breach for all VOC analytes and should be verified in case the reported breach is a false positive <u>or</u> Vinyl Chloride and Styrene are not key analytes of interest/concern.

				J =		J			
Sample Date	Ex	traction / Preparation		Analysis					
	Date extracted	Due for extraction	Evaluation	Date analysed	Due for analysis	Evaluation			

Evaluation: \mathbf{x} = Holding time breach : \mathbf{v} = Within holding time

Container / Client Sample ID(s)			Date extracted	Due for extraction	Evaluation	Date analysed	Due for analysis	Evaluation
EA033-A: Actual Acidity								
Snap Lock Bag - frozen (EA033)								
GW02-2 - 2.5-3.0,	GW02-3 - 4.5-4.6,	01-Oct-2020	15-Oct-2020	01-Oct-2021	1	15-Oct-2020	13-Jan-2021	✓
GW03-7 - 1.50-1.75,	GW03-10 - 2.25-2.50							
Snap Lock Bag - frozen (EA033)								
B201-1 - 1.8-2.0,	B201-2 - 2.3-2.5,	09-Oct-2020	15-Oct-2020	09-Oct-2021	1	15-Oct-2020	13-Jan-2021	✓
B202-1 - 0.8-1.0,	B202-2 - 1.3-1.5,							
B203-1 - 1.3-1.5,	B203-2 - 1.8-2.0,							
B204-2 - 1.3-1.5,	B204-3 - 1.8-2.0,							
B205-1 - 0.1-0.2,	B205-2 - 0.25-0.35,							
B206-1 - 1.4-1.5,	B206-2 - 1.8-2.0,							
B207-1 - 2.3-2.5,	B207-2 - 2.75-2.85,							
B208-1 - 1.8-2.0,	B208-2 - 2.3-2.5,							
B209-1 - 1.8-2.0,	B209-2 - 2.3-2.5,							
B210-1 - 0.8-1.0,	B210-2 - 1.15-1.25							
Snap Lock Bag - frozen (EA033)								
B211-1 - 0.65-0.75,	B211-2 - 1.3-1.5	24-Sep-2020	15-Oct-2020	24-Sep-2021	1	15-Oct-2020	13-Jan-2021	✓

Page	: 3 of 6
Work Order	: EB2026680
Client	: BUTLER PARTNERS PTY LTD
Project	: 019-113B



Matrix: SOIL					Evaluation	n: 🗴 = Holding time	e breach ; ✓ = Withi	in holding time
Method		Sample Date	E	traction / Preparation			Analysis	
Container / Client Sample ID(s)			Date extracted	Due for extraction	Evaluation	Date analysed	Due for analysis	Evaluation
EA033-B: Potential Acidity								
Snap Lock Bag - frozen (EA033)								
GW02-2 - 2.5-3.0,	GW02-3 - 4.5-4.6,	01-Oct-2020	15-Oct-2020	01-Oct-2021	1	15-Oct-2020	13-Jan-2021	✓
GW03-7 - 1.50-1.75,	GW03-10 - 2.25-2.50							
Snap Lock Bag - frozen (EA033)								
B201-1 - 1.8-2.0,	B201-2 - 2.3-2.5,	09-Oct-2020	15-Oct-2020	09-Oct-2021	-	15-Oct-2020	13-Jan-2021	✓
B202-1 - 0.8-1.0,	B202-2 - 1.3-1.5,							
B203-1 - 1.3-1.5,	B203-2 - 1.8-2.0,							
B204-2 - 1.3-1.5,	B204-3 - 1.8-2.0,							
B205-1 - 0.1-0.2,	B205-2 - 0.25-0.35,							
B206-1 - 1.4-1.5,	B206-2 - 1.8-2.0,							
B207-1 - 2.3-2.5,	B207-2 - 2.75-2.85,							
B208-1 - 1.8-2.0,	B208-2 - 2.3-2.5,							
B209-1 - 1.8-2.0,	B209-2 - 2.3-2.5,							
B210-1 - 0.8-1.0,	B210-2 - 1.15-1.25							
Snap Lock Bag - frozen (EA033)								
B211-1 - 0.65-0.75,	B211-2 - 1.3-1.5	24-Sep-2020	15-Oct-2020	24-Sep-2021	1	15-Oct-2020	13-Jan-2021	✓
EA033-C: Acid Neutralising Capacity								
Snap Lock Bag - frozen (EA033)								
GW02-2 - 2.5-3.0,	GW02-3 - 4.5-4.6,	01-Oct-2020	15-Oct-2020	01-Oct-2021	 ✓ 	15-Oct-2020	13-Jan-2021	 ✓
GW03-7 - 1.50-1.75,	GW03-10 - 2.25-2.50							
Snap Lock Bag - frozen (EA033)							40.1.0004	
B201-1 - 1.8-2.0,	B201-2 - 2.3-2.5,	09-Oct-2020	15-Oct-2020	09-Oct-2021	-	15-Oct-2020	13-Jan-2021	✓
B202-1 - 0.8-1.0,	B202-2 - 1.3-1.5,							
B203-1 - 1.3-1.5,	B203-2 - 1.8-2.0,							
B204-2 - 1.3-1.5,	B204-3 - 1.8-2.0,							
B205-1 - 0.1-0.2,	B205-2 - 0.25-0.35,							
B206-1 - 1.4-1.5,	B206-2 - 1.8-2.0,							
B207-1 - 2.3-2.5,	B207-2 - 2.75-2.85,							
B208-1 - 1.8-2.0,	B208-2 - 2.3-2.5,							
B209-1 - 1.8-2.0,	B209-2 - 2.3-2.5,							
B210-1 - 0.8-1.0,	B210-2 - 1.15-1.25							
Snap Lock Bag - frozen (EA033)								
B211-1 - 0.65-0.75,	B211-2 - 1.3-1.5	24-Sep-2020	15-Oct-2020	24-Sep-2021	1	15-Oct-2020	13-Jan-2021	✓

Page	: 4 of 6
Work Order	: EB2026680
Client	: BUTLER PARTNERS PTY LTD
Project	: 019-113B



Matrix: SOIL					Evaluation	i: 🗴 = Holding time	breach ; 🗸 = With	in holding time
Method		Sample Date	Ex	traction / Preparation			Analysis	
Container / Client Sample ID(s)			Date extracted	Due for extraction	Evaluation	Date analysed	Due for analysis	Evaluation
EA033-D: Retained Acidity								
Snap Lock Bag - frozen (EA033)								
GW02-2 - 2.5-3.0,	GW02-3 - 4.5-4.6,	01-Oct-2020	15-Oct-2020	01-Oct-2021	1	15-Oct-2020	13-Jan-2021	✓
GW03-7 - 1.50-1.75,	GW03-10 - 2.25-2.50							
Snap Lock Bag - frozen (EA033)								
B201-1 - 1.8-2.0,	B201-2 - 2.3-2.5,	09-Oct-2020	15-Oct-2020	09-Oct-2021	1	15-Oct-2020	13-Jan-2021	✓
B202-1 - 0.8-1.0,	B202-2 - 1.3-1.5,							
B203-1 - 1.3-1.5,	B203-2 - 1.8-2.0,							
B204-2 - 1.3-1.5,	B204-3 - 1.8-2.0,							
B205-1 - 0.1-0.2,	B205-2 - 0.25-0.35,							
B206-1 - 1.4-1.5,	B206-2 - 1.8-2.0,							
B207-1 - 2.3-2.5,	B207-2 - 2.75-2.85,							
B208-1 - 1.8-2.0,	B208-2 - 2.3-2.5,							
B209-1 - 1.8-2.0,	B209-2 - 2.3-2.5,							
B210-1 - 0.8-1.0,	B210-2 - 1.15-1.25							
Snap Lock Bag - frozen (EA033)								
B211-1 - 0.65-0.75,	B211-2 - 1.3-1.5	24-Sep-2020	15-Oct-2020	24-Sep-2021	✓	15-Oct-2020	13-Jan-2021	✓
EA033-E: Acid Base Accounting								
Snap Lock Bag - frozen (EA033)								
GW02-2 - 2.5-3.0,	GW02-3 - 4.5-4.6,	01-Oct-2020	15-Oct-2020	01-Oct-2021	~	15-Oct-2020	13-Jan-2021	✓
GW03-7 - 1.50-1.75,	GW03-10 - 2.25-2.50							
Snap Lock Bag - frozen (EA033)								
B201-1 - 1.8-2.0,	B201-2 - 2.3-2.5,	09-Oct-2020	15-Oct-2020	09-Oct-2021	~	15-Oct-2020	13-Jan-2021	✓
B202-1 - 0.8-1.0,	B202-2 - 1.3-1.5,							
B203-1 - 1.3-1.5,	B203-2 - 1.8-2.0,							
B204-2 - 1.3-1.5,	B204-3 - 1.8-2.0,							
B205-1 - 0.1-0.2,	B205-2 - 0.25-0.35,							
B206-1 - 1.4-1.5,	B206-2 - 1.8-2.0,							
B207-1 - 2.3-2.5,	B207-2 - 2.75-2.85,							
B208-1 - 1.8-2.0,	B208-2 - 2.3-2.5,							
B209-1 - 1.8-2.0,	B209-2 - 2.3-2.5,							
B210-1 - 0.8-1.0,	B210-2 - 1.15-1.25							
Snap Lock Bag - frozen (EA033)								
B211-1 - 0.65-0.75,	B211-2 - 1.3-1.5	24-Sep-2020	15-Oct-2020	24-Sep-2021	1	15-Oct-2020	13-Jan-2021	✓



Quality Control Parameter Frequency Compliance

The following report summarises the frequency of laboratory QC samples analysed within the analytical lot(s) in which the submitted sample(s) was(were) processed. Actual rate should be greater than or equal to the expected rate. A listing of breaches is provided in the Summary of Outliers.

Matrix: SOIL			Evaluation: \star = Quality Control frequency not within specification ; 🗹 = Quality Control frequency within specification						
Quality Control Sample Type		Count		Rate (%)			Quality Control Specification		
Analytical Methods	Method	00	Reaular	Actual	Expected	Evaluation			
Laboratory Duplicates (DUP)									
Chromium Suite for Acid Sulphate Soils	EA033	3	28	10.71	10.00	✓	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard		
Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)									
Chromium Suite for Acid Sulphate Soils	EA033	2	28	7.14	5.00	✓	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard		
Method Blanks (MB)									
Chromium Suite for Acid Sulphate Soils	EA033	2	28	7.14	5.00	✓	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard		



Brief Method Summaries

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the US EPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request. The following report provides brief descriptions of the analytical procedures employed for results reported in the Certificate of Analysis. Sources from which ALS methods have been developed are provided within the Method Descriptions.

Analytical Methods	Method	Matrix	Method Descriptions
Chromium Suite for Acid Sulphate Soils	EA033	SOIL	In house: Referenced to Ahern et al 2004. This method covers the determination of Chromium Reducible Sulfur (SCR); pHKCl; titratable actual acidity (TAA); acid neutralising capacity by back titration (ANC); and net acid soluble sulfur (SNAS) which incorporates peroxide sulfur. It applies to soils and sediments (including sands) derived from coastal regions. Liming Rate is based on results for samples as submitted and incorporates a minimum safety factor of 1.5.
Preparation Methods	Method	Matrix	Method Descriptions
Drying at 85 degrees, bagging and labelling (ASS)	EN020PR	SOIL	In house

Appendix G – Demand Calculations



Water Demand Preliminary Assessment										/il 00
WOOD & GRIEVE	ENGINEERS							Sheet No).	
	B 1 11 V						Job No.	1	of	1
Job:	Parkside Yeronga						301048272	By:	AR	
Description	Water Demand Calculations							Date:	8/09/21	
Couno	il Aroa:	Prichana City Council								
Watar/	Wastowator Authority:	Oueensland Urban Utilities								E
water/	wastewater Authonity.									
		Existing Demand	(Water)]			
	Description	Category	EP/unit	Quantity		EP				
Subjec	t Site									
Former	TAFE site	Education Facility	18		3.13	56.34				
		-				0				
		Sub-total Subiect Site				56.34				
						0.01				
L					Total EP	56	1			
					ν (ΔD) (I/s)	0 150	=			
				Peak Hou	r (DH) (I/e)	0.100				
				reak nou	г (FП) (#S)	0.420				
		Proposal Demand	(Water)]			
	Description	Category	EP/unit	Quantity		EP				
Subjec	t Site									
Townh	ouses	Attached Dwelling	1.75		38	66.5				
Retiren	nent/ Lifestyle Units	Attached Dwelling	1.75		178	311.5				
Afforda	ble Living Units	Attached Dwelling	1.75		78	136.5				
		Sub-total Residential Hi Res				515				
		High Der	sitv Residentia	- Average Da	v (AD) (I/s) 1	.37				
		High Density Resid	ential - Peak Ho	ur (PH) (PH/Al	D=3.5) (I/s) 4	.79				
Comme	ercial		0.006		6000	36				
Comm	unity Purpose		0.006		730	4.38				
		Sub-total Commerical				40				
			Commercial	- Average Da	y (AD) (I/s) 0	.11				
		Comm	ercial - Peak Ho	ur (PH) (PH/Al	D=2.8) (I/s) 0	.30				
				Total EP		555	-			
		Non Revenue L	osses (30L/EP/d)- Average Da	y (AD) (I/s) 0	.19				
			Total	- Average Day	y (AD) (I/s) 1	.67				
				Peak Hou	r (PH) (l/s) 5	.29				
]			
										ľ
										ľ

Sewerage Network Capacity Preliminary Assessment						D.100	ione.
OOD & GRIEVE ENGINEERS					(07) 3	811 4	
					Job No.	1	o. of
b: Parkside Yeronga	tiono				301048272	By:	AF N/OG
scription. Sewerage Demand Calculat						Date:	0/00
Council Area:	Brisbane City Council						
Water/ Wastewater Authority:	Queensland Urban Utilities						
	Existing Flow (Se	ewer)					
Description	Category	EP/unit Quantity		EP			
External Catchment							
Yeronga SHS	Education Purposes	18	9.87	177.66			
Townhouses	Attached Dwelling	1.79	28	50.12			
Houses	Detached Dwelling	2.65	7	18.55			
Scout Den and Tennis Courts	Community facitlies	18	0.22	3.96			
Child Care (45 Park Rd)	Education Purposes	18	0.1542	2.78			
Clinic (58 Park Rd)	Community facitlies	18	0.174	3.13			
	Sub total External			256			
Subject Site							
Former TAFE site	Education Facility	18	3.13	56.34			
	,			0			
	Sub-total Subject Site			56.34			
				0			
			Total EP	312	1		
	Average Dry Weathe	er Flow (ADWF)(baseline - 2	10I/EP/d)(I/s)	0 759	-		
	Average big vicanie	Peak Dry Weather Flow	(PDWF)(I/s)	1 952			
Peak Dry Weather Flow (PDWF)(I/s)				0.700			
		Vet Weather Flow (PWWF)(5	xADWF)(I/s) _	3.796	:		
	Peak v Parkside Yeronga Proposa	Vet Weather Flow (PWWF)(5	xADWF)(I/s) _	3.796	=		
Description	Peak v Parkside Yeronga Proposa Category	Vet Weather Flow (PWWF)(5 al Flow (Sewer) EP/unit Quantity	xADWF)(I/s) _	3.796 EP			
Description External Catchment	Peak v Parkside Yeronga Proposa Category	Vet Weather Flow (PWWF)(5 al Flow (Sewer) EP/unit Quantity	•×ADWF)(I/s) =	EP	=		
Description External Catchment Yeronga SHS	Peak v Parkside Yeronga Proposa Category Education Purposes	Vet Weather Flow (PWWF)(5 al Flow (Sewer) EP/unit Quantity	9.87	EP 177.7			
Description External Catchment Yeronga SHS Townhouses	Peak v Peak v Parkside Yeronga Proposa Category Education Purposes Attached Dwelling	Vet Weather Flow (PWWF)(5 al Flow (Sewer) EP/unit Quantity 18 1.79 2.5	9.87 28	EP 177.7 50.1			
Description External Catchment Yeronga SHS Townhouses Houses	Peak v Parkside Yeronga Proposa Category Education Purposes Attached Dwelling Deteched Dwelling	Vet Weather Flow (PWWF)(5 al Flow (Sewer) EP/unit Quantity 18 1.79 2.65	9.87 28 7	3.796 EP 177.7 50.1 18.6			
Description External Catchment Yeronga SHS Townhouses Houses Scout Den and Tennis Courts	Peak v Parkside Yeronga Proposa Category Education Purposes Attached Dwelling Deteched Dwelling Community facitlies	Vet Weather Flow (PWWF)(5 al Flow (Sewer) EP/unit Quantity 18 1.79 2.65 18 1.79	9.87 28 7 0.22	3.796 EP 177.7 50.1 18.6 4.0			
Description External Catchment Yeronga SHS Townhouses Houses Scout Den and Tennis Courts Child Care (45 Park Rd)	Peak v Parkside Yeronga Proposa Category Education Purposes Attached Dwelling Deteched Dwelling Community facitlies Education Purposes	Vet Weather Flow (PWWF)(5 al Flow (Sewer) EP/unit Quantity 18 1.79 2.65 18 18 18	9.87 28 7 0.22 0.1542	3.796 EP 177.7 50.1 18.6 4.0 2.8			
Description External Catchment Yeronga SHS Townhouses Houses Scout Den and Tennis Courts Child Care (45 Park Rd) Clinic (58 Park Rd)	Peak v Parkside Yeronga Proposa Category Education Purposes Attached Dwelling Deteched Dwelling Community facitlies Education Purposes Community facitlies	Vet Weather Flow (PWWF)(5 al Flow (Sewer) EP/unit Quantity 18 1.79 2.65 18 18 18 18 18 18	9.87 28 7 0.22 0.1542 0.174	3.796 EP 177.7 50.1 18.6 4.0 2.8 3.1			
Description External Catchment Yeronga SHS Townhouses Houses Scout Den and Tennis Courts Child Care (45 Park Rd) Clinic (58 Park Rd)	Peak v Parkside Yeronga Proposa Category Education Purposes Attached Dwelling Deteched Dwelling Community facitlies Education Purposes Community facitlies Sub total External	Vet Weather Flow (PWWF)(5 Al Flow (Sewer) EP/unit Quantity 18 1.79 2.65 18 18 18 18	9.87 28 7 0.22 0.1542 0.174	3.796 EP 177.7 50.1 18.6 4.0 2.8 3.1 256			
Description <u>External Catchment</u> Yeronga SHS Townhouses Houses Scout Den and Tennis Courts Child Care (45 Park Rd) Clinic (58 Park Rd)	Peak v Parkside Yeronga Proposa Category Education Purposes Attached Dwelling Deteched Dwelling Community facitlies Education Purposes Community facitlies Sub total External Average Dry Wea	Vet Weather Flow (PWWF)(5 Al Flow (Sewer) EP/unit Quantity 18 1.79 2.65 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	9.87 28 7 0.22 0.1542 0.174 0L/EP/d)(I/s)	3.796 EP 177.7 50.1 18.6 4.0 2.8 3.1 <u>256</u> 0.62			
Description External Catchment Yeronga SHS Townhouses Houses Scout Den and Tennis Courts Child Care (45 Park Rd) Clinic (58 Park Rd)	Peak v Parkside Yeronga Proposa Category Education Purposes Attached Dwelling Deteched Dwelling Community facitlies Education Purposes Community facitlies Sub total External Average Dry Weat Peak Dry Weat	Vet Weather Flow (PWWF)(5 Al Flow (Sewer) EP/unit Quantity 18 1.79 2.65 18 18 18 18 18 ther Flow (ADWF-baseline-21 ther Flow (PDWF-baseline-21)	9.87 987 28 7 0.22 0.1542 0.174 0L/EP/d)(l/s) 0L/EP/d)(l/s)	3.796 EP 177.7 50.1 18.6 4.0 2.8 3.1 <u>256</u> 0.62 1.63			
Description External Catchment Yeronga SHS Townhouses Houses Scout Den and Tennis Courts Child Care (45 Park Rd) Clinic (58 Park Rd)	Peak v Parkside Yeronga Proposa Category Education Purposes Attached Dwelling Deteched Dwelling Community facitlies Education Purposes Community facitlies Sub total External Average Dry Weat Peak Dry Weat	Vet Weather Flow (PWWF)(5 al Flow (Sewer) EP/unit Quantity 18 1.79 2.65 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	9.87 9.87 28 7 0.22 0.1542 0.174 0L/EP/d)(l/s) 0L/EP/d)(l/s) 0L/EP/d)(l/s)	3.796 EP 177.7 50.1 18.6 4.0 2.8 3.1 <u>256</u> 0.62 1.63 3.11			
Description <u>External Catchment</u> Yeronga SHS Townhouses Houses Scout Den and Tennis Courts Child Care (45 Park Rd) Clinic (58 Park Rd) Scubic of Site	Peak v Parkside Yeronga Proposa Category Education Purposes Attached Dwelling Deteched Dwelling Community facitlies Education Purposes Community facitlies Sub total External Average Dry Wea Peak Dry Wea Peak Wet Weat	Vet Weather Flow (PWWF)(5 al Flow (Sewer) EP/unit Quantity 18 1.79 2.65 18 18 18 18 18 18 ther Flow (ADWF-baseline-21 ther Flow (PWWF-baseline-21 her Flow (PWWF-baseline-21)	9.87 28 7 0.22 0.1542 0.174 0L/EP/d)(l/s) 0L/EP/d)(l/s) 0L/EP/d)(l/s)	3.796 EP 177.7 50.1 18.6 4.0 2.8 3.1 <u>256</u> 0.62 1.63 3.11			
Description External Catchment Yeronga SHS Townhouses Houses Scout Den and Tennis Courts Child Care (45 Park Rd) Clinic (58 Park Rd) Subject Site	Peak v Parkside Yeronga Proposa Category Education Purposes Attached Dwelling Deteched Dwelling Community facitlies Education Purposes Community facitlies Sub total External Average Dry Weat Peak Dry Weat Peak Wet Weat	Vet Weather Flow (PWWF)(5 Al Flow (Sewer) EP/unit Quantity 18 1.79 2.65 18 18 18 18 18 18 ther Flow (ADWF-baseline-21 ther Flow (PWWF-baseline-21 her Flow (PWWF-baseline-21	9.87 28 7 0.22 0.1542 0.174 0L/EP/d)(l/s) 0L/EP/d)(l/s) 0L/EP/d)(l/s)	3.796 EP 177.7 50.1 18.6 4.0 2.8 3.1 <u>256</u> 0.62 1.63 3.11			
Description External Catchment Yeronga SHS Townhouses Houses Scout Den and Tennis Courts Child Care (45 Park Rd) Clinic (58 Park Rd) Subject Site Townhouses	Peak v Parkside Yeronga Proposa Category Education Purposes Attached Dwelling Deteched Dwelling Community facitlies Education Purposes Community facitlies Sub total External Average Dry Wea Peak Dry Wea Peak Wet Weatt Attached Dwelling	Vet Weather Flow (PWWF)(5 al Flow (Sewer) EP/unit Quantity 18 1.79 2.65 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 ther Flow (ADWF-baseline-21 ther Flow (PDWF-baseline-21 her Flow (PWWF-baseline-21 1.75 	9.87 28 7 0.22 0.1542 0.174 0L/EP/d)(l/s) 0L/EP/d)(l/s) 0L/EP/d)(l/s)	3.796 EP 177.7 50.1 18.6 4.0 2.8 3.1 256 0.62 1.63 3.11 66.5			
Description External Catchment Yeronga SHS Townhouses Houses Scout Den and Tennis Courts Child Care (45 Park Rd) Clinic (58 Park Rd) Subject Site Townhouses Retirement/ Lifestyle Units	Peak v Parkside Yeronga Proposa Category Education Purposes Attached Dwelling Deteched Dwelling Community facitlies Education Purposes Community facitlies Sub total External Average Dry Wea Peak Dry Wea Peak Wet Weatt Attached Dwelling	Vet Weather Flow (PWWF)(5 Al Flow (Sewer) EP/unit Quantity 18 1.79 2.65 18 18 18 18 18 ther Flow (ADWF-baseline-21 ther Flow (PDWF-baseline-21 her Flow (PWWF-baseline-21 1.75 1.75 1.75 75	9.87 28 7 0.22 0.1542 0.174 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 38 178	3.796 EP 177.7 50.1 18.6 4.0 2.8 3.1 256 0.62 1.63 3.11 66.5 311.5			
Description External Catchment Yeronga SHS Townhouses Houses Scout Den and Tennis Courts Child Care (45 Park Rd) Clinic (58 Park Rd) Subject Site Townhouses Retirement/ Lifestyle Units Affordable Living Units	Peak v Parkside Yeronga Proposa Category Education Purposes Attached Dwelling Deteched Dwelling Community facitlies Education Purposes Community facitlies Sub total External Average Dry Weat Peak Dry Weat Peak Wet Weatt	Vet Weather Flow (PWWF)(5 Al Flow (Sewer) EP/unit Quantity 18 1.79 2.65 18 18 18 18 18 ther Flow (ADWF-baseline-21 ther Flow (PDWF-baseline-21 her Flow (PWWF-baseline-21 1.75 1.75 1.75 1.75	9.87 28 7 0.22 0.1542 0.174 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 38 178 78	3.796 EP 177.7 50.1 18.6 4.0 2.8 3.1 256 0.62 1.63 3.11 66.5 311.5 136.5			
Description External Catchment Yeronga SHS Townhouses Houses Scout Den and Tennis Courts Child Care (45 Park Rd) Clinic (58 Park Rd) Subject Site Townhouses Retirement/ Lifestyle Units Affordable Living Units Commercial	Peak v Parkside Yeronga Proposa Category Education Purposes Attached Dwelling Deteched Dwelling Community facitlies Education Purposes Community facitlies Sub total External Average Dry Weat Peak Dry Weat Peak Wet Weatt	Vet Weather Flow (PWWF)(5 Al Flow (Sewer) EP/unit Quantity 18 1.79 2.65 18 18 18 18 ther Flow (ADWF-baseline-21 ther Flow (PDWF-baseline-21 her Flow (PWWF-baseline-21 1.75 1.75 1.75 0.006	9.87 28 7 0.22 0.1542 0.174 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 38 178 78 6000	3.796 EP 177.7 50.1 18.6 4.0 2.8 3.1 2 <u>56</u> 0.62 1.63 3.11 66.5 311.5 136.5 36.0			
Description External Catchment Yeronga SHS Townhouses Houses Scout Den and Tennis Courts Child Care (45 Park Rd) Clinic (58 Park Rd) Clinic (58 Park Rd) Subject Site Townhouses Retirement/ Lifestyle Units Affordable Living Units Commercial Community Purposes	Peak v Parkside Yeronga Proposa Category Education Purposes Attached Dwelling Deteched Dwelling Community facitlies Education Purposes Community facitlies Sub total External Average Dry Weat Peak Dry Weat Peak Wet Weatt	Vet Weather Flow (PWWF)(5 Al Flow (Sewer) EP/unit Quantity 18 1.79 2.65 18 18 18 18 ther Flow (ADWF-baseline-21 ther Flow (PDWF-baseline-21 her Flow (PWWF-baseline-21 1.75 1.75 1.75 0.006 0.006	9.87 28 7 0.22 0.1542 0.174 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 38 178 78 6000 730	3.796 EP 177.7 50.1 18.6 4.0 2.8 3.1 2 <u>56</u> 0.62 1.63 3.11 66.5 311.5 136.5 36.0 4.4			
Description External Catchment Yeronga SHS Townhouses Houses Scout Den and Tennis Courts Child Care (45 Park Rd) Clinic (58 Park Rd) Clinic (58 Park Rd) Subject Site Townhouses Retirement/ Lifestyle Units Affordable Living Units Commercial Community Purposes	Peak v Parkside Yeronga Proposa Category Education Purposes Attached Dwelling Deteched Dwelling Community facitlies Education Purposes Community facitlies Sub total External Average Dry Wea Peak Dry Wea Peak Wet Weat Attached Dwelling Sub-total Subject Site	Vet Weather Flow (PWWF)(5 al Flow (Sewer) EP/unit Quantity 18 1.79 2.65 18 18 18 18 18 18 ther Flow (ADWF-baseline-21 ther Flow (PDWF-baseline-21 her Flow (PWWF-baseline-21 1.75 1.75 1.75 0.006 0.006	9.87 28 7 0.22 0.1542 0.174 0L/EP/d)(l/s) 0L/EP/d)(l/s) 0L/EP/d)(l/s) 0L/EP/d)(l/s) 38 178 78 6000 730	3.796 EP 177.7 50.1 18.6 4.0 2.8 3.1 256 0.62 1.63 3.11 66.5 311.5 136.5 36.0 4.4 555			
Description External Catchment Yeronga SHS Townhouses Houses Scout Den and Tennis Courts Child Care (45 Park Rd) Clinic (58 Park Rd) Clinic (58 Park Rd) Subject Site Townhouses Retirement/ Lifestyle Units Affordable Living Units Commercial Community Purposes	Peak v Parkside Yeronga Proposa Category Education Purposes Attached Dwelling Deteched Dwelling Community facitlies Education Purposes Community facitlies Sub total External Average Dry Weat Peak Wet Weatt Attached Dwelling Sub-total Subject Site Average Dry Weat	Vet Weather Flow (PWWF)(5 al Flow (Sewer) EP/unit Quantity 18 1.79 2.65 18 18 18 18 18 18 ther Flow (ADWF-baseline-21 ther Flow (PDWF-baseline-21 ther Flow (PWWF-baseline-21 1.75 1.75 1.75 0.006 0.006 her Flow (ADWF-Nusewer-18	9.87 28 7 0.22 0.1542 0.174 0L/EP/d)(l/s) 0L/EP/d)(l/s) 0L/EP/d)(l/s) 38 178 78 6000 730	3.796 EP 177.7 50.1 18.6 4.0 2.8 3.1 256 0.62 1.63 3.11 66.5 311.5 136.5 36.0 4.4 555 1.16			
Description External Catchment Yeronga SHS Townhouses Houses Scout Den and Tennis Courts Child Care (45 Park Rd) Clinic (58 Park Rd) Clinic (58 Park Rd) Subject Site Townhouses Retirement/ Lifestyle Units Affordable Living Units Commercial Community Purposes	Peak v Parkside Yeronga Proposa Category Education Purposes Attached Dwelling Deteched Dwelling Community facitlies Education Purposes Community facitlies Sub total External Average Dry Weat Peak Dry Weat Attached Dwelling Sub-total Subject Site Average Dry Weat Peak Dry Weat Community facitlies	Vet Weather Flow (PWWF)(5 Al Flow (Sewer) EP/unit Quantity 18 1.79 2.65 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 ther Flow (ADWF-baseline-21 ther Flow (PDWF-baseline-21 her Flow (PWWF-baseline-21 1.75 1.75 1.75 1.75 0.006 0.006 her Flow (ADWF-Nusewer-18 her Flow (PDWF-Nusewer-18)	9.87 28 7 0.22 0.1542 0.174 0L/EP/d)(l/s) 0L/EP/d)(l/s) 0L/EP/d)(l/s) 38 178 78 6000 730 0L/EP/d)(l/s) 0L/EP/d)(l/s)	3.796 EP 177.7 50.1 18.6 4.0 2.8 3.1 256 0.62 1.63 3.11 66.5 311.5 136.5 36.0 4.4 5555 1.16 3.76			
Description External Catchment Yeronga SHS Townhouses Houses Scout Den and Tennis Courts Child Care (45 Park Rd) Clinic (58 Park Rd) Clinic (58 Park Rd) Subject Site Townhouses Retirement/ Lifestyle Units Affordable Living Units Commercial Community Purposes	Peak v Parkside Yeronga Proposa Category Education Purposes Attached Dwelling Deteched Dwelling Community facitlies Education Purposes Community facitlies Sub total External Average Dry Weat Peak Wet Weatt Attached Dwelling Sub-total Subject Site Average Dry Weat Peak Dry Weat Peak Dry Weat Peak Wet Weatt	Vet Weather Flow (PWWF)(5 Al Flow (Sewer) EP/unit Quantity 18 1.79 2.65 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	9.87 28 7 0.22 0.1542 0.174 0L/EP/d)(I/S) 0L/EP/d)(I/S) 0L/EP/d)(I/S) 38 178 78 6000 730 0L/EP/d)(I/S) 0L/EP/d)(I/S) 0L/EP/d)(I/S)	3.796 EP 177.7 50.1 18.6 4.0 2.8 3.1 2556 0.62 1.63 3.11 66.5 311.5 136.5 36.0 4.4 5555 1.16 3.76 6.07			
Description External Catchment Yeronga SHS Townhouses Houses Scout Den and Tennis Courts Child Care (45 Park Rd) Clinic (58 Park Rd) Clinic (58 Park Rd) Subject Site Townhouses Retirement/ Lifestyle Units Affordable Living Units Commercial Community Purposes	Peak v Parkside Yeronga Proposa Category Education Purposes Attached Dwelling Deteched Dwelling Community facitlies Education Purposes Community facitlies Sub total External Average Dry Weat Peak Wet Weatt Attached Dwelling Sub-total Subject Site Average Dry Weat Peak Dry Weat Peak Dry Weat Peak Wet Weatt	Vet Weather Flow (PWWF)(5 Al Flow (Sewer) EP/unit Quantity 18 1.79 2.65 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 ther Flow (ADWF-baseline-21 ther Flow (PDWF-baseline-21 ther Flow (PDWF-baseline-21 her Flow (PWWF-baseline-21 her Flow (PDWF-baseline-21 her Flow (PWWF-baseline-21 her Flow (PDWF-baseline-21 her Flow (PDWF-baseline-21) her Flow (PDWF-baseline	9.87 28 7 0.22 0.1542 0.174 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 38 178 78 6000 730 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 50L/EP/d)(I/s) 50L/EP/d)(I/s)	3.796 EP 177.7 50.1 18.6 4.0 2.8 3.1 256 0.62 1.63 3.11 66.5 311.5 136.5 36.0 4.4 555 36.0 4.4 555 1.16 3.76 6.07 811			
Description External Catchment Yeronga SHS Townhouses Houses Scout Den and Tennis Courts Child Care (45 Park Rd) Clinic (58 Park Rd) Subject Site Townhouses Retirement/ Lifestyle Units Affordable Living Units Commercial Community Purposes	Peak v Parkside Yeronga Proposa Category Education Purposes Attached Dwelling Deteched Dwelling Community facitlies Education Purposes Community facitlies Sub total External Average Dry Weat Peak Wet Weatt Attached Dwelling Sub-total Subject Site Average Dry Weat Peak Dry Weat Peak Dry Weat Peak Wet Weatt	Vet Weather Flow (PWWF)(5 Al Flow (Sewer) EP/unit Quantity 18 1.79 2.65 18 18 18 18 ther Flow (ADWF-baseline-21 ther Flow (PDWF-baseline-21 her Flow (PWWF-baseline-21 her Flow (PWWF-baseline-21 1.75 1.75 1.75 1.75 0.006 0.006 her Flow (ADWF-Nusewer-18 her Flow (PDWF-Nusewer-18 her Flow (PWWF-Nusewer-18 her Flow (PWWF-Nusewer-18) her Fl	9.87 28 7 0.22 0.1542 0.174 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 38 178 78 6000 730 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 0L/EP/d)(I/s)	3.796 EP 177.7 50.1 18.6 4.0 2.8 3.1 2 <u>56</u> 0.62 1.63 3.11 66.5 311.5 136.5 36.0 4.4 5 <u>55</u> 1.16 3.76 6.07 811 1.78			
Description External Catchment Yeronga SHS Townhouses Houses Scout Den and Tennis Courts Child Care (45 Park Rd) Clinic (58 Park Rd) Clinic (58 Park Rd) Subject Site Townhouses Retirement/ Lifestyle Units Affordable Living Units Commercial Community Purposes	Peak v Parkside Yeronga Proposa Category Education Purposes Attached Dwelling Deteched Dwelling Community facitlies Education Purposes Community facitlies Sub total External Average Dry Weat Peak Wet Weatt Attached Dwelling Sub-total Subject Site Average Dry Weat Peak Dry Weat Peak Dry Weat Peak Wet Weatt	Vet Weather Flow (PWWF)(5 Al Flow (Sewer) EP/unit Quantity 18 1.79 2.65 18 18 18 18 18 ther Flow (ADWF-baseline-21 ther Flow (PDWF-baseline-21 ther Flow (PDWF-baseline-21 her Flow (PWWF-baseline-21 1.75 1.75 1.75 1.75 0.006 0.006 her Flow (ADWF-Nusewer-18 her Flow (PDWF-Nusewer-18 her Flow (PDWF-Nusewer-18 her Flow (PWWF-Nusewer-18 her Flow (PWWF-Nusewer-18) her Flow (PW	9.87 28 7 0.22 0.1542 0.174 0L/EP/d)(l/s) 0L/EP/d)(l/s) 0L/EP/d)(l/s) 38 178 78 6000 730 0L/EP/d)(l/s) 0L/EP/d)(l/s) 0L/EP/d)(l/s) 0L/EP/d)(l/s) 0L/EP/d)(l/s)	3.796 EP 177.7 50.1 18.6 4.0 2.8 3.1 2 <u>56</u> 0.62 1.63 3.11 66.5 311.5 136.5 36.0 4.4 5 <u>55</u> 1.16 3.76 6.07 811 1.78 5.39			
Description External Catchment Yeronga SHS Townhouses Houses Scout Den and Tennis Courts Child Care (45 Park Rd) Clinic (58 Park Rd) Clinic (58 Park Rd) Subject Site Townhouses Retirement/ Lifestyle Units Affordable Living Units Commercial Community Purposes	Peak v Parkside Yeronga Proposa Category Education Purposes Attached Dwelling Deteched Dwelling Community facitlies Education Purposes Community facitlies Sub total External Average Dry Weat Peak Wet Weatt Attached Dwelling Sub-total Subject Site Average Dry Weat Peak Dry Weat Peak Dry Weat Peak Wet Weatt	Vet Weather Flow (PWWF)(5 Al Flow (Sewer) EP/unit Quantity 18 1.79 2.65 18 18 18 18 ther Flow (ADWF-baseline-21 ther Flow (PDWF-baseline-21 ther Flow (PDWF-baseline-21 ther Flow (PWWF-baseline-21 1.75 1.75 1.75 1.75 0.006 0.006 her Flow (ADWF-Nusewer-18 her Flow (ADWF-Nusewer-18 her Flow (PWWF-Nusewer-18 her Flow (PWWF-Nusewer-18) her Flow (PWWF-N	9.87 28 7 0.22 0.1542 0.174 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 38 178 78 6000 730 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 0L/EP/d)(I/s) 0L/EP/d)(I/s)	3.796 EP 177.7 50.1 18.6 4.0 2.8 3.1 2 <u>56</u> 0.62 1.63 3.11 66.5 311.5 136.5 36.0 4.4 5 <u>55</u> 1.16 3.76 6.07 811 1.78 5.39 9.18			

Appendix H – Urban Utilities Service Advice Notice





5 May 2021

Stantec Australia Pty Ltd 232 St Pauls Terrace Fortitude Valley QLD 4006

Via Email: ben.miles@stantec.com

Dear Sir / Madam

Urban Utilities Services Advice Notice

Urban Utilities application number:	20-SRV-49057
Applicant name:	Stantec Australia Pty Ltd
Street address:	70 Park Road, Yeronga
Real Property Description:	Lot 3 on SP300888

Proposed service connection/alteration/disconnection type:

Drinking water	\checkmark
Non-drinking water/recycled water	
Wastewater	\checkmark

Urban Utilities provides this Services Advice Notice in response to the request received on 14 December 2020. In accordance with section 99BRAC(3) of the *South-East Queensland Water* (*Distribution and Retail Restructuring*) *Act 2009*, this Services Advice Notice provides advice about the proposed connection having regard to the connections policy in the Urban Utilities Water Netserv Plan, the charges and conditions that may apply to the connection and other relevant matters about the connection. All terms used in this Services Advice Notice are defined by reference to the Urban Utilities Water Netserv Plan.

This Services Advice Notice does not constitute an application for connection, is not an approval to connect to the Urban Utilities network(s) and does not bind any future Urban Utilities' decision if the applicant applies for a connection.

Urban Utilities understands that the proposal will consist of a mixed use development comprising a total of 256 apartments, 38 townhouses, 6,000m² commercial Gross Floor Area (GFA) and 750m² community use GFA. As per the request for a Services Advice Notice submitted, a material change of use and reconfiguration of a lot will be applied for as part of this development.

This Services Advice Notice issued 5 May 2021 supersedes the Services Advice Notice issued 10 February 2021.

Based on your proposal and discussion with Urban Utilities officers, the following advice is provided:

Urban Utilities GPO Box 2765 BRISBANE QLD 4001 Phone: 07 3432 2200 www.urbanutilities.com.au/development



Urban Utilities Services Advice

Infrastructure and Design

The project site is within the Priority Development Area (PDA). Development applications for priority development areas are assessed by Economic Development Queensland (EDQ).

The infrastructure funding framework within each PDA is also prescribed and managed by EDQ under an Infrastructure Charges Offset Plan (ICOP). The developer should review the current ICOP and development scheme to understand the broader infrastructure obligations specific to this site.

Water

The site is currently serviced by an existing 150mm diameter uPVC water main in Villa Street and an existing DN125 PE water main in the western verge of Park Road.

Based on the information provided by the applicant, the water infrastructure required to service the proposed development will connect to the existing DN125 PE water main in the western verge of Park Road.

Figure 1 below provides an illustration of the existing water and wastewater infrastructure surrounding the subject site.



Figure 1: Existing infrastructure within the vicinity of the subject site

Urban Utilities advises that each lot is to be serviced independently with separate water property service(s) and meter(s) (domestic, fire, etc.).

Wastewater

The site is currently serviced by an existing combined drain with the neighbouring property 25 Oakwood Street, Yeronga. The combined drain discharges to the existing 150mm diameter sewer main in Park Road at MH122778.



Combined drains are common private house drains that serve two or more properties and are not owned by Urban Utilities. Maintenance of combined drains is the responsibility of the property owners that connect to it. Issues/enquiries related to combined drains are managed by Brisbane City Council (BCC).

Urban Utilities require that the combined drain be disestablished as part of the proposed development and Urban Utilities wastewater infrastructure provided to service the site and upstream properties in accordance with Urban Utilities requirements, including but not limited to, the *SEQ Water Supply and Sewerage Design and Construction Code* (SEQ WS&S D&C Code).

Based on the information provided by the applicant, it is expected that wastewater infrastructure necessary to service the proposed development and the adjoining property 25 Oakwood Street will connect to the existing 150mm diameter sewer main in Park Road at MH122778.

Urban Utilities advises that each lot is to be serviced independently with a separate wastewater property service.

The water and wastewater infrastructure required for the proposed development is to be provided in accordance with Urban Utilities requirements, including but not limited to, the SEQ WS&S D&C Code.

Network Demand and Capacity

Water

An assessment of the water supply available at the site, including computational hydraulic modelling of the network under peak demand and fire flow conditions, has been completed.

The analysis assumes a Peak Hour Demand of 6.59L/s and Fire Flow Demand of 45L/s for commercial and residential buildings with heights not exceeding 6 storeys (corresponding to the details of the proposed development).

The assessment indicates that the existing water supply infrastructure has insufficient capacity to service the proposed development in accordance with the SEQ WS&S D&C Code.

To service the proposed development in accordance with the *SEQ WS&S D&C Code*, the developer is required to undertake one of the following upgrade options – options are listed in order of decreasing preference:

- Upgrade Option 1 Park Road and Ovendean Street. Works required for this option comprise the following, refer to Attachment 1 for details:
 - Relocate the boundary valve between Yeronga PRV and Tarragindi Reservoir from RV83507 at the eastern end of Ovendean Street to RV31547 in Park Road north of the intersection with Ovendean Street;
 - Provide a DN180 PE water main connection (or equivalent) from the existing DN125 PE water main end of line in Park Road south of the railway (Point A) to the existing 100mm diameter water main end of line in Park Road north of the railway (Point B); and
 - Replace the existing 100mm diameter water main in Ovendean Street, Park Road and Fairfield Road with a DN180 PE water main (or equivalent) from the existing 100mm diameter water main end of line in Park Road north of the railway (Point B) to the existing 300mm diameter water main in the north-western verge of Fairfield Road (Point C).



- Upgrade Option 2 Dublin Street. Works required for this option comprise the following, refer to Attachment 2 for details:
 - Provide a DN180 PE water main connection (or equivalent) from the existing DN125 PE water main in Park Road at the intersection with Dublin Street (Point D) to the existing 100mm diameter water main end of line in Dublin Street at the intersection with Cork Street (Point E);
 - Replace existing 100mm diameter water main in Dublin Street and the railway corridor with a DN180 PE water main (or equivalent) from the existing 100mm diameter water main end of line in Dublin Street at the intersection with Cork Street (Point E) to the existing 300mm diameter water main in the south-eastern verge of Fairfield Road (Point F); and
 - Provide an additional water main in Park Road, to provide a second source of supply to the proposed development from the water network to fulfil the requirements of the SEQ WS&S D&C Code, particularly relating to isolation valves.
- Upgrade Option 3 Park Road. Works required for this option comprise the following, refer to Attachment 3 for details:
 - Provide a DN180 PE water main (or equivalent) augmentation from the development site (Point G) to the existing 150mm diameter water main in the northern verge of School Road (Point H) and including DN180 PE (or equivalent) cross connection(s) to the existing 100mm diameter water main in Park Road at least at the intersection with Querrin Street (Point I); and
 - A minimum of two (2) water main supplies are to be provided to the proposed development to fulfil the requirements of the *SEQ WS&S D&C Code*, particularly relating to isolation valves.

As stated above, the upgrade options are listed in order of decreasing preference (i.e. Upgrade Option 1 is most preferred, Upgrade Option 3 is least preferred) due to the hydraulic performance of the options and how efficiently each option meets the necessary requirements. Urban Utilities expects that the preferred option (Upgrade Option 1) is implemented. Other options will only be considered if the preferred option cannot practicably be implemented. In such circumstances, the applicant is to provide justification as part of any subsequent Water Approval application for Urban Utilities consideration.

Indicative flow and pressure advice is provided in **Table 1** below for the new DN180 PE water main in the "East Road" (new BCC road within the development site), adjacent proposed Lot 8 and for each of the following scenarios:

- Scenario A: existing network configuration;
- Scenario B: Upgrade Option 1 works completed;
- Scenario C: Upgrade Option 2 works completed; and
- Scenario D: Upgrade Option 3 works completed.

Assumed Connection Main	Estimated RL Connection (m AHD)	Hydraulic Grade Line (m AHD)			Pressure (kPa) ¹			
		0 L/s	10 L/s	20 L/s	0 L/s	10 L/s	20 L/s	
Scenario A: existing network configuration	16	66	-	-	496	-	-	
Scenario B: Upgrade Option 1 works completed	16	67	65	61	506	486	446	
Scenario C: Upgrade Option 2 works completed	16	67	65	60	506	481	431	

Table 1: Indicative Flow and Pressure Advice



Scenario D: Upgrade Option 3 works completed 16	67	64	59	506	476	421
--	----	----	----	-----	-----	-----

Notes:

¹ Modelled pressure in supply main, relative to the estimated connection RL (m AHD).

² Designers are required to adjust the Hydraulic Grade Line/Pressure model results for site/building RL differences and calculate the extra hydraulic losses from point of connection with the main.

³ Field performance of cast iron spun (or cement) lined mains can be variable. Field testing to ascertain actual pressure drops may be advisable.

⁴ Indicative flow and pressure results assume a background demand of 2/3 Peak Hour has been applied throughout the network.

Disclaimer

Information provided by Urban Utilities is based on hydraulic modelling ("Hydraulic Modelling Information"). Model results are for the anticipated performance. The Hydraulic Modelling Information has not been verified by field measurements and may be inaccurate due to field conditions.

As such, users relying on Hydraulic Modelling Information do so at their own risk and should make their own independent investigations to verify model outputs.

The Hydraulic Modelling Information does not state nor imply a guaranteed level of service. Designers are referred to Urban Utilities' Customer Charter and Customer Service Standards for facility hydraulic service considerations. Urban Utilities does not provide a service of minimum flows and pressures to private fire-fighting systems.

Due to changing operational circumstances, pressure and flows delivered to a service may vary. Designers are advised to make adequate provisions within the fire system installation for the pressure, flow and reliability requirements, for the life of the system.

Wastewater

A hydraulic assessment of the sewerage network servicing the site under peak wet weather flow conditions has been completed.

The analysis assumes a Peak Wet Weather Flow from the development of 7.28L/s (corresponding to the details of the proposed development).

The assessment indicates that the existing wastewater network has sufficient capacity to service the proposed development in accordance with the SEQ WS&S D&C Code.

Land and Easements

Water and Wastewater in Private Properties

Easements are to be provided in accordance with Urban Utilities requirements, please refer to the Urban Utilities *Easement Guidelines* and other information available at: https://urbanutilities.com.au/development/our-services/easements

Infrastructure Integration

No infrastructure integration is required in this instance.

Contributed Assets

No contributing assets are required in this instance.

Infrastructure Charges (as at 1 July 2020)

Infrastructure Charges will be levied in accordance with the Urban Utilities' *Water Netserv Plan* (*Part A*) *Charges Schedule* and the *South-East Queensland Water* (*Distribution and Retail Restructuring*) *Act 2009* applicable at the time the water approval application is lodged.

Further information is available at:

www.urbanutilities.com.au/development/help-and-advice/water-netserv-plan



Trade Waste

The **proposed development** (the subject of this Services Advice Notice) has been identified as a potential generator of Trade Waste. Trade Waste is water-borne waste from business, trade or manufacturing premises excluding domestic sewerage, stormwater, and prohibited substances. It is an offence under section 193(1) of the *Water Supply (Safety and Reliability) Act 2008* to discharge trade waste into Urban Utilities' infrastructure without a Trade Waste Approval.

To obtain a Trade Waste Approval, the proponent for the proposed development must apply to Urban Utilities, who will assess and decide the application. Any Trade Waste Approval granted by Urban Utilities will be subject to Trade Waste Approval conditions and the Urban Utilities Trade Waste Environmental Management Plan (**TWEMP**).

The TWEMP and an online application form are available on the Urban Utilities website:

www.urbanutilities.com.au/business/business-services/trade-waste

For advice on the suitability of waste for discharge to sewer, and likely Trade Waste Approval conditions, you may contact Urban Utilities on **13 26 57**.

Proposed trade waste drainage solutions will be assessed for compliance with plumbing and drainage regulations and the requirements of the TWEMP at the time of plumbing compliance assessment. Proposed trade waste solutions that do not meet the requirements in the TWEMP and plumbing and drainage regulations may result in delays to the plumbing compliance process and the issue of a Trade Waste Approval.

Further information is available at the following website: www.urbanutilities.com.au/business/business-services/trade-waste

Connection Application Process

A formal assessment as to whether your application qualifies as a Standard Connection, Minor Works Approval, or Major Works Approval will be resolved on application for a Water Approval. For the purposes of preliminary advice, and based on the information provided, it is expected that the following applications will be required to assess the ability to connect to Urban Utilities networks:

1. Network and/or Property Service Connection – Non-Standard Connection (Major Works)

The Water Approval will require connection works to be undertaken. You will be able to choose which consultants and contractors to appoint to design and construct the works, including live works (in most cases) and then maintain the works for a specified period (usually 12 months) in accordance with the conditions stated in your Water Approval.

Please note that the information provided within this section is subject to the specific aspects of the development and water application.

Fees and Charges

Urban Utilities fees and charges are stated in the Urban Utilities' Water Netserv Plan (Part A) Charges Schedule. The fees and charges that are likely to be associated with these applications are outlined below:

1. Application Phase – per service

Base Application Fee – Network (11 to 50 lots)



2. Design, Construction and Maintenance Phases

Non-Standard Connection (Major Works)- per service Audit and Compliance Fee – Major Works

Non-Standard Connection (Design Approval Fee) – Reticulation per service Network Connection (11 to 50 lots)

Non-Standard Connection (Design Approval) – Network Connection Complex Asset Design Approval – complex asset (price on application)

Re-checking Amended Plans Fee Re-checking Amended Plans Fee (per plan page, technical report or other document)

Works Inspection Fee – Reticulation

Works Inspection Fee - Reticulation (per inspection) Works Re-inspection Fee - Reticulation (per inspection)

Works Inspection Fee – Complex Asset

Works Inspection Fee – Complex Asset (per inspection) Works Re-Inspection Fee – Complex Asset (per inspection)

Notes:

- The customer may incur additional fees and charges during the approval and works phase, including but not limited to, fees levied by the RPEQ and construction contractor, fees associated with the provision of maintenance/uncompleted works bond(s), re-checking amended plans fees, re-inspection of works fees and infrastructure agreement preparation fees;
- 2. Reticulation comprises infrastructure with a diameter of 300mm and below and complex assets comprise treatment, storage, pump facilities and infrastructure with a diameter greater than 300mm.
- 3. The above estimates are indicative only and are subject to review of the detailed application upon lodgement; and
- 4. Please refer to the Urban Utilities Water Netserv Plan and Developer Customer Price List at www.urbanutilities.com.au/development

Time Frames for Assessment

Non-Standard Connection Assessments (for applications other than Standard Connection) To be completed within 20 business days of receipt of a properly made application (including payment of the relevant assessment fee), or within a further 20 business days of receipt of requested information (unless extended by agreement).

Design Phase

Typically for an application classified as **major works**, the assessment of the design phase is to be completed within 20 business days of receipt of all designs, or within a further 20 business days of receipt of requested information.

This Services Advice Notice is current for a period of twelve (12) months from the date of issue. Should you wish to proceed with applying for a service connection please lodge your application via


Urban Utilities Developer Applications Portal at **www.urbanutilities.com.au/development**. Please include your Services Advice Notice reference number in your application.

If you have any questions in relation to this Services Advice Notice, please do not hesitate to contact your account manager, Ben Flower on 07 3855 6596 or ben.flower@urbanutilities.com.au.

Alternatively, please email DCMTenquiries@urbanutilities.com.au.

Yours sincerely

Devendra Yadav Senior Engineer Urban Utilities









		Rec © © © Q	Recycled Water Device Image: Solution of the values Image: Solution of the values Image: PRESSURE GAUGE Image: FLOW METER Image: Level Sensor Image: PRESSURE GAUGE - ISOLATED			The plans are indicative and approximate only and provided without warranties of any kind, express or implied including in relation to accuracy, completeness, correctness, currency or filmess for purpose. Urban Utilities takes on responsibility and accepts no liability for any loss, damage, costs or ineliaity than Utilities takes on responsibility and accepts no liability for any loss, damage, costs or ineliaity than the formation by any person ating in ineliaity than the formation by any person ating in the plan should be used as guide only. Any immensions should be confirmed on site by the relevant authority. This plan is based on or contains data provided by Priney Bowes Software [2020], Bershane City Council [2020], Ibershane City Council [2020], Lockyer Valley Regional Council [2020], Somerset Regional Council [2020], Lockyer Valley Regional Council [2020], Somerset Regional Council [2020], Somerset Satte of Queensland
0	Copyright © 2016 Urban Utilities	Project T	Title Printed from UrbanView	Produced By: Date Created: Scale: 1:	User name 01/02/2021 2,257	Oppartment of Natural Resources, Mines and Energy (12020). In consideration of the State permitting the use of this data you acknowledge and agree that the State gives no warranty in relation to the data (including accuracy, liability in negligence) for any loss, damage or costs (including consequential damage) relating to any use of the data. Data must not be used for direct marketing or be used in breach of the privacy laws. It State of Queensland Department of Natural Resources, Mines and Energy (2020). Imagery Copyright IS State of Queensland Department of Natural met.
						Resources, Mines and Energy (2020). For further information, please call Urban Utilities on 13 26 57 (8am- 6pm weekdays). Faults and emergencies 13 23 64 (24/7). www.urbanutilities.com.au ABN 86 67 3 835 011

Appendix I – Services Records

- Key Dial Before You Dig Searches









APA Group does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of the map and does not make any warranty about the data. APA Group is not under any liability to the user for any loss or damage (including consequential loss or damage) which the user may suffer resulting from the use of this map.







Map Key:			PGAL Poly Coated Wrought Galvanised Iron
	(1) Medium Pressure PE/ Nylon	S Syphon	ST Steel
	Medium Pressure (Allgas)	A Anode	NY/ NY11 Nylon
	LOW TRESSOR	M Pipeline Marker	PE Polyethylene
	TLP	🗑 Trace Wire Point	MDPE Medium Density Polyethylene
	Proposed/ Under Construction Idle Gas Pipe	Reducer Pipe Connector/ Ice	HDPE High Density Polyethylene
	 Abandoned Gas Pipe Sleeve 	 Pipe Connector 	DN Nominal Diameter
	(1) Medium Pressure in AGN/ Nylon in Aligos	- End Cap	OD Outside Diameter
	Examples: 40PE in DN8	40mm Polyethylene in a	n 80mm (Nominal Diameter) Cast Iron Sleeve
	63PE IN	63mm Polyethylene inse	rted in another pipe operated by
This maps is created in colour and shall be printed in colour	Line/ Polygon Request		apa
	Data Source		
	Pipeline Data Copyright APA Group, Propert UBD Imagery – Copyright Sensis, DBYD Dig Lo	y Parcels Copyright QLD Government, ocation provided by DBYD.	

APA Group does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of the map and does not make any warranty about the data. APA Group is not under any liability to the user for any loss or damage (including consequential loss or damage) which the user may suffer resulting from the use of this map.

APA Group • PO Box 6014 Halifax Street SA 5000 • Email: DBYDNetworksAPA@apa.com.au • Template: AllGas Affected June 2017 Page 4 of 8 • 04/02/2019



Disclaimer:

(c) Brisbane City Council [2019]

In consideration of Council, and the copyright owners listed below, permitting the use of this data, you acknowledge and agree that Council, and the copyright owners, give no warranty in relation to the data (including accuracy, reliability, completeness, currency or suitability) and accept no liability (including without limitation, liability in negligence) for any loss, damage or costs (including consequential damage), relating to any use of this data. Data must not be used for direct marketing or be used in breach of the privacy

laws. Copyright of data is as follows: Cadastre (c) 2019 Department of Natural Resources and Mines

Cadastre (c) 2019 Department of Natural Resources and Mines Street Names and House Numbers (c) 2019 Brisbane City Council

Caution: This map may contain the locations of abandoned underground asbestos pipes. Council gives no warranty to the completeness or accuracy of these records. Appropriate care needs to be taken in all cases. **Map Sheet Overview**

Sequence Number: 79844961

Date: Feb 04, 2019

BCC Stormwater and Cable Networks







BCC Stormwater and Cable Networks

Disclaimer:

(c) Brisbane City Council [2019] In consideration of Council, and the copyright owners listed below, permitting the use of this data, you acknowledge and agree that Council, and the copyright owners, give no warranty in relation to the data (including accuracy, reliability, completeness, currency or suitability) and accept no liability (including without limitation, liability in negligence) for any loss, damage or costs (including consequential damage), relating to any use of this data. Data must not be used for direct marketing or be used in breach of the privacy

laws. Copyright of data is as follows: Cadastre (c) 2019 Department of Natural Resources and Mines

Street Names and House Numbers (c) 2019 Brisbane City Council Caution: This map may contain the locations of abandoned underground asbestos

Caution: This map may contain the locations of abandoned underground asbestos pipes. Council gives no warranty to the completeness or accuracy of these records. Appropriate care needs to be taken in all cases.



Sequence Number: 79844961

Sheet 1

Date: Feb 04, 2019





BCC Stormwater and Cable Networks



Caution: This map may contain the locations of abandoned underground asbestos pipes. Council gives no warranty to the completeness or accuracy of these records. Appropriate care needs to be taken in all cases.

Disclaimer:

laws.

Sequence Number: 79844961



BCC Stormwater and Cable Networks

Disclaimer:

(c) Brisbane City Council [2019] In consideration of Council, and the copyright owners listed below, permitting the use of this data, you acknowledge and agree that Council, and the copyright owners, give no warranty in relation to the data (including accuracy, reliability, completeness, currency or suitability) and accept no liability (including without limitation, liability in negligence) for any loss, damage or costs (including consequential damage), relating to any use of this data. Data must not be used for direct marketing or be used in breach of the privacy

laws Copyright of data is as follows: Cadastre (c) 2019 Department of Natural Resources and Mines

Street Names and House Numbers (c) 2019 Brisbane City Council

Caution: This map may contain the locations of abandoned underground asbestos pipes. Council gives no warranty to the completeness or accuracy of these records. Appropriate care needs to be taken in all cases.



Sequence Number: 79844961

Sheet 3

Date: Feb 04, 2019

BRISBANE CITY Copyright BCC, 2019

Legend

Stormwater Network

- Stormwater Drain
- Stormwater Gully / Roofwater Connection
- Future Stormwater Drain
- Stormwater Maintenance Hole
- Stormwater Roofwater Pit
- Stormwater Gully Pit
- Stormwater Field Inlet
- Stormwater Quality Improvement Device
- Stormwater Culvert

BCC Cable Network

- Traffic System Cable
 - Traffic Signal Ducting
 - Traffic Light Conduit
 - Fibre Optic Cable Location
 - Flood Telemetry Conduit
 - Parking Sensor Ducting
 - Fibre Optic Pit Location



PAPER SIZE A3 Map has been designed to be reproduced in colour





PAPER SIZE A3 Map has been designed to be reproduced in colour



Indicative Plans

Issue Date:	04/02/2019 DIAL BEFORE
Location:	70 Park Road , Yeronga , QLD , 4104
	1
	2
2	LEGEND Type: Telco Technology:Coaxial Assets IN-SERVICE: Cable/Duct/Trench DesiGNED/CONSTRUCTED: Cable/Duct/Trench Pit/Manhole









Emergency Contacts

You must immediately report any damage to **nbn™** network that you are/become aware of. Notification may be by telephone - 1800 626 329.



WARNING: This document is confidential and may also be privileged. Confidentiality nor privilege is not waived or destroyed by virtue of it being transmitted to an incorrect addressee. Unauthorised use of the contents is therefore strictly prohibited. Any information contained in this document that has been extracted from our records is believed to be accurate, but no responsibility is assumed for any error or omission. Optus Plans and information supplied are valid for 30 days from the date of issue. If this timeline has elapsed please raise a new enquiry.

Sequence Number: 79844964



For all Optus DBYD plan enquiries – Email: <u>Fibre.Locations@optus.net.au</u> For urgent onsite assistance contact 1800 505 777 Optus Limited ACN 052 833 208 Date Generated: 04/02/2019





Level 17, PIPE Networks House, 127 Creek Street, Brisbane 4000 PH:(07) 3233 9895 FAX:(07) 3233 9880

Attention: Ms Sandra Rey Fax: Not Supplied DBYD Enguiry Number: 79844959

Date: 04/02/2019

Location: 70 Park Road Yeronga QLD 4104

DBYD ENQUIRY RETURN:

PIPE Networks **DOES** own or operate telecommunications network infrastructure within the area detailed above.

The affected network **is contained in the PIPE Networks duct network** and can be found on **PIPE Networks** own network plans.

This network is vital to our operations and as such, it is critical that no works commence within the area until a PIPE Networks representative has contacted you.

A PIPE Networks representative will contact you within 24 hours to further discuss your intended works. If you do not hear from PIPE networks within 24hours please call us for assistance.

Due to continued network expansion, this network information can only be considered valid and accurate for 28 days from issue.

PIPE Networks will seek compensation for any damage to its network through negligence or ignorance of your duty of care.



PIPE Networks (for information specific to this job only) Ph (07) 3233 9895

Email: <u>dbyd@pipenetworks.com</u>

DISCLAIMER: No responsibility/liability is taken by PIPE Networks for any inaccuracy, error, omission or action based on the information supplied in this correspondence.





supplied in this correspondence. © 2013 PIPE Networks Ltd.





supplied in this correspondence. © 2013 PIPE Networks Ltd.











	DBYD - Queensland Urban Utilities Water & Sewer Infrastructure	Sewer	Water		The plans are indicative and approximate only and provided without warranties of any kind, express or implied including in relation to accuracy, completeness, correctness, currency or fitness for purpose.
()		Infrastructure	Infrastructure		QUU takes no responsibility and accepts no liability for any loss, damage, costs or liability that may be incurred by any person acting in reliance on the information provided on the plans.
		 Major Infrastructure 	Major Infrastructure		This plan should be used as guide only. Any dimensions should be confirmed on site by the relevant authority.
QUEENSLAND	DBYD Reference No: /9844967	Network Pipelines	Network Pipelines	Map Scale	based on or contains data provided by the state of cubernsand (Lepariment or valutal resources and writes) (zu /o, in constrained aton or the State permuting the use of this data you acknowledge and agree that the State gives no warranty in relation to the data (including accuracy, liability in negligence) for any loss, damage or costs (including consequential damage) relating to any use of the data. Data must not be used for direct marketing or be used in breach of the
Urban Utilities	Date DBYU het holesevat: #U02/2019 4.35/U0 PM Date DBYU bols Commence (60/2019 12:0000 AM 4002/2019 This Map is valid for 30 days Produced By: Queensland Urban Utilities GDA	Network Structures	Network Structures	1:3075	privacy laws. © State of Queensiand Department of Natural Resources and Mines [2018] For further information, please call Queensiand Urban Utilities on 13 26 57 (7am-7pm weekdays). Faults and emergencies 13 23 64 (24/7). www.urbanullies.com.au ABN 86 673 355 011



	DRVD Overseland Urban Utilities	Sewer	Water		The plans are indicative and approximate only and provided without warranties of any kind, express or implied including in relation to accuracy, completeness, correctness, currency or fitness for purpose.
()	Water & Sewer Infrastructure	Infrastructure	Infrastructure		QUU takes no responsibility and accepts no liability for any loss, damage, costs or liability that may be incurred by any person acting in reliance on the information provided on the plans.
	DBYD Reference No: 79844967	Major Infrastructure Network Pipelines	Major Infrastructure Network Pipelines		This plan should be used as guide only. Any dimensions should be confirmed on site by the relevant authority. Based on or contains data provided by the State of Queensland (Department of Natural Resources and Mines) [2018]. In consideration of the State permitting the use of this data use accentedeos, and coses that the State or lever on warrowth is catalon to the state permitting.
Urban Utilities	Date DBYD Ref Recieved: 4/02/2019 4:38:00 PM Date DBYD Job to Commence: 6/02/2019 12:00:00 AM	Recycled Water Pipeline	s – – Water Service (Indicative only)		de de or nins data four skynwedge allt ogkere und kroute brake gives in o what in it relation to in e data (producing skydiacy, teating in relating and the data and the skydiacy skydiacy to a skydiacy skydiac Skydiacy skydiacy s
	Date DBYD Map Produced: 4/02/2019 This Map is valid for 30 days Produced By: Queensland Urban Utilities GDA	Network Structures	Network Structures	1.1000	For further information, please call Queensland Urban Utilities on 13 26 57 (7am-7pm weekdays). Faults and emergencies 13 23 64 (24/7). www.urbanutilities.com.au ABN 86 673 835 011







	ĺ	DRVD Queensland Urban Utilities	Sewer	Water	N	The plans are indicative and approximate only and provided without warranties of any kind, express or implied including in relation to accuracy, completeness, correctness, currency or fitness for purpose.
	()	Water & Sewer Infrastructure	Infrastructure	Infrastructure		QUU takes no responsibility and accepts no liability for any loss, damage, costs or liability that may be incurred by any person acting in reliance on the information provided on the plans.
			Major Infrastructure	Major Infrastructure		This plan should be used as guide only. Any dimensions should be confirmed on site by the relevant authority. Based on or contains data provided by the State of Queensland (Department of Natural Resources and Mines) (2018). In consideration of the State permitting
u	QUEENSLAND	Date DBYD Ref Recieved: 4/02/2019 4:38:00 PM	Recycled Water Pipelines	Water Service (Indicative only)	Map Scale	the use of this data you acknowledge and agree that the State gives no warranty in relation to the data (including accuracy, liability in negligence) for any loss, damage or costs (including consequential damage) relating to any use of the data. Data must not be used for direct marketing or be used in breach of the privacy laws: © State of Queensland Department of Natural Resources and Mines [2018]
	Or Dan Journe	Date DBYD Job to Commence: 6/02/2019 12:00:00 AM Date DBYD Map Produced: This Map is valid for 30 days Produced By: Queensland Urban Utilities CDA	Network Structures	Network Structures	1:1000	For further information, please call Queensland Urban Utilities on 13 26 57 (7am-7pm weekdays). Faults and emergencies 13 23 64 (24/7). www.urban.utilities.com.au ABN 86 673 835 011







	DBVD Queensland Urban Utilities	Sewer	Water	N	The plans are indicative and approximate only and provided without warranties of any kind, express or implied including in relation to accuracy, completeness, correctness, currency or fitness for purpose.
()	Water & Sewer Infrastructure			Ň	OUU takes no responsibility and accepts no liability for any loss, damage, costs or liability that may be incurred by any person acting in reliance on the information provided on the plans.
	DBYD Reference No: 79844967	Major Infrastructure Network Pipelines	Major Infrastructure Network Pipelines	Man Scale	This plan should be used as guide only. Any dimensions should be confirmed on site by the relevant authority. Based on or contains data provided by the State of Queensland (Department of Natural Resources and Mines) [2018]. In consideration of the State permitting the use of this data you acknowledge and agree that the State gives no warrant in i relation to the data (including accuracy, liability in negligence) for any loss.
Urban Utilities	Date DBYD Ref Recieved: 4/02/2019 4:38:00 PM Date DBYD Job to Commence: 6/02/2019 12:00:00 AM Date DBYD Map Produced: 4/02/2019 This Map is valid for 30 days Produced By: Queensland Urban Utilities GDA	Recycled Water Pipelines	Water Service (Indicative only)	1:1000	damage or costs (including consequential damage) relating to any use of the data. Data must not be used for direct marketing or be used in breach of the privacy laws. © State of Queensland Department of Natural Resources and Mines [2018] For further information, please call Queensland Urban Utilities on 13 26 57 (7am-7pm weekdays). Faults and emergencies 13 23 64 (24/7). www.urbanufilies.com.au & AbN 86 73 835 011

Queensland Urban Utilities - Water & Sewer Infrastructure









Telstra	For all Telstra DBYD plan enquiries -	Sequence Number: 79844963	
	For urgent onsite contact only - ph 1800 653 935 (bus hrs)	CAUTION: Fibre optic and/ or major network present	
TELSTRA CORPORATION LIMITED A.C.N. 051 775 556		approximate and the approx	
Gene	erated On 04/02/2019 16:42:40	any assistance.	

The above plan must be viewed in conjunction with the Mains Cable Plan on the following page

WARNING - Due to the nature of Telstra underground plant and the age of some cables and records, it is impossible to ascertain the precise location of all Telstra plant from Telstra's plans. The accuracy and/or completeness of the information supplied can not be guaranteed as property boundaries, depths and other natural landscape features may change over time, and accordingly the plans are indicative only. Telstra does not warrant or hold out that its plans are accurate and accepts no responsibility for any inaccuracy shown on the plans.

It is your responsibility to locate Telstra's underground plant by careful hand pot-holing prior to any excavation in the vicinity and to exercise due care during that excavation.

Please read and understand the information supplied in the duty of care statement attached with the Telstra plans. TELSTRA WILL SEEK COMPENSATION FOR LOSS CAUSED BY DAMAGE TO ITS PLANT.

Telstra plans and information supplied are valid for 60 days from the date of issue. If this timeframe has elapsed, please reapply for plans.



Telstra	For all Telstra DBYD plan enquiries -	Sequence Number: 79844963	
	For urgent onsite contact only - ph 1800 653 935 (bus hrs)	CAUTION: Fibre optic and/ or major network present	
TELSTRA C	ORPORATION LIMITED A.C.N. 051 775 556	In plot area. Please read the Duty of Care and	
Gene	erated On 04/02/2019 16:42:42	any assistance.	

WARNING - Due to the nature of Telstra underground plant and the age of some cables and records, it is impossible to ascertain the precise location of all Telstra plant from Telstra's plans. The accuracy and/or completeness of the information supplied can not be guaranteed as property boundaries, depths and other natural landscape features may change over time, and accordingly the plans are indicative only. Telstra does not warrant or hold out that its plans are accurate and accepts no responsibility for any inaccuracy shown on the plans.

It is your responsibility to locate Telstra's underground plant by careful hand pot-holing prior to any excavation in the vicinity and to exercise due care during that excavation.

Please read and understand the information supplied in the duty of care statement attached with the Telstra plans. TELSTRA WILL SEEK COMPENSATION FOR LOSS CAUSED BY DAMAGE TO ITS PLANT.

Telstra plans and information supplied are valid for 60 days from the date of issue. If this timeframe has elapsed, please reapply for plans.

Design with community in mind

Level 3 52 Merivale St South Brisbane QLD 4101 Tel (07) 3811 4500

For more information please visit www.Stantec.com

