

PLANS AND DOCUMENTS
referred to in the PDA
DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL

Approval no: DEV2020/1158

Date: 13 APRIL 2021



Level 4
43 Peel Street
SOUTH BRISBANE QLD 4101
SOUTH BRISBANE QLD 4101
T 07 3844 5900
F 07 3844 7588

www.acor.com.au

ENGINEERS
MANAGERS
INFRASTRUCTURE
PLANNERS

DEVELOPMENT
CONSULTANTS

Site Based Stormwater Management Plan and Engineering Services Report


Rockpool Aged Care Development

Lot 3 Carseldine Urban Village, 520 Beams Rd
Carseldine QLD

Prepared for: McNab Developments (QLD) Pty Ltd

Document no: BR200520

Issue no: 02



Disclaimer

This Report has been prepared in accordance with the scope of services described in the contract or agreement between ACOR Consultants (QLD) Pty Ltd and the Client. The Report relies upon data, surveys, measurements and results taken at or under the particular terms and conditions specified herein. Changes to circumstances or facts after certain information or material has been submitted may impact on the accuracy, completeness or currency of the information or material. This Report has been prepared solely for use by the Client, ACOR Consultants (QLD) Pty Ltd accepts no responsibility for its use by other parties without the specific authorisation of ACOR Consultants (QLD) Pty Ltd. ACOR Consultants (QLD) Pty Ltd reserves the right to alter, amend, discontinue, vary or otherwise change any information, material or service at any time without subsequent notification. All access to, or use of, the information or material is at the user's risk and ACOR Consultants (QLD) Pty Ltd accepts no responsibility for the results of any actions taken on the basis of information or material provided, nor for its accuracy, completeness or currency. Any reference to "Report" where used in this Disclaimer is also a reference to any plan, table, data or other document that has been created for the purposes of the "Report" and referred to in the "Report"

REVISIONS

Revision	Date	Purpose	Prepared By	Approved By
01 - Draft	09/11/2020	For Review	Niall Davidson	
02	27/11/2020	For Approval	Niall Davidson	Roshan Khadka

Review Panel	
Division/Office	Name
Civil / Brisbane, QLD	Roshan Khadka RPEQ 15945

Unless otherwise advised, the parties who have undertaken the Review and Endorsement confirm that the information contained in this document adequately describes the conditions of the site located at Lot 3 Carseldine Urban Village, 520 Beams Road, Carseldine QLD.

<p>COPYRIGHT</p> <p>No part of this document (where "document" includes any plan, specification, detail, report or other document created by ACOR Consultants Pty Ltd or any of its related or associated entities) may be reproduced, adapted, transmitted or stored in a retrieval system in any form or by any means without written permission unless otherwise permitted under the Copyright Act, 1968. Enquiries should be addressed to ACOR Consultants Pty Limited.</p> <p>© ACOR Consultants Pty Limited</p> <p>All intellectual property and copyright reserved.</p>	
---	--


<p>PLANS AND DOCUMENTS referred to in the PDA DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL</p> <p>Approval no: DEV2020/1158</p> <p>Date: 13 APRIL 2021</p>	 <p>Queensland Government</p>
--	--

Table of Contents

1	Introduction.....	5
	1.1 Background.....	5
2	Scope	5
3	Criteria.....	5
4	Site Characteristics.....	6
	4.1 Location and Description.....	6
	4.2 Watercourses and Topography	6
	4.3 Existing Land Use and Proposed Development	7
5	Services.....	8
	5.1 Water Reticulation.....	8
	5.2 Sewer Reticulation	8
	5.3 Gas, Telecommunications & Electrical	8
6	Stormwater Management (Quantity)	9
	6.1 Existing Stormwater Infrastructure	9
	6.2 Lawful Point of Discharge.....	9
	6.3 Proposed design	9
7	Stormwater Management (Quality)	10
	7.1 Water Quality Objectives.....	10
	7.2 Treatment Train.....	10
8	Flooding.....	11
9	Conclusion and Recommendations.....	12

Appendices

Appendix A - Subdivision Plan.....	13
Appendix B - Subdivision Civil Design Documentation	14
Appendix C - Proposed Development.....	15
Appendix D - Concept Civil Layout	16
Appendix E - Subdivision Local Flood Assessment	17

List of Figures

Figure 1 - Site Location	6
--------------------------------	---

List of Tables

Table 1 - Relevant flood levels (from Creek/Waterway) – Building Class 9C	11
---	----

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

ACOR Consultants (QLD) Pty. Ltd. were engaged by McNab Developments (QLD) Pty Ltd (Client) to prepare a Site Based Stormwater Management Plan (SBSMP) and Engineering Services Report for the proposed Aged Care Development by Rockpool at the Carseldine Urban Village (Site).

2 Scope

The following items will be addressed in this report;

- Lawful point of discharge for the development
- Proposed concept stormwater quantity management plan for the site.
- Proposed concept stormwater quality management plan for the site.
- Comments on flood assessment prepared by Design Flow
- Comments on services infrastructure near the Site.

3 Criteria

This report has been compiled based on:

- Existing design documentation for the Site prepared by Calibre Consulting Pty Ltd (Subdivision Civil Engineer)
- Proposed development plans for the Site prepared by GJG Architects Pty Ltd (Architect)
- Discussions with the Client and the consultant team
- Information obtained from Council's online mapping system

4 Site Characteristics

4.1 Location and Description

The proposed Rockpool Aged Care site is currently identified as Lot 3 of the Carseldine Urban Village development (Subdivision) located at 520 Beams Road, Carseldine QLD 4034 over the lot described as lot 1 on SP311781.

Lot 3 of the subdivision work (refer **Appendix A**) will be further referred to as the Site Area, which has a total area of approximately 0.911 hectares.

At the end delivery of the subdivision work by others, the Site will be fronted by residential townhouse style lots to the North, open park space to the East, and vegetated water corridor and Cabbage Tree Creek to the South.



Figure 1 - Site Location

Source: ©Nearmap 2020

4.2 Watercourses and Topography

There is an existing watercourse that runs west to east, to the south of the Site called Cabbage Tree Creek.

The Site Area is provided as a relatively level, free draining pad at approximately 15.5m AHD at the Northern edge down to approximately 14.6m AHD at the Southern corner.

Refer to **Appendix B** for design of the Site Area as per the subdivision documentation.

4.3 Existing Land Use and Proposed Development

The Site Area was previously open green space on land controlled by the state government. The surrounding area is currently undergoing subdivisional work into townhouse style residential lots and a couple of larger lots for commercial developments.

The proposed development is an Aged Care Facility with communal and public facilities on the ground floor (e.g. café, theatre, function rooms etc.) and five floors above for residents.

Refer to **Appendix C** for the proposed development plans.

5 Services

5.1 Water Reticulation

A review of Urban Utilities' water reticulation infrastructure records and Brisbane City Council (BCC) ebimap2 undertaken in the immediate vicinity of the Site has been completed. The information indicates there is a 300mm diameter main along Beams Road.

However, the Site is expected to be serviced by a new 225mm diameter main along its frontage being constructed as part of the overall Subdivision (not yet documented in the mapping system).

Capacity of the new main and connection details will be confirmed by Urban Utilities at the time of detailed design for the Site.

Refer to **Appendix B** for design of the Site Area as per the subdivision documentation.

5.2 Sewer Reticulation

A review of Urban Utilities' sewer reticulation infrastructure records and Brisbane City Council (BCC) ebimap2 undertaken in the immediate vicinity of the site has been completed. The information indicates there is existing trunk infrastructure within the vicinity of the Site.

As part of the ongoing Subdivisional work, a new reticulation sewer main will be constructed near the Site along with a 150mm diameter property connection to service the development.

Refer to **Appendix B** for design of the Site Area as per the subdivision documentation.

5.3 Gas, Telecommunications & Electrical

The subdivisional work is also providing electrical and NBN infrastructure along the frontages of the Site. It is anticipated that these infrastructures have been designed to allow for connection to the Site. Capacity and details of the connection is expected to be confirmed with the relevant authority.

6 Stormwater Management (Quantity)

6.1 Existing Stormwater Infrastructure

The Site will be serviced by adjacent stormwater infrastructure and a 300mm diameter connection stub to be located in the Southern corner of the Site. The new roads surrounding the site are designed to be kerbed and channelled. The Site will have general fall towards its road frontages.

Refer to **Appendix B** for design of the Site Area as per the subdivision documentation.

6.2 Lawful Point of Discharge

The existing stormwater infrastructure within the proposed road frontages (including the 300mm diameter connection stub in the Southern corner of the Site) is considered as the lawful point of discharge for the development.

6.3 Proposed design

During detailed design, the Site will be graded to discharge to the lawful point of discharge. Refer to **Appendix D** for the preliminary civil layout sketch.

There will be a net increase in total fraction impervious for the Development Site.

The technical memorandum titled “Carseldine Urban Village – Local Flood Assessment to Support Stage 1 Development”, prepared by Design Flow, documents various augmentations to the existing stormwater network in the area. The post-development flood modelling analysis shows a minor change in upstream and downstream flood levels which have been deemed as not to be an actionable nuisance by Design Flow.

The ultimate developed case in Design Flow’s modelling assumes all developable lots being 90% impervious. The development proposed as part of this application has approximately 76% fraction impervious. As this value is less than the value assumed by Design Flow, the proposed development will not generate more flows than what was allowed for in Design Flow’s modelling and is generally in accordance with it.

Therefore, it is proposed that no on-site detention or stormwater mitigation be implemented on this Site.

7 Stormwater Management (Quality)

7.1 Water Quality Objectives

7.1.1 Construction Phase

- Control measures to be put in place to protect downstream properties from nuisance flows.
- Maximum of 50mg/L of total suspended solids present in run-off discharged from the site during the construction stage, and a pH between 8 and 8.5

7.1.2 Operational Phase

The proposed development is required to meet or exceed the stormwater management design objectives under the State Planning Policy (SPP) and Council's planning scheme and guidelines. The following minimum load reduction targets, in mean annual load from unmitigated development, are required to be achieved in the post-developed site's treatment:

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| ▪ Total Suspended Solids (TSS) | 80% reduction of average annual load |
| ▪ Total Phosphorus (TP) | 60% reduction of average annual load |
| ▪ Total Nitrogen (TN) | 45% reduction of average annual load |
| ▪ Gross pollutants (greater than 5mm) | 90% reduction of average annual load |

In addition to the above, the following permanent stormwater quality best management practices have been identified for the operational phase of the development to assist in the protection of water quality.

- Rubbish Bins provided on site;
- Street sweeping of pavement areas;
- Maintenance of all stormwater quality improvement devices.

7.2 Treatment Train

A Stormwater Management Plan titled "Carseldine Urban Village – Updated Stormwater Management Plan" prepared by Design Flow is noted as an approved document as part of the subdivisional work. This report provides a treatment train for the overall subdivision including fully developed scenario for the Site.

Therefore, we consider that no additional on-site treatment train is required for the Site.

The stormwater connection stub provided for the Site conveys post-development flows from the Site to Bioretention B2 located to the Southwest of the Site.

Refer to **Appendix D** for the concept civil layout sketch with preliminary FFLs shown.

8 Flooding

The following documents and associated flood modelling were undertaken as part of the overall subdivision works:

- Technical Memorandum - “Carseldine Urban Village – Local Flood Assessment to Support Stage 1 Development” by Design Flow; and
- Technical Memorandum - “Carseldine Urban Village – Addendum to Carseldine Urban Village – Local Flood Assessment to Support Stage 1 Development” by Design Flow
- Letter from Design Flow – “RE: Carseldine Urban Village Development – Lot 3 Flood Levels” dated 26 November 2020.

The technical memorandums advise that parts of the Site are affected by flooding and specifies the relevant Designated Flood Level (DFL). Refer to **Appendix E** for the above referenced documents.

The following table summarises the relevant flood levels and the required minimum design levels for the Site as per Council’s Flood Overlay Code and Design Flow Technical Memorandums

Table 1 - Relevant flood levels (from Creek/Waterway) – Building Class 9C

Development Type & Category	Category	Flood Source	Required Minimum Flood Planning Level (Criteria)	Required Minimum Flood Planning Level	Proposed Levels
Building floor level	Category A	Creek/Waterway	1% AEP + 500mm	15.33m AHD	15.33m AHD (Ground Floor)
Building floor levels for habitable rooms	0.2% AEP	Creek/Waterway	0.2% AEP	15.23m AHD	19.53m AHD (Level 1)
Unroofed car park	Category D	Creek/Waterway	1% AEP	14.83m AHD	14.90m AHD
Vehicle Access and Manoeuvring Areas	Category D	Creek/Waterway	1% AEP	14.83m AHD	14.85m AHD
Essential Electrical Services	Category A	Creek/Waterway	1% AEP + 500mm	15.33m AHD	15.33m AHD

The building certifier has confirmed that the rooms on the ground floor would not be considered “habitable rooms”. However, it should be noted that the proposed ground floor levels complies with BCC flood planning levels should a habitable floor be located on the ground floor.

The proposed development levels are at or above the required minimum design levels.

Refer to **Appendix D** for the concept civil layout sketch with preliminary FFLs shown.

9 Conclusion and Recommendations

A stormwater management plan has been prepared to manage stormwater quantity and quality for the proposed Aged Care development.

The overall subdivision development is supported by a strategy and flood modelling by Design Flow to demonstrate no actionable nuisance downstream or upstream of the development site. Therefore, no on-site detention is proposed for the site,

There is an existing stormwater quality strategy/treatment train that is being utilised to achieve the required operation phase water quality objectives for the overall subdivision which allows for the Site to be fully developed. Therefore, no additional on-site treatment train is proposed for the development.

Parts of the site are affected by flooding. Design Flows' flood investigations and modelling have provided the 1% AEP and 0.2% AEP flood levels for the Site. Proposed levels for the development are at or above the relevant flood planning levels.

Services including water, sewer, stormwater, electrical and NBN, being installed as part of the overall subdivision work, are expected to service the proposed development.

Appendix A - Subdivision Plan


**CARSELDINE
URBAN VILLAGE**
**PLAN OF SUBDIVISION
STAGE 1 - SHEET 1
OVERALL**

PLAN REF: **128180 - 461**
DATE: 06 MARCH 2020
CLIENT: EDQ
DRAWN BY: MD / JC
CHECKED BY: MD

Legend


- Site Boundary
- - - Proposed Stage Boundary
- Approved Easement V (Access and Future Works) over Lot 322 on SP311781 - DEV2019/1032

Note:
All Lot Numbers, Dimensions and Areas are approximate only, and are subject to survey and Council approval.
Dimensions have been rounded to the nearest 0.1 metres.
Areas have been rounded down to the nearest 5m².
The boundaries shown on this plan should not be used for final detailed engineers design.
Source Information:
Site boundaries: Registered Survey Plans.
Adjoining information: DCDB.



Yield Breakdown		
Allotment Details	Stage 1	
	Lots	Percentage
16.5m Deep Terrace Allotments		
4.6m x 16.5m Terrace	9	17.0%
6.6m x 16.5 Terrace	12	22.6%
8.4m x 16.5m Terrace	6	11.3%
Sub-total	27	50.9%
25.25m Deep Terrace Allotments		
6.6m x 25.25m Terrace	26	49.1%
Sub-total	26	49.1%
Overall Residential Allotments	53	100.0%
Master Allotment		
Mixed Use Allotment	1	
Total Allotments	55	

Land Budget		
Land Use	Stage 1	
	Area	Percentage
Area of Stage	3.507 ha	100.0%
Saleable Land		
Residential Allotments	0.740 ha	21.1%
Master Allotment	0.911 ha	26.0%
Mixed Use Allotment	0.037 ha	1.1%
Total Area of Saleable Land	1.688 ha	48.1%
Road		
Village Main Street (27m Wide)	0.533 ha	31.6%
Western Access Road (23m Wide)	0.248 ha	14.7%
Loop Road (20m Wide)	0.166 ha	9.8%
Access Place (19m Wide)	0.464 ha	27.5%
Modified Access Place (16.75m Wide)	0.129 ha	7.6%
Access Lane (6.5m Wide)	0.193 ha	11.4%
Pedestrian Link Within Road Reserve	0.086 ha	5.1%
Total Area of New Road	1.819 ha	51.9%



Not part of this PDA development approval

Location of carparking spaces and access driveway(s) may be adjusted. Subject to Condition 13. Roads - Internal of the PDA development approval

PLANS AND DOCUMENTS referred to in the PDA DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL
Approval no: DEV2019/1074
Date: 26 March 2020




AMENDED IN RED
By: Leila Torrens
Date: 26 March 2020



URBAN DESIGN
Level 4 HQ South
520 Wickham Street
PO Box 1559
Fortitude Valley QLD 4006
T +61 7 3539 9500
W rpsgroup.com





KEY MAP
Scale: 1 : 10,000

Yield Breakdown		
Allotment Details	Stage 1	
	Lots	Percentage
16.5m Deep Terrace Allotments		
4.6m x 16.5m Terrace	9	17.0%
6.6m x 16.5 Terrace	12	22.6%
8.4m x 16.5m Terrace	6	11.3%
Sub-total	27	50.9%
25.25m Deep Terrace Allotments		
6.6m x 25.25m Terrace	26	49.1%
Sub-total	26	49.1%
Overall Residential Allotments	53	100.0%
Other Allotments		
Master Allotment	1	
Mixed Use Allotment	1	
Total Allotments	55	

Land Budget		
Land Use	Stage 1	
	Area	Percentage
Area of Stage	3.507 ha	100.0%
Saleable Land		
Residential Allotments	0.740 ha	21.1%
Master Allotment	0.911 ha	26.0%
Mixed Use Allotment	0.037 ha	1.1%
Total Area of Saleable Land	1.688 ha	48.1%
Road		
Village Main Street (27m Wide)	0.533 ha	31.6%
Western Access Road (23m Wide)	0.248 ha	14.7%
Loop Road (20m Wide)	0.166 ha	9.8%
Access Place (19m Wide)	0.464 ha	27.5%
Modified Access Place (16.75m Wide)	0.129 ha	7.6%
Access Lane (6.5m Wide)	0.193 ha	11.4%
Pedestrian Link Within Road Reserve	0.086 ha	5.1%
Total Area of New Road	1.819 ha	51.9%

Legend

- Site Boundary
- - - Proposed Stage Boundary
- Approved Easement V (Access and Future Works) over Lot 322 on SP311781 - DEV2019/1032


Note:
All Lot Numbers, Dimensions and Areas are approximate only, and are subject to survey and Council approval.

Dimensions have been rounded to the nearest 0.1 metres.

Areas have been rounded down to the nearest 5m².

The boundaries shown on this plan should not be used for final detailed engineers design.

Source Information:
Site boundaries: Registered Survey Plans.
Adjoining information: DCDB.



Not part of this PDA development approval. This lot is for potential future development, subject to the final / agreed impact of the DTMR Beams Road Level Crossing Upgrade.

(Pt) 7001

Not part of this PDA development approval

STAGE 1

322 SP311781

3
0.911ha

STAGE S
9000

Easement V on SP311781

PLANS AND DOCUMENTS referred to in the PDA DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL
Approval no: DEV2019/1074
Date: 26 March 2020

AMENDED IN RED
By: Leila Torrens
Date: 26 March 2020

Yield Breakdown		
Allotment Details	Stage 1	
	Lots	Percentage
16.5m Deep Terrace Allotments		
4.6m x 16.5m Terrace	9	17.0%
6.6m x 16.5 Terrace	12	22.6%
8.4m x 16.5m Terrace	6	11.3%
Sub-total	27	50.9%
25.25m Deep Terrace Allotments		
6.6m x 25.25m Terrace	26	49.1%
Sub-total	26	49.1%
Overall Residential Allotments	53	100.0%
Master Allotment	1	
Mixed Use Allotment	1	
Total Allotments	55	


Land Budget		
Land Use	Stage 1	
	Area	Percentage
Area of Stage	3.507 ha	100.0%
Saleable Land		
Residential Allotments	0.740 ha	21.1%
Master Allotment	0.911 ha	26.0%
Mixed Use Allotment	0.037 ha	1.1%
Total Area of Saleable Land	1.688 ha	48.1%
Road		
Village Main Street (27m Wide)	0.533 ha	31.6%
Western Access Road (23m Wide)	0.248 ha	14.7%
Loop Road (20m Wide)	0.166 ha	9.8%
Access Place (19m Wide)	0.464 ha	27.5%
Modified Access Place (16.75m Wide)	0.129 ha	7.6%
Access Lane (6.5m Wide)	0.193 ha	11.4%
Pedestrian Link Within Road Reserve	0.086 ha	5.1%
Total Area of New Road	1.819 ha	51.9%

(Pt) 7001
 Not part of this PDA development approval. This lot is for potential future development, subject to the final / agreed impact of the DTMR Beams Road Level Crossing Upgrade.


Not part of this PDA development approval

Pedestrian Link Within Future Road Reserve to form part of Balance Lot 7001. This area is to form future road reserve.

PLANS AND DOCUMENTS referred to in the PDA DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL
 Approval no: DEV2019/1074
 Date: 26 March 2020



KEY MAP
 Scale: 1 : 10,000



AMENDED IN RED
 By: Leila Torrens
 Date: 26 March 2020



Legend

- Site Boundary
- - - Proposed Stage Boundary
- Approved Easement V (Access and Future Works) over Lot 322 on SP311781 - DEV2019/1032

Note:
 All Lot Numbers, Dimensions and Areas are approximate only, and are subject to survey and Council approval.

Dimensions have been rounded to the nearest 0.1 metres.

Areas have been rounded down to the nearest 5m².

The boundaries shown on this plan should not be used for final detailed engineers design.

Source Information:
 Site boundaries: Registered Survey Plans.
 Adjoining information: DCDB.

PLAN REF: 128180 – 461
 DATE: 06 MARCH 2020
 CLIENT: EDQ
 DRAWN BY: MD / JC
 CHECKED BY: MD



**CARSELDINE URBAN VILLAGE
 PLAN OF SUBDIVISION
 STAGE 1 - SHEET 3 - RESIDENTIAL**

URBAN DESIGN
 Level 4 HQ South
 520 Wickham Street
 PO Box 1559
 Fortitude Valley QLD 4006
 T +61 7 3539 9500
 W rpsgroup.com




© COPYRIGHT PROTECTS THIS PLAN
 Unauthorised reproduction or amendment not permitted. Please contact the author.

Yield Breakdown		
Allotment Details	Stage 1	
	Lots	Percentage
16.5m Deep Terrace Allotments		
4.6m x 16.5m Terrace	9	17.0%
6.6m x 16.5 Terrace	12	22.6%
8.4m x 16.5m Terrace	6	11.3%
Sub-total	27	50.9%
25.25m Deep Terrace Allotments		
6.6m x 25.25m Terrace	26	49.1%
Sub-total	26	49.1%
Overall Residential Allotments	53	100.0%
Master Allotment	1	
Mixed Use Allotment	1	
Total Allotments	55	

Land Budget		
Land Use	Stage 1	
	Area	Percentage
Area of Stage	3.507 ha	100.0%
Saleable Land		
Residential Allotments	0.740 ha	21.1%
Master Allotment	0.911 ha	26.0%
Mixed Use Allotment	0.037 ha	1.1%
Total Area of Saleable Land	1.688 ha	48.1%
Road		
Village Main Street (27m Wide)	0.533 ha	31.6%
Western Access Road (23m Wide)	0.248 ha	14.7%
Loop Road (20m Wide)	0.166 ha	9.8%
Access Place (19m Wide)	0.464 ha	27.5%
Modified Access Place (16.75m Wide)	0.129 ha	7.6%
Access Lane (6.5m Wide)	0.193 ha	11.4%
Future Pedestrian Link Within Road Reserve	0.086 ha	5.1%
Total Area of New Road	1.819 ha	51.9%

Not part of this PDA development approval




PLANS AND DOCUMENTS referred to in the PDA DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL

Approval no: DEV2019/1074
Date: 26 March 2020



Location of carparking spaces and access driveway(s) may be adjusted. Subject to Condition 13. Roads - Internal of the PDA development approval



KEY MAP

Scale: 1 : 10,000

Legend

- Site Boundary
- Proposed Stage Boundary
- Approved Easement V (Access and Future Works) over Lot 322 on SP311781 - DEV2019/1032

AMENDED IN RED

By: Leila Torrens
Date: 26 March 2020



Note:

All Lot Numbers, Dimensions and Areas are approximate only, and are subject to survey and Council approval.

Dimensions have been rounded to the nearest 0.1 metres.

Areas have been rounded down to the nearest 5m².

The boundaries shown on this plan should not be used for final detailed engineers design.

Source Information:

Site boundaries: Registered Survey Plans.
Adjoining information: DCDB.

PLAN REF: 128180 - 461
DATE: 06 MARCH 2020
CLIENT: EDQ
DRAWN BY: MD / JC
CHECKED BY: MD




CARSELDINE URBAN VILLAGE PLAN OF SUBDIVISION STAGE 1 - SHEET 4 - MASTER LOT

URBAN DESIGN
Level 4 HQ South
520 Wickham Street
PO Box 1559
Fortitude Valley QLD 4006
T +61 7 3539 9500
W rpsgroup.com



© COPYRIGHT PROTECTS THIS PLAN
Unauthorised reproduction or amendment not permitted. Please contact the author.

0 10 20 30 40 50 1:1,000 @ A3



Plan of Development Table

	Terrace Allotment < 99m ²		Terrace Allotment 100m ² - 149m ²		Terrace Allotment 150m ² - 199m ²		Terrace Allotment 200m ² +	
	Ground Floor	First / Second Floor	Ground Floor	First / Second Floor	Ground Floor	First / Second Floor	Ground Floor	First / Second Floor
Setback Requirements								
Primary Frontage (minimum)	1.5m *	1.0m *	1.5m *	1.0m *	1.5m *	1.0m *	1.5m *	1.0m *
Garage / Carport (minimum)	1.5m	n/a	1.5m	n/a	1.5m	n/a	1.5m	n/a
Rear (minimum)	1.5m	0.0m	1.5m	0.0m	1.5m	0.0m	1.5m	0.0m
Side								
Built to Boundary (maximum)	0.05m	0.05m	0.05m	0.05m	0.05m	0.05m	0.05m	0.05m
Mandatory BTB Wall Length (maximum) (% of boundary length)	100%		100%		100%		100%	
Non Built to Boundary (minimum)	0.9m	0.9m	0.9m	0.9m	0.9m	0.9m	0.9m	0.9m
Corner Lots - Secondary Frontage to Street (minimum)	n/a	n/a	1.2m *	1.2m *	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Corner Lots - Secondary Frontage to Lane (minimum)	0.025m	0.025m	0.025m	0.025m	0.025m	0.025m	0.025m	0.025m
Other Requirements								
Site Cover (maximum)	95%		90%		85%		80%	
Primary Private Open Space Requirements (minimum)	Studio / 1 Bedroom - 5m ² (minimum dimension of 1.2m); 2 Bedroom - 9m ² (minimum dimension of 2.4m); 3+ Bedroom - 12m ² (minimum dimension of 2.4m).							
Street Frontage Landscape Requirements (minimum)	1% of lot area; vertical solution at front entry required.		5% of lot area; minimum 1.5% on ground at Street frontage, vertical solutions encouraged for remaining 3.5%.		7.5% of lot area; minimum 2.5% on ground at Street frontage, vertical solutions encouraged for remaining 5%.		10% of lot area; minimum 4% on ground at Street frontage, vertical solutions encouraged for remaining 6%.	

Notes: * 0.0 metres to verandah/balcony

Notes:

General

- All development is to be undertaken in accordance with the Development Approval.
- All Class 1A dwellings are mandated to achieve a minimum Silver Final Certification under the Australian Liveable Housing Design Guidelines.
- A home based business up to 50m² is allowed within each dwelling. A gross floor area (GFA) in excess of 50m² will require additional EDQ approval.
- The relevant Bushfire Report must be considered and mitigation strategies adopted where deemed necessary by the Building Certifier.
- Carseldine Village does not have a reticulated gas supply. Gas bottles serving a dwelling are strictly prohibited.
- Refer to Stage 1 Landscape Plans for locations and extent of footpaths.
- High-density Development Easements (HDEs) will be registered by Economic Development Queensland on mandatory built to boundary walls on lots under 250m². HDEs are not shown on this Plan of Development.
- The minimum building height is two (2) storeys.
- The maximum building height is three (3) storeys.
- Ground floor height (finished floor level to ceiling) must be a minimum of 2.7m and subsequent floor heights (finished floor level to ceiling) must be a minimum of 2.55m.

Setbacks

- Setbacks are as per the Plan of Development Table unless otherwise dimensioned.
- Setbacks are measured to the wall of the structure.
- The location of built to boundary walls are indicated on the Plan of Development.
- Feature end treatment of the built to boundary wall is required where abutting the site boundary at the primary frontage.
- Where optional built to boundary walls are not adopted, the following applies:
 - side setbacks shall be in accordance with the Plan of Development Table;
 - to provide privacy for residents, only high level linear windows are permitted;
 - EDQ approved solid fencing is installed where providing privacy for residents in their private open space areas, and must positively contribute to the streetscape.
- Mandatory built to boundary walls must have a maximum setback of 50mm to facilitate a gutter overhang.
- Where two neighbouring built to boundary walls are not adopted, EDQ approved privacy screening must be erected.

Building Articulation

- All Primary Frontages must be articulated to provide diversity in building form and respond to the local climate. This must be achieved through the incorporation of two of the following design elements:
 - verandahs / balconies;
 - roof overhangs;
 - window hoods / screens;
 - awnings and/or shade structures.
- All dwellings must include a clearly identifiable and addressed front door. Front door must be visible from the Street. Front door access must not be via a Lane. Sliding doors do not constitute a front door.
- Front door must be sufficiently sheltered from the elements, preferably utilising the structure of the first floor.

Notes:

- Activated frontages (refer to Definitions) must be provided where identified on the Plan of Development.
- Buildings must be designed to ensure the privacy of occupants, but also allow for overlooking of the Street and Lane to promote casual surveillance.
- Secondary frontages must be orientated to provide casual surveillance of the Street and articulated to reduce the mass of the building. This must be achieved by the incorporation of verandahs / porches or the inclusion of window openings, plus one more of the following design elements:
 - awning and shade structures;
 - variation to roof and building lines;
 - use of varying building materials.
- If provided, privacy screening must be either of solid material (e.g. timber, steel), opaque screens, perforated panels, or trellises that are permanently fixed, and are to have a maximum of 50 per cent openings.
- Carports and garages are to be compatible with the main building design in terms of height, roof form, detailing, materials and colours.
- For carports, the facade construction, appearance and treatment must be visually consistent with that of a garage, and must be compatible with the main building design. No prefabricated facades permitted.
- All building materials must be suitably coloured, stained or painted, including retaining, fences, walls and roofs. Untreated materials, such as zinc coated steel, bare metal, concrete block or masonry panels are not permitted.
- Dwellings must include landscaping along the Street frontage to reinforce the dwelling entry, and to positively contribute to the streetscape. Turf is prohibited; ground covers are required where turf would typically be installed.
- Air-conditioners, hot water systems, clothes lines and other household services must be screened and/or located to minimise visual impact to the Street. Services may be visible from the lane, but must be screened.
- Bin storage is to be provided where identified on the Plan of Development. Bin storage must be screened from the lane, and be visually compatible with the main building design.

Private Open Space

- Primary private open space must be provided in accordance with the Plan of Development Table. This area may be roofed and take the form of an upper floor balcony or rooftop terrace.
- Primary private open space must be directly accessible from a living space. There must be adequate space to accommodate a table and chairs, planting and a BBQ. Shade should also be provided.
- Secondary private open space may be accessed off a bedroom, multi-purpose area or a home-based business.

On-site Car Parking and Driveways

- On-site car parking is to be provided in accordance with the following minimum requirements:
 - Studio, 1 and 2 Bedrooms - 1 space per dwelling;
 - 3 or more Bedrooms - 2 spaces per dwelling;
 - Work / Live Dwellings (see Definitions) - requirements as per 34a and 34b, plus 1 space per 30m² of non-residential GFA.
- Car parking may only be provided in tandem on lots 25m deep or greater.

Notes:

- At least one car park per dwelling must be covered. Carports are permitted.
- Garages / carports are to be located as indicated on this Plan of Development.
- Single car garage / carports must achieve a minimum garage door opening of 2.4m when open.
- Double garages must feature a singular garage door and opening; two separate garage doors are not permitted.
- Vehicle access to a dwelling is only permitted from a Lane; vehicle access from a Street is prohibited.

Notes:

- Fencing erected by Economic Development Queensland must not be altered, modified or removed without prior written approval from Economic Development Queensland.
- Feature fencing identified on the Plan of Development is mandatory.
- Fencing on Street frontages must be in the form of planter boxes and/or privacy screening for residents, in particular where there is a front open space.
- Fencing on Lane frontages must be solid fencing and be compatible with the main building design in terms of height, form, detailing, materials and colour.

Notes:

- The relevant Acoustic Report must be considered and mitigation strategies adopted where deemed necessary by the Building Certifier. Lots 1002 - 1020 and 1038 - 1054 are subject to acoustic constraints. Information regarding building form treatments required to habitable rooms to ensure compliance is outlined under the TTM Acoustic Report, Section 9. Noise categories for each lot are outlined in Table 11 within the TTM Acoustic Report. Associated sound reduction requirements and acceptable forms of construction have been outlined within the TTM Acoustic Report (Table 12 and Appendix D) and can also be found within QDC MP4.4 Schedules 1 and 2.

Notes:

- Street - A public road (generally 14m wide or greater) providing vehicle access and services to the wider community and open space.
- Lane (or Laneway) - A narrow public road (6.5m wide) providing vehicle access and services to the wider community and open space.
- Activated Frontage Type 1 - Ground level Street frontage must be a minimum of 40% transparent to facilitate a suitable articulated home-based business or shop-front use.
- Activated Frontage Type 2 - Ground level Street frontage must be a minimum of 20% transparent to facilitate a suitable articulated home-based business or shop-front use.

Notes:

- Work / Live Dwellings - Refers to dwellings nominated as such on the POD, and are primarily used as a residential dwelling with a flexible space included at Ground Level from which a separate retail or commercial business can be operated. The approved uses for Work / Live dwellings are:
 - Commercial uses - where for Office;
 - Retail uses - where for Food premises or Shop;
 - House; and
 - Home based business.

CARSELDINE URBAN VILLAGE

STAGE 1 - PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT RESIDENTIAL LOTS

PLAN REF: 128180 - 47H
 DATE: 06 MARCH 2020
 CLIENT: EDQ
 DRAWN BY: MD / JC
 CHECKED BY: MD

Legend

- Site Boundary
- Stage Boundary
- Pedestrian Link
- Work / Live Dwelling
- Mandatory Built to Boundary Wall
- Optional Built to Boundary Wall
- No Vehicle Access
- Primary Frontage
- Feature Fencing
- Activated Frontage Type 1
- Activated Frontage Type 2
- Indicative Double Garage / Carport Location
- Indicative Single Garage / Carport Location
- Preferred Primary Private Open Space Location (Ground Level)
- Preferred Primary Private Open Space Location (Upper Levels)
- Indicative Letterbox Location
- Indicative Front Door Location
- Bin Storage Location
- Bin Pad Location
- Proposed Sewer Access Point
- Acoustic Treatment may be required - refer TTM Acoustic Report

Note:
 All Lot Numbers, Dimensions and Areas are approximate only, and are subject to survey and Council approval.

Dimensions have been rounded to the nearest 0.1 metres.

Areas have been rounded down to the nearest 5m².

The boundaries shown on this plan should not be used for final detailed engineers design.


This is except in the case of Lots 1026, 1031, 1034, 1043, 1048 and 1051, where the carport is to be designed to achieve passive surveillance of the Lane through provision of a flexible carport / private open space, under the requirements of Condition 41. Laneway Lots Passive Surveillance Design of this PDA development approval.

PLANS AND DOCUMENTS referred to in the PDA DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL

Approval no: DEV2019/1074
 Date: 26 March 2020

AMENDED IN RED
 By: Leila Torrens
 Date: 26 March 2020






Legend

- Site Boundary
- - - Proposed Stage Boundary
- Approved Easement V (Access and Future Works) over Lot 322 on SP311781 - DEV2019/1032
- Visitor Parking for Stage 1 Dwellings
- Visitor Parking - Additional Parking

Parking Breakdown

Total Dwellings	53
Total Visitor Parking Spaces Required (0.75 per dwelling)	40
Total Visitor Parking Spaces Provided	43
Additional Visitor Parking Spaces Within Stage 1*	24

* To be utilised as visitor parking for future Stage subdivision(s).



Parking Bay Diagram

Scale 1 : 100

PLANS AND DOCUMENTS referred to in the PDA DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL



Approval no: DEV2019/1074
Date: 26 March 2020

AMENDED IN RED

By: Leila Torrens
Date: 26 March 2020



322
SP311781

Note:
All Lot Numbers, Dimensions and Areas are approximate only, and are subject to survey and Council approval.

Dimensions have been rounded to the nearest 0.1 metres.

Areas have been rounded down to the nearest 5m².

The boundaries shown on this plan should not be used for final detailed engineers design.

Source Information:
Site boundaries: Registered Survey Plans.
Adjoining information: DCDB.

(Pt) 7001

Pedestrian Link Within Future Road Reserve to form part of Balance Lot 7001. This area is to form future road reserve.

(Pt) 7001


STAGE 1

STAGE S
9000

Easement V
on SP311781

Location of carparking spaces and access driveway(s) may be adjusted. Subject to Condition 13. Roads - Internal of the PDA development approval

PLAN REF: **128180 - 48E**
DATE: 06 MARCH 2020
CLIENT: EDQ
DRAWN BY: MD / JC
CHECKED BY: MD




CARSELDINE URBAN VILLAGE
CAR PARKING ANALYSIS PLAN
STAGE 1

URBAN DESIGN
Level 4 HQ South
520 Wickham Street
PO Box 1559
Fortitude Valley QLD 4006
T +61 7 3539 9500
W rpsgroup.com







© COPYRIGHT PROTECTS THIS PLAN
Unauthorised reproduction or amendment not permitted. Please contact the author.

Appendix B - Subdivision Civil Design Documentation



NOTE
 NOTWITHSTANDING THAT EXISTING SERVICES MAY OR MAY NOT BE SHOWN ON THE PROJECT DRAWINGS, NO RESPONSIBILITY IS TAKEN BY THE SUPERINTENDENT OR THE PRINCIPAL FOR THIS INFORMATION WHICH HAS BEEN SUPPLIED BY OTHERS. THE DETAILS ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATION ONLY. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ASCERTAIN THE POSITION OF ANY UNDERGROUND SERVICES IN THIS AREA AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR MAKING GOOD ANY DAMAGE THERETO.

NOTE:
 REFER DRG.15-003002.01-1200 FOR NOTES AND LEGEND




REVISION	DATE	ISSUE DETAILS	DRAWN	DESIGN	DRAWN CHECK	STATUS
1	1.11.19	ISSUED FOR APPROVAL	CHC	AA		
2	16.04.20	DRAWING TITLE, SWALE AND SECTION MARK AMENDED. SECTION H AND J ADDED	CHC	AA	ll	
3	15.05.20	SECTIONS H AND J AMENDED	CHC	AA		
4	17.07.20	TOP OF BUND AMENDED	CHC	AA		

FOR APPROVAL
NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

APPROVED
 DANIEL COLLINS
 RPEQ 18631

FOR & ON BEHALF OF CALIBRE CONSULTING (QLD) PTY LTD



CLIENT
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT QUEENSLAND



PROJECT
CARSELDINE URBAN VILLAGE STAGE 1

DISCLAIMER
 ALL DIMENSIONS TO BE CHECKED ON SITE BY CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. USE WRITTEN DIMENSIONS ONLY. DO NOT SCALE.

DRAWING TITLE	PROJECT No.	DRAWING No.	REVISION
BULK EARTHWORKS LAYOUT PLAN SHEET 4 OF 5	15-003002.01	1203	4


PDA. REF No. DEV2019/1074

JOIN FOR CONTINUATION, REFER DRG No.15-003002.01-1203 LINE

NOTE:
REFER DRG.15-003002.01-1200 FOR NOTES AND LEGEND

JOIN FOR CONTINUATION, REFER DRG No.15-003002.01-1200 LINE

JOIN FOR CONTINUATION, REFER DRG No.15-003002.01-1202 LINE



EXISTING ACCESS ROAD TO BE REMOVED AND REINSTATED ALL LEVELS UPON COMPLETION OF WORKS. EXISTING ACCESS ROAD TO BE MAINTAINED UNTIL ROAD 05 AND ROAD 04 OPEN TO TRAFFIC.

NOTE
NOTWITHSTANDING THAT EXISTING SERVICES MAY OR MAY NOT BE SHOWN ON THE PROJECT DRAWINGS, NO RESPONSIBILITY IS TAKEN BY THE SUPERINTENDENT OR THE PRINCIPAL FOR THIS INFORMATION WHICH HAS BEEN SUPPLIED BY OTHERS. THE DETAILS ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATION ONLY. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ASCERTAIN THE POSITION OF ANY UNDERGROUND SERVICES IN THIS AREA AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR MAKING GOOD ANY DAMAGE THERETO.

PDA. REF NO. DEV2019/1074


REVISION	DATE	ISSUE DETAILS	DRAWN	DESIGN	DRAWN CHECK	STATUS
1	1.11.19	ISSUED FOR APPROVAL	CHC	AA		
2	16.04.20	DRAWING TITLE AND SECTION MARK REVISED	CHC	AA		
A	28.10.20	CARPARKING ON ROAD 1 AND 5 REVISED	CHC	AA		

FOR CONSTRUCTION

DESIGN CHECK: *aa*

APPROVED: *Leslie Roche*
LESLIE ROCHE RPEQ 14843

FOR & ON BEHALF OF CALIBRE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES PTY LTD



CLIENT

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT QUEENSLAND




PROJECT

CARSELDINE URBAN VILLAGE STAGE 1

DISCLAIMER
ALL DIMENSIONS TO BE CHECKED ON SITE BY CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. USE WRITTEN DIMENSIONS ONLY. DO NOT SCALE.

DRAWING TITLE		
BULK EARTHWORKS LAYOUT PLAN SHEET 2 OF 5		
PROJECT No.	DRAWING No.	REVISION
15-003002.01	1201	A



NOTE:
REFER DRG.15-003002.01-1400 FOR LEGEND AND
15-003002.01-1406 FOR NOTES.


JOIN FOR CONTINUATION, REFER DRG No. 15-003002.01-1401 LINE

REVISION	DATE	ISSUE DETAILS	DRAWN	DESIGN	DRAWN CHECK	STATUS
1	1.11.19	ISSUED FOR APPROVAL	CHC	AA		
2	16.04.20	STORMWATER DRAINAGE NETWORK AMENDED	CHC	AA		
3	15.05.20	SEWER ALIGNMENT REVISED	AA	AA		
4	29.05.20	OUT/1 LOCATION REVISED	AA	AA		

FOR APPROVAL
NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

APPROVED
DANIEL COLLINS RPEQ 18631

FOR & ON BEHALF OF CALIBRE CONSULTING (QLD) PTY LTD



CLIENT

**ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
QUEENSLAND**




PROJECT

**CARSELDINE URBAN VILLAGE
STAGE 1**

DISCLAIMER
ALL DIMENSIONS TO BE CHECKED ON SITE BY CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO
CONSTRUCTION. USE WRITTEN DIMENSIONS ONLY. DO NOT SCALE.

PDA. REF NO. DEV2019/1074

DRAWING TITLE		
STORMWATER DRAINAGE LAYOUT PLAN SHEET 3 OF 6		
PROJECT No.	DRAWING No.	REVISION
15-003002.01	1402	4



REVISION	DATE	ISSUE DETAILS	DRAWN	DESIGN	DRAWN CHECK	STATUS
1	1.11.19	ISSUED FOR APPROVAL	CHC	AA		
2	16.04.20	REISSUED FOR APPROVAL	AA	AA	CHC	FOR CONSTRUCTION
3	20.05.20	SEWER LABELS REVISED	AA	AA		
4	15.06.20	NOTE AND DIMENSION ADDED	AA	AA	DESIGN CHECK	
A	15.07.20	ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION	CHC	AA		
B	28.10.20	CARPARKING ON ROAD 1 AND 5 REVISED	CHC	AA	aa	

FOR & ON BEHALF OF **calibre CONSULTING (QLD) PTY LTD**

APPROVED: **LESLIE ROCHE** RPEQ 14843

SCALE: 1:250, 1:500

CLIENT: **ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT QUEENSLAND**

PROJECT: **CARSELDINE URBAN VILLAGE STAGE 1**

calibre
calibregroup.com

DISCLAIMER: ALL DIMENSIONS TO BE CHECKED ON SITE BY CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. USE WRITTEN DIMENSIONS ONLY. DO NOT SCALE.

PROJECT No. **15-003002.01**


DRAWING No. **1511**

REVISION **B**

QUU. REF NO. 20-PNT-44853
PDA. REF NO. DEV2019/1074

DRAWING TITLE: **SEWER RETICULATION LAYOUT PLAN SHEET 2 OF 3**

CONTRACTOR TO POTHOLE EXISTING SERVICES PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION OF WATER MAIN.



REFER INSET A


CONTRACTOR TO POTHOLE EXISTING SERVICES PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION OF WATER MAIN.

LEGEND

- PROPOSED STAGE BOUNDARY
- PROPOSED DN125 PE100 WATER MAIN
- PROPOSED DN180 PE100 WATER MAIN
- PROPOSED DN250 PE100 WATER MAIN
- EXISTING WATER RETICULATION
- FUTURE WATER RETICULATION
- PROPOSED WATER SERVICE
- FUTURE SERVICE
- PROPOSED DN100 PN9 WATER CONDUIT
- PROPOSED SCOUR VALVE
- PROPOSED SLUICE VALVE
- PROPOSED DEAD END CAP
- PROPOSED FIRE HYDRANT
- WATER METER
- TEST/CLORINATION POINT
- HIGH POINT
- LOW POINT
- PROPOSED STORMWATER DRAINAGE
- FUTURE STORMWATER DRAINAGE
- STORMWATER DRAINAGE BY OTHERS
- PROPOSED SEWERAGE RETICULATION
- PROPOSED ELECTRICAL DESIGN

NOTES:

1. FOR WATER RETICULATION NOTES AND DETAILS REFER DRG 15-003002.01-1600.
2. FOR TEMPORARY CONNECTIONS TO EXISTING SERVICES, REFER EXISTING SERVICES LAYOUT DRG. 15-003002.01-1900.
3. ALL WATER MAINS AND WATER SERVICES TO CROSS OVER STORMWATER PIPES AND ELECTRICAL MAINS MAINTAINING SEPARATION AS PER TABLE 5.5 OF CLAUSE 5.12.5.2 UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED.



INSET A
SCALE 1:250 (A1)

CONTRACTOR TO POTHOLE EXISTING SERVICES PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION OF WATER MAIN.

WATER LIVE CONNECTION DIAGRAMS REFER TO DRG 15-003002.01-1600


<p>CAUTION !! UNDERGROUND GAS MAIN UNDERGROUND GAS MAIN EXIST IN THIS VICINITY. CONTACT SUPPLIER FOR MAIN LOCATIONS. EXTREME CARE MUST BE TAKEN WHILST EXCAVATING</p>	<p>CAUTION !! UNDERGROUND TELECOMMS CABLES UNDERGROUND TELECOMMUNICATION CABLES EXIST IN THIS VICINITY. CONTACT SUPPLIER FOR CABLE LOCATIONS. EXTREME CARE MUST BE TAKEN WHILST EXCAVATING.</p>
<p>CAUTION !! OVERHEAD ELECTRICAL CABLES OVERHEAD ELECTRICITY CABLES EXIST IN THIS VICINITY. CONTACT ENERGEX WHERE CABLE CLEARANCE IS COMPROMISED BY MACHINERY.</p>	<p>CAUTION !! UNDERGROUND ELECTRICAL CABLES UNDERGROUND ELECTRICITY CABLES EXIST IN THIS VICINITY. CONTACT ENERGEX FOR CABLE LOCATIONS. EXTREME CARE MUST BE TAKEN WHILST EXCAVATING</p>

REVISION	DATE	ISSUE DETAILS	DRAWN	DESIGN	DRAWN CHECK	STATUS
3	29.05.20	REVISED FOR QUJ APPROVAL	CHC	AA		FOR CONSTRUCTION
4	15.06.20	WATERMAIN CROSSINGS AMENDED, NOTES ADDED	AA	AA	CHC	
5	23.06.20	MINOR DRAINAGE AMENDMENT	AA	AA	CHC	
6	06.07.20	INSET A ADDED	CHC	AA	CHC	
A	15.07.20	ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION	CHC	AA	CHC	
B	04.09.20	ROAD CROSSING UPDATED	NM	NM	aa	DESIGN CHECK
C	17.09.20	TUNNEL BORE NOTE REMOVED	RT	AA		APPROVED
D	28.10.220	CARPARKING ON ROAD 1 AND 5 REVISED	CHC	AA		FOR & ON BEHALF OF CALIBRE CONSULTING (QLD) PTY LTD

FOR CONSTRUCTION

APPROVED
LESLIE ROCHE RPEQ 14843

FOR & ON BEHALF OF CALIBRE CONSULTING (QLD) PTY LTD



CLIENT
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT QUEENSLAND




PROJECT
CARSELDINE URBAN VILLAGE STAGE 1


DISCLAIMER
ALL DIMENSIONS TO BE CHECKED ON SITE BY CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. USE WRITTEN DIMENSIONS ONLY. DO NOT SCALE.

WATER RETICULATION LAYOUT PLAN EARLY WORKS		
PROJECT No. 15-003002.01	DRAWING No. 1601	REVISION D

QUU. REF NO. 20-PNT-44853
PDA. REF NO. DEV2019/1074



CONTINUES TOP RIGHT




CONTINUES BOTTOM LEFT


NBN SYMBOL LEGEND

- Transformer / Kiosk / Pad Mount Sub-station / Pole Mount Transformer
- PIT-ID 5 Network Boundary/Local Network Pit (Single Lid) (700mmX450mmX650mm)
- PIT-ID 8 Distribution/Local Network Connection Pit (1360mmX555mmX860mm)
- PIT-ID 9 Fibre Distribution Hub (FDH) Pit (2000mmX555mmX900mm)
- Shared Trench Symbol
- Energex Pillar


TRENCH SECTION



CONDUIT CONFIGURATION



STANDARD NBN PIT OFFSET TO ENERGEX PILLAR



WHERE PITS ARE NOT LOCATED BESIDE PILLARS, OFFSETS WILL BE SHOWN ON THE LAYOUT TO THE NEAREST TRUNCATION. IF NO OFFSET DISTANCE IS SHOWN, PLEASE CONTACT DESIGN CONSULTANT PRIOR TO INSTALLATION.

CONCRETE INFILL LIDS SHALL BE PROVIDED THROUGH THE CONCRETE PATH AREA OF THE MAIN BOULEVARD. INFILL LIDS SHALL BE POSITIONED DIRECTLY ABOVE STANDARD NBN PITS. BOTH SETS OF LIDS SHALL BE LABELLED NBN APPROPRIATELY. COMPLIANCE WITH NBN-TE-CTO-194 REV 7 SHALL BE MET, SPECIFICALLY REQUIREMENTS FOR PITS, LIDS AND LID WEIGHTS.

CONSTRUCTION ISSUE

SDU Development Information

Development Name:
CARSELDINE URBAN VILLAGE
Developer Company:
Minister for Economic Development Queensland
Development Address:
BEAMS RD, CARSELDINE
Authorised Rep:
PETER EUSTACE CONSULTING ENGINEERS
Phone: (07) 5596 1425
E-Mail: URD@PECE.COM.AU
nbn Reference Number: STG-M000025297
Stage Number: 1
Design Revision: C

BILL OF MATERIAL

NO OF LOTS: 54

PITS		DUCTS	
SIZE	QTY	SIZE	QTY MTRS
2	3	P100	36 10312
5	19	P50	3 65.6
6	3	P20	55 624
8	7		
9	0		

TOTAL NUMBER OF PITS: 32
TOTAL NUMBER OF MANHOLES: 0
TOTAL NUMBER OF CONDUITS: 94
TOTAL LENGTH OF CONDUITS: 1720.8



REV	DATE	DRAFTER	DESCRIPTION	APPROVED
D	09.09.20	KB	STREET NAMES UPDATED FOR GIS	
C	03.09.20	KB	ISSUE FOR CONSTRUCTION	
B	17.04.20	KB	ISSUE FOR TENDER ONLY. NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION.	KB
A	30.01.2020	LA	ISSUE FOR TENDER	KB

STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL

NBNCO APPROVAL RECORD:

SIGNATURE	DATE
<input type="checkbox"/> DD	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> WD	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> AB	_____

QUALITY RECORD:

NBNCO DISCLAIMER
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN PREPARED SOLELY FOR THE USE OF NBNCO LIMITED (ABN 86 136 533 741) FOR USE IN MAINTAINING NBNCO FACILITIES. IT HAS NOT BEEN CREATED FOR ANY OTHER USE. IT SHOULD NOT BE SCALED TO LOCATE NBNCO ASSETS. NO WARRANTY IS GIVEN THAT THE INFORMATION IS ACCURATE OR COMPLETE.

PE CONSULTING ENGINEERS
STREET ADDRESS: U14, 39 Lawrence Dr, Naring QLD 4211
POSTAL ADDRESS: PO Box 2953, Naring QC QLD 4211
TELEPHONE: (07) 5596 1425
EMAIL: info@pece.com.au
WEB: www.pece.com.au


KEY PLAN

DRAWING TITLE: STG-M000025297 PIT & PIPE LAYOUT CARSELDINE URBAN VILLAGE BEAMS RD, CARSELDINE		
STATE: QLD	REGION: CARSELDINE	
FSA:	SAM:	ADA:
PROJECT No: AYCA-		
CADREF No: 19-0575		
SCALE: 1:500@A1	SHEET No. 1 OF 1	REV. D

Appendix C - Proposed Development

Dorville Road

Beams Road



North

Railway Corridor

322
SP311781

(Pt) 7002

(Pt) 7002

9000

Sportsfields

Proposed
Rockpool
Residential Aged
Care Facility

(Pt) 7002

GJG Architects

ABN 69 637 879 228

PO Box 1173
Elanora QLD 4221

Telephone (07) 5520 1134



Project
Carseldine CUV- Scheme 23

Client
Rockpool

Cabbage Tree Creek

10	26.11.20	DA Issue
9	9.11.20	Second Preload Issue
Issue	Date	Amendment



Drawing Title Locality Plan	Drawing Number 20-15-DA-1.0
Scale 1 : 2500 @ A3 original	

The design and drawings for this project remains the copyright of MPC Architecture Pty. Ltd. ACN 637 879 228

Subject to Separate DA

Legend

Relevant BAL Contours

-  10 KW/m² Radiant Heat Flux Contour Line
-  29 KW/m² Radiant Heat Flux Contour Line

Proposed Line of Security Fence



GJG Architects

ABN 69 637 879 228

PO Box 1173
Elanora QLD 4221

Telephone (07) 5520 1134



Project
Carseldine CUV- Scheme 23

Client
Rockpool


Issue	Date	Amendment
10	26.11.20	DA Issue
9	9.11.20	Second Prelodge Issue
8	30.10.20	Prelim Issue 2
7	22.10.20	Client Inst Minor Adjustments
6	20.10.20	GF Layout Change and Effects
5	25.9.20	Int Client Req. Layout Changes

Drawing Title Ground Floor	Drawing Number 20-15-DA-2.1
Scale 1 : 500 @ A3 original	

The design and drawings for this project remains the copyright of MPC Architecture Pty. Ltd. ACN 637 879 228

Aged Care Facility

Residents	150
Carparks	25
GFA	8485
Proposed Site Area	5569 Shared + ACF
Plot Ratio	1.52
Approx. Site Cover	2200 40%



ACF - Level 1 MSU

GJG Architects

ABN 69 637 879 228

PO Box 1173
Elanora QLD 4221

Telephone (07) 5520 1134




Project
Carseldine CUV- Scheme 23

Client
Rockpool

Issue	Date	Amendment
10	26.11.20	DA Issue
9	9.11.20	Second Prelodge Issue
8	30.10.20	Prelim Issue 2
7	22.10.20	Client Inst Minor Adjustments
6	20.10.20	GF Layout Change and Effects
5	25.9.20	Int Client Req. Layout Changes

Drawing Title	Drawing Number
L1	20-15-DA-2.2
Scale 1 : 500 @ A3 original	

The design and drawings for this project remains the copyright of MPC Architecture Pty. Ltd. ACN 637 879 228



6 Level Building

ACF - Level 2-5

GJG Architects

ABN 69 637 879 228

PO Box 1173
Elanora QLD 4221

Telephone (07) 5520 1134



Project
Carseldine CUV- Scheme 23

Client
Rockpool

Issue	Date	Amendment
10	26.11.20	DA Issue
9	9.11.20	Second Prelodge Issue
8	30.10.20	Prelim Issue 2
7	22.10.20	Client Inst Minor Adjustments
6	20.10.20	GF Layout Change and Effects
5	25.9.20	Int Client Req. Layout Changes

Drawing Title	Drawing Number
Typical Levels	20-15-DA-2.3
Scale 1 : 500 @ A3 original	

The design and drawings for this project remains the copyright of MPC Architecture Pty. Ltd. ACN 637 879 228




1 East Elevation
1 : 500



2 North Elevation
1 : 500



3 West Elevation
1 : 500



4 Elev and Section Key
1 : 1500

GJG Architects

ABN 69 637 879 228

PO Box 1173
Elanora QLD 4221

Telephone (07) 5520 1134



Project
Carseldine CUV- Scheme 23

Client
Rockpool


Issue	Date	Amendment
10	26.11.20	DA Issue
9	9.11.20	Second Prelodge Issue
8	30.10.20	Prelim Issue 2
7	22.10.20	Client Inst Minor Adjustments
6	20.10.20	GF Layout Change and Effects
4	22.9.20	Int Client Req. Layout Changes

Drawing Title	Drawing Number
Street Elevations 1	20-15-DA-3.1


Scale As indicated @ A3
original
The design and drawings for this project remains
the copyright of MPC Architecture Pty. Ltd. ACN 637 879 228



1 Southern Elevation
1 : 500



2 Section A
1 : 500



3 Section B
1 : 500

GJG Architects

ABN 69 637 879 228

PO Box 1173
Elanora QLD 4221

Telephone (07) 5520 1134



Project
Carseldine CUV- Scheme 23


Client
Rockpool

Issue	Date	Amendment
10	26.11.20	DA Issue
9	9.11.20	Second Prelodge Issue
8	30.10.20	Prelim Issue 2
7	22.10.20	Client Inst Minor Adjustments
6	20.10.20	GF Layout Change and Effects
4	22.9.20	Int Client Req. Layout Changes

Drawing Title	Drawing Number
Street Elev 2 and Sections	20-15-DA-3.2
Scale 1 : 500 @ A3 original	

The design and drawings for this project remains the copyright of MPC Architecture Pty. Ltd. ACN 637 879 228

Appendix D - Concept Civil Layout



LEGEND

Services to be provided by subdivision

- W Water
- S Sewer
- SWD Stormwater
- E Electrical
- T Comms (NBN)

Proposed

- 300 Stormwater
- xSL 14.80 Spot level
- Flow Direction

Subject to Separate DA

MAIN BUILDING
FFL 15.330m AHD

Sewer connection stub
IL 12.73m AHD

Stormwater
connection point
IL 13.276

DRAFT
FOR INFORMATION ONLY

ACOR CONSULTANTS

PROJECT NUMBER: BR200520
DATE: 27.11.2020
MARKUP: PRELIMINARY CIVIL LAYOUT SKETCH
SKC-01-P2

GJG Architects

ABN 69 637 879 228
PO Box 1173
Elanora QLD 4221
Telephone (07) 5520 1134



Project
Carseldine CUV- Scheme 23

Client
Rockpool

Issue	Date	Amendment
10	26.11.20	DA Issue
9	9.11.20	Second Prelodge Issue
8	30.10.20	Prelim Issue 2
7	22.10.20	Client Inst Minor Adjustments
6	20.10.20	GF Layout Change and Effects
5	25.9.20	Int Client Req. Layout Changes

Drawing Title	Drawing Number
Ground Floor	20-15-DA-2.1
Scale 1 : 500 @ A3 original	

The design and drawings for this project remains the copyright of MPC Architecture Pty. Ltd. ACN 637 879 228

Appendix E - Subdivision Local Flood Assessment

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

PLANS AND DOCUMENTS
referred to in the PDA
DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL



Approval no: DEV2019/1074
Date: 26 March 2020

To: Richard Bender - EDQ
From: Ralph Williams - DesignFlow
Reviewed: Shaun Leinster (RPEQ15637) - DesignFlow
Date: 10 October 2019
Subject: Carseldine Urban Village – Local flood assessment to support Stage 1 development

Attachments

1. Peak flood depths – Existing case - 50%, 5%, 1% AEP event
2. Peak flood depths – Proposed case 50%, 5%, 1% AEP
3. Peak flood level impacts – 50%, 5%, 1% AEP

1 INTRODUCTION

This technical memorandum provides a summary of local flood assessments for the Carseldine Urban Village to support Stage 1 development. This modelling captures in detail the potential local flood impacts and potential drainage upgrades at the following locations:

- Beams Road;
- Railway at the eastern boundary of the site; and
- Local drainage to Cabbage Tree Creek

The outcomes of this assessment provide minimum drainage requirements associated with Stage 1 development to manage local flood impacts. Outcomes from this assessment are to inform the detail design of the Stage 1.

When reading this technical memorandum reference should be made to *Carseldine Urban Village – Updated Stormwater Management Plan* (DesignFlow report Version 3 2019). Figure 1 shows the current masterplan for the development from which this assessment has been based, including the Stage 1 development boundary.

2 BACKGROUND

The regional flood assessment of the Carseldine Urban Village development has been completed, as outlined in *Carseldine Urban Village – Updated Stormwater Management Plan* (DesignFlow report Version 3 2019), based on Brisbane City Council (BCC) supplied URBS and TUFLOW regional flood models for Cabbage Tree Creek. These models were updated as necessary to make suitable for regional flood impact assessment of the Carseldine Urban Village development.

The Cabbage Tree Creek modelling is at a regional scale and not suitable for a detailed assessment of the various local catchments that influence the site. Therefore, a separate local scale flood modelling exercise has been completed to capture potential flood impacts associated with the development, including local impacts at Beams Road and the railway at the eastern boundary of the site. Additional drainage survey was completed at the northern boundary of the site along Beams Rd and within the site to inform this local flood modelling.

This technical memorandum provides a summary of the local flood modelling.

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM



Figure 1 – Carseldine Urban Village masterplan (source: RPS)

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

3 EXISTING CASE MODELLING

Local modelling has been carried out using a WBNM rainfall runoff model that feeds local catchment hydrographs into a 1D/2D TUFLOW hydraulic model. The existing case WBNM model sub-catchments are shown on Figure 2 which also indicates the general direction of overland flow (yellow arrows). Figure 2 also shows the existing pipe drainage network as modelled in TUFLOW for this investigation (aqua/black lines). It is noted that in some areas these drainage lines have been laid against the natural fall of the land and therefore their alignment does not necessarily match that of the overland flow as represented by the yellow arrows. Sub-catchment details are provided on Table 1.

A summary of the existing site drainage characteristics is as follows:

- The existing Beams Rd catchments flow to the east and north away from the site.
- Catchment B1 drains to the West Outfall Pipe to Cabbage Tree Creek (refer Figure 2). When this pipe is at capacity, runoff flows eastwards through the site.
- The majority of the proposed development area currently drains to the East Outfall Pipe to Cabbage Tree Creek (refer Figure 2). When this pipe is at capacity, site runoff will generally collect over the low-lying areas around the playing fields. Runoff will then drain southwards via the open drain adjacent to the railway. Modelling also predicts that during large events, overland flow from the site heads north and east toward the railway and Beams Rd.
- The Cabbage Tree Creek outfall pipes are predicted to flow in reverse direction when Cabbage Tree Creek flood levels are high.



Figure 2 - Existing case WBNM sub-catchments

Table 1 - Existing case WBNM sub-catchment details

WBNM ID	Area (ha)	Fraction Impervious (%)	Downstream ID
A1	10.546	59.64	A3
A2	4.582	65.43	A3
A3	3.424	28.42	A4
A4	0.902	45.53	A5
A5	0.799	49.91	A6
A6	2.738	83.29	A7
A7	13.295	52.13	SINK
B1	10.021	9.47	B2
B2	3.177	18.72	B3
B3	5.454	5.56	B6
B4A	1.291	84.66	B4B
B4B	1.054	20.26	B4C
B4C	2.282	12.26	B5
B5	2.514	0.00	B7
B6	1.746	0.00	B7
B7	3.345	4.20	B8
B8	5.174	3.63	SINK

The WBNM model has been run using ARR2016 ensemble patterns with burst durations ranging from 5 minutes to 6 hours. The results of the WBNM model have then been used to select ensemble patterns with a central tendency for the following durations that have then been run in the TUFLOW model; 15min, 30min, 45min, 60min, 120min and 180min. These durations were selected based on a review of critical durations across the local catchment study area. Modelling has been carried out for the 1%, 5% and 50% AEP events.

A WBNM lag parameter of 1.4 has been selected based on a Rational Method validation of peak flows at WBNM ID B8 (refer Validation Section for details).

Rainfall intensities and loss rates are based on values from the ARR 2016 data hub. Rainfall intensities relevant to the Carseldine site for varying AEP and storm durations are provided in Table 2.

Table 2 – Rainfall intensities (mm/hr) - Carseldine

Duration	AEP						
	63.20%	50%	20%	10%	5%	2%	1%
5 min	115.20	129.60	176.40	207.60	237.60	278.40	309.60
10 min	94.20	106.20	144.00	169.20	193.20	225.00	248.40
15 min	80.00	90.40	122.00	143.20	163.60	190.40	210.40
20 min	69.60	78.60	106.50	124.80	142.80	166.20	183.90
25 min	61.92	69.84	94.56	111.12	127.20	148.32	164.16
30 min	55.80	63.00	85.40	100.40	115.00	134.40	149.00
45 min	43.60	49.20	66.80	78.80	90.67	106.27	118.40
1 hour	36.10	40.80	55.60	65.70	75.70	89.10	99.60
1.5 hour	27.40	31.00	42.40	50.33	58.27	68.67	77.33
2 hour	22.45	25.40	34.90	41.55	48.20	57.50	64.50
3 hour	16.90	19.17	26.50	31.70	37.00	44.33	50.00
4.5 hour	12.78	14.51	20.24	24.22	28.44	34.22	38.89
6 hour	10.50	11.97	16.83	20.33	23.83	28.67	32.67
9 hour	8.02	9.18	13.00	15.78	18.67	22.56	25.67
12 hour	6.65	7.64	10.92	13.25	15.75	19.08	21.83
18 hour	5.12	5.94	8.56	10.50	12.44	15.22	17.44
24 hour	4.25	4.96	7.21	8.88	10.58	12.96	14.92
30 hour	3.70	4.30	6.33	7.80	9.30	11.43	13.20
36 hour	3.28	3.83	5.67	6.97	8.36	10.31	11.92
48 hour	2.71	3.17	4.73	5.85	7.02	8.69	10.08
72 hour	2.03	2.39	3.58	4.47	5.38	6.69	7.81

An initial loss/continuing loss approach is adopted. Initial and continuing losses for impervious areas are taken at 0mm/hr, whilst continuing losses for pervious areas are taken at 2.2mm/hr. Initial losses for pervious areas vary depending on the AEP and the storm duration. These are listed in Table 3.

Table 3 Pervious area initial loss (mm) modelled

Duration	50% AEP	20% AEP	10% AEP	5% AEP	2% AEP	1% AEP
10 min	10.4	7.6	5.8	4.1	2.9	2.1
15 min	10.4	7.6	5.8	4.1	2.9	2.1
20 min	10.4	7.6	5.8	4.1	2.9	2.1
25 min	10.4	7.6	5.8	4.1	2.9	2.1
30 min	10.4	7.6	5.8	4.1	2.9	2.1
45 min	10.4	7.6	5.8	4.1	2.9	2.1
1 hour	10.4	7.6	5.8	4.1	2.9	2.1
1.50 hour	11.1	3.2	0	0	0	3.2
2 hours	11.9	3.7	0	0	0	0
3 hours	8.9	0	0	0	0	0
6 hours	5.9	0	0	0	0	0
12 hours	8.3	0	0	0	0	0
18 hours	7.7	0	0	0	0	0
24 hours	6.3	2.9	0.6	0	0	0
36 hours	13	8	4.7	1.6	0	0
48 hours	13	9.5	7.2	5	0	0
72 hours	13	12.3	11.8	11.4	3.3	0

Local catchment inflow hydrographs from the existing case WBNM model have been input to the existing case TUFLOW model. The existing case TUFLOW model layout is shown on Figure 3 and details are summarised below:

- TUFLOW HPC Build 2018-03-AB_64_iSP.
- Model grid size of 1m to provide detail resolution of potential flood impacts
- Run for 1%, 5% and 50% AEP events for ensemble temporal patterns with a central tendency for the events durations ranging from 15 minutes to 3 hours.
- WBNM local catchment inflow hydrographs are input to TUFLOW using TUFLOW's 2d_sa polygon approach.
- Topography based on aerial LiDAR survey and defined using a TUFLOW 1m grid.
- Manning's 'n' values of; Road – 0.02, Urban Lots – 0.18, Vegetated Area – 0.075, Cleared Open space – 0.03
- Existing on-site pipe drainage associated with the two existing outfalls to Cabbage Tree Creek have been incorporated using 1D pipe elements. Details are based on a recent survey completed by Land Partners (June, 2019). In addition to survey of the main site drainage lines, additional pipe network data has been sourced from BCC records. The existing drainage pipes included in the existing case TUFLOW modelling are shown on Figure 4. Pipe diameters shown in metres.
- Cabbage Tree Creek upstream boundary is a Q5 flow rate extracted from the Cabbage Tree Creek regional model. The Cabbage Tree Creek downstream boundary is a Q5 water level. The remaining two external boundary conditions are normal depth rating curves calculated by TUFLOW based on a slope of 1%. Note: a Q5 boundary condition is in accordance with standard modelling procedures for coincident regional flood events for local modelling. The ratio of local to regional catchment associated with the Carseldine Urban Village is 0.018.

Peak depth maps for the Existing Case modelling are provided in Attachment 1.

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM




Figure 3 Existing case TUFLOW model layout

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM




Figure 4 - Existing case pipe drainage and diameters (m)

4 VALIDATION

It is not possible to validate peak flows or levels in the hydraulic model because of storage effects and breakout flows from the defined hydrologic flow paths. Instead, a Rational Method peak flow validation has been carried out based on the existing case WBNM peak flows at the local catchment outlet near Cabbage Tree Creek (WBNM ID B8).

The 1% AEP Rational Method peak flow calculated at the outlet of B8 is 10.4 m³/s. This is based on a Tc of 43 minutes, a catchment area of 36.1 Ha and a C10 value of 0.71. The peak flow predicted by the WBNM model at this location is 10.5 m³/s which compares well.

5 DEVELOPED CASE MODELLING

The WBNM sub-catchments were updated to reflect the proposed development. For the purpose of this assessment to inform Stage 1 development, the ultimate site development has been applied to the hydrology model (refer to Figure 1 – Carseldine Urban Village Masterplan). All development areas have been assigned a Fraction Impervious of 90%. The proposed case WBNM sub-catchments are shown on Figure 5 and details are provided in Table 4.



Figure 5 - Proposed case WBNM sub-catchments

Table 4 - Developed case WBNM sub-catchment details

WBNM ID	Area (ha)	Fraction Impervious (%)
A1	10.546	59.64
A2	4.582	65.43
A3	3.424	28.40
A4	0.958	57.19
A5	0.799	49.89
A6	2.738	83.29
A7	13.295	52.13
B1	10.021	9.48
B2	2.952	22.21
B3	3.504	8.63
B4A	1.481	89.20
B4B	3.679	75.04
B4C	0.452	88.32
B5	2.099	89.93
B6	1.235	84.88
B6	1.014	90.00
B7	2.545	83.70
B7A	3.528	22.59
B8	2.567	5.20
B8A	0.927	31.15

The developed case TUFLOW model is shown on Figure 6. It is equivalent to that of the existing case except for the following changes that have been made to represent the development site:

- Run with developed case WBNM hydrology
- Latest earthworks design tin by Calibre has been incorporated into the model topography (June 2019) – note bioretention extended detention depth is excluded from the flood storage
- Overland flow paths through the site have a Manning's 'n' value of 0.075 (medium vegetation).
- A bund with a crest a RL 13.7 has been applied across the south eastern outlet drain. This crest level ties in approximately with the natural ground level at this location. A one-way flapped 1200mm dia RCP has been placed through this bund.

Note: this outlet arrangement has been designed to allow development flows to discharge to Cabbage Tree Creek, but prevent Cabbage Tree Creek flows from backing up northwards through this drain and into the development zone. Inclusion of the one-way flap valve was deemed necessary based on scenario testing of frequent local storm events, coupled with a relatively high

creek water level in Cabbage Tree Creek, which may cause local flooding (e.g. a 2 year local event with a 5 year tailwater level in Cabbage Tree Creek).

- Diversion of runoff from the north west of the site (CatB4A) via the new drainage network that discharges flows to Cabbage Tree Creek – this diversion manages development flows as well as existing drainage that reports to the Stage 1 western entry road from beams road (CatB4A). This diversion is designed to avoid flood impacts at Beams Road.
- Drainage of flows from the new eastern access road along Beams Rd (Cat B4C) to the existing Beams Rd drainage network via 450mm RCP
- Drainage sump at the low point just south of Stage 1 Lot 3
- Duplication of the existing 1200mm dia outfall to Cabbage Tree Creek

Peak depth maps for the Developed Case modelling are provided in Attachment 2.

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM



Figure 6 TUFLOW developed case model setup

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

6 IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Peak flood level impact maps are provided in Attachment 3. In summary the following is noted:

- Flood level reductions are predicted at Beams Rd and areas to the north for all events modelled.
- Flood level reductions are predicted along the rail corridor for all events modelled.

Note: Impacts shown within Cabbage Tree Creek are not realistic and should be dismissed because Cabbage Tree Creek has been run in steady state for the purpose of assigning a tailwater level of the local catchment analysis. Therefore, catchment timing effects are not properly considered in the local hydraulic model. The separate Cabbage Tree Creek regional flood analysis (refer to Carseldine Urban Village Updated Stormwater Management plan (DesignFlow Version 3 2019) has demonstrated that the increased runoff from the proposed development site is not expected to coincide with peak flows in the creek and that no adverse impacts are predicted.







7 RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the local flood modelling results for the Carseldine Urban Village the following is recommended to be implemented as part of Stage 1 works:










- All flows associated with the Stage 1 north western entry road are diverted south to discharge to Cabbage Tree Creek. This includes the existing catchment to the west of this entry road (CatB4A – refer to Figure 5), where 1% AEP flows of up to 1.4m³/s are to be captured and directed southward.
- Existing drainage pipes impacted by the development are connected to the new drainage and adequately allowed for in the drainage design based on the general drainage assumptions outlined in this document.
- The minor catchment draining to the new eastern Stage 1 entry road off Beams Rd (CatB4C – refer to Figure 5) can be connected to the existing Beams Road drainage network to the north (450mm dia pipe connection). This should only occur after the drainage at the western entrance road is constructed to avoid an impact on the Beams Rd drainage.
- Ensure Stage 1 flows (except for the eastern entry road as mentioned above) are discharged to Cabbage Tree Creek. The drainage system must have capacity to discharge development flows as well as existing discharges that are connected to the Stage 1 drainage.
- Include a drainage pit that connects to the new drainage for Stage 1 in the low lying area south of Stage 1 lot 3 to manage flooding in this low lying zone.
- Final development fill levels and finished floor levels should be based on whatever is the highest flood level from the following:
 - Cabbage Tree Creek regional flooding (refer to the *Carseldine Urban Village – Updated Stormwater Management Plan*, DesignFlow 2019)
 - Local catchment flooding (this assessment)
 - Internal road drainage (future detail design)


ATTACHMENT 1 – PEAK FLOOD DEPTHS – EXISTING CASE

LEGEND

-  Site
-  Cadastral Data
-  Model Extent
-  External Boundary
-  1D Pipes - Existing
-  1m Contour (LiDAR)

Depth (m)

-  <= 0.2
-  0.2 - 0.5
-  0.5 - 0.75
-  0.75 - 1
-  1 - 1.25
-  1.25 - 1.5
-  1.5 - 1.75
-  1.75 - 2
-  > 2



© Hydrology and Water Management Consulting Pty Ltd (HWMC) 2019.
HWMC endeavours to ensure that the information contained in this figure is correct at the time of publication. Furthermore, HWMC makes no representations, warranties or guarantees about its accuracy.


Carseldine Urban Village

**Peak Flood Depths
Local Catchment Analysis**







**Existing Case
(TUFLOW Case LE02c)**

50% AEP Event










Client: Economic Development Queensland




LEGEND

-  Site
-  Cadastral Data
-  Model Extent
-  External Boundary
-  1D Pipes - Existing
-  1m Contour (LiDAR)

Depth (m)

-  <= 0.2
-  0.2 - 0.5
-  0.5 - 0.75
-  0.75 - 1
-  1 - 1.25
-  1.25 - 1.5
-  1.5 - 1.75
-  1.75 - 2
-  > 2



© Hydrology and Water Management Consulting Pty Ltd (HWMC) 2019.
HWMC endeavours to ensure that the information contained in this figure is correct at the time of publication. Furthermore, HWMC makes no representations, warranties or guarantees about its accuracy.


Carseldine Urban Village

**Peak Flood Depths
Local Catchment Analysis**







**Existing Case
(TUFLOW Case LE02b)**

5% AEP Event










Client: Economic Development Queensland



LEGEND

-  Site
-  Cadastral Data
-  Model Extent
-  External Boundary
-  1D Pipes - Existing
-  1m Contour (LiDAR)

Depth (m)

-  <= 0.2
-  0.2 - 0.5
-  0.5 - 0.75
-  0.75 - 1
-  1 - 1.25
-  1.25 - 1.5
-  1.5 - 1.75
-  1.75 - 2
-  > 2



© Hydrology and Water Management Consulting Pty Ltd (HWMC) 2019.
HWMC endeavours to ensure that the information contained in this figure is correct at the time of publication. Furthermore, HWMC makes no representations, warranties or guarantees about its accuracy.


Carseldine Urban Village

**Peak Flood Depths
Local Catchment Analysis**

**Existing Case
(TUFLOW Case LE02b)**









1% AEP Event

Client: Economic Development Queensland













ATTACHMENT 2 – PEAK FLOOD DEPTHS – PROPOSED CASE

LEGEND

-  Site
-  Cadastral Data
-  Model Extent
-  External Boundary
-  Design Contours (0.1m)
-  Proposed Case Z-Point Modifiers
-  1D Pipes - Existing
-  1D Pipes - Proposed

Depth (m)

-  ≤ 0.2
-  0.2 - 0.5
-  0.5 - 0.75
-  0.75 - 1
-  1 - 1.25
-  1.25 - 1.5
-  1.5 - 1.75
-  1.75 - 2
-  > 2



© Hydrology and Water Management Consulting Pty Ltd (HWMC) 2019.
HWMC endeavours to ensure that the information contained in this figure is correct at the time of publication. Furthermore, HWMC makes no representations, warranties or guarantees about its accuracy.


Carseldine Urban Village

**Peak Flood Depths
Local Catchment Analysis**









**Proposed Case
(TUFLOW Case LP02d)**

50% AEP Event










Client: Economic Development Queensland




LEGEND

-  Site
-  Cadastral Data
-  Model Extent
-  External Boundary
-  Design Contours (0.1m)
-  Proposed Case Z-Point Modifiers
-  1D Pipes - Existing
-  1D Pipes - Proposed

Depth (m)

-  <= 0.2
-  0.2 - 0.5
-  0.5 - 0.75
-  0.75 - 1
-  1 - 1.25
-  1.25 - 1.5
-  1.5 - 1.75
-  1.75 - 2
-  > 2



© Hydrology and Water Management Consulting Pty Ltd (HWMC) 2019.
HWMC endeavours to ensure that the information contained in this figure is correct at the time of publication. Furthermore, HWMC makes no representations, warranties or guarantees about its accuracy.


Carseldine Urban Village

**Peak Flood Depths
Local Catchment Analysis**









**Proposed Case
(TUFLOW Case LP02c)**

5% AEP Event










Client: Economic Development Queensland




LEGEND

-  Site
-  Cadastral Data
-  Model Extent
-  External Boundary
-  Design Contours (0.1m)
-  Proposed Case Z-Point Modifiers
-  1D Pipes - Existing
-  1D Pipes - Proposed

Depth (m)

-  ≤ 0.2
-  0.2 - 0.5
-  0.5 - 0.75
-  0.75 - 1
-  1 - 1.25
-  1.25 - 1.5
-  1.5 - 1.75
-  1.75 - 2
-  > 2



© Hydrology and Water Management Consulting Pty Ltd (HWMC) 2019.
HWMC endeavours to ensure that the information contained in this figure is correct at the time of publication. Furthermore, HWMC makes no representations, warranties or guarantees about its accuracy.


Carseldine Urban Village

**Peak Flood Depths
Local Catchment Analysis**

**Proposed Case
(TUFLOW Case LP02c)**









1% AEP Event

Client: Economic Development Queensland

















ATTACHMENT 3 - PEAK FLOOD LEVEL IMPACTS

LEGEND

-  Site
-  Cadastral Data
-  Model Extent
-  External Boundary
-  Design Conts (0.1m)
-  Developed Case Z-Point Modifiers
-  1D Pipes - Existing
-  1D Pipes - Proposed

Impact (m)

-  Less than -0.2
-  -0.1 to -0.2
-  -0.05 to -0.1
-  -0.02 to -0.05
-  -0.01 to -0.02
-  -0.01 to 0.01
-  0.01 to 0.02
-  0.02 to 0.05
-  0.05 to 0.1
-  0.1 to 0.2
-  Greater than 0.2
-  Was Wet - Now Dry
-  Was Dry - Now Wet



© Hydrology and Water Management Consulting Pty Ltd (HWMC) 2019.
HWMC endeavours to ensure that the information contained in this figure is correct at the time of publication. Furthermore, HWMC makes no representations, warranties or guarantees about its accuracy.


Carseldine Urban Village

Peak Flood Level Impacts
Local Catchment Analysis









(TUFLOW Case LP02d Vs LE02c)

50% AEP Event














Client: Economic Development Queensland




LEGEND

-  Site
-  Cadastral Data
-  Model Extent
-  External Boundary
-  Design Conts (0.1m)
-  Developed Case Z-Point Modifiers
-  1D Pipes - Existing
-  1D Pipes - Proposed

Impact (m)

-  Less than -0.2
-  -0.1 to -0.2
-  -0.05 to -0.1
-  -0.02 to -0.05
-  -0.01 to -0.02
-  -0.01 to 0.01
-  0.01 to 0.02
-  0.02 to 0.05
-  0.05 to 0.1
-  0.1 to 0.2
-  Greater than 0.2
-  Was Wet - Now Dry
-  Was Dry - Now Wet



© Hydrology and Water Management Consulting Pty Ltd (HWMC) 2019.
HWMC endeavours to ensure that the information contained in this figure is correct at the time of publication. Furthermore, HWMC makes no representations, warranties or guarantees about its accuracy.


Carseldine Urban Village

Peak Flood Level Impacts
Local Catchment Analysis









(TUFLOW Case LP02c Vs LE02b)

5% AEP Event














Client: Economic Development Queensland




LEGEND

-  Site
-  Cadastral Data
-  Model Extent
-  External Boundary
-  Design Conts (0.1m)
-  Developed Case Z-Point Modifiers
-  1D Pipes - Existing
-  1D Pipes - Proposed

Impact (m)

-  Less than -0.2
-  -0.1 to -0.2
-  -0.05 to -0.1
-  -0.02 to -0.05
-  -0.01 to -0.02
-  -0.01 to 0.01
-  0.01 to 0.02
-  0.02 to 0.05
-  0.05 to 0.1
-  0.1 to 0.2
-  Greater than 0.2
-  Was Wet - Now Dry
-  Was Dry - Now Wet



© Hydrology and Water Management Consulting Pty Ltd (HWMC) 2019.
HWMC endeavours to ensure that the information contained in this figure is correct at the time of publication. Furthermore, HWMC makes no representations, warranties or guarantees about its accuracy.


Carseldine Urban Village

Peak Flood Level Impacts
Local Catchment Analysis

(TUFLOW Case LP02c Vs LE02b)


1% AEP Event

Client: Economic Development Queensland



TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

PLANS AND DOCUMENTS
referred to in the PDA
DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL



Approval no: DEV2019/1074
Date: 26 March 2020

To: Richard Bender - EDQ

From: Ralph Williams / Shaun Leinster
DesignFlow

Date: 24 February 2020

Subject: Carseldine Urban Village - Addendum to Carseldine Urban Village – Local flood assessment to support Stage 1 development

Attachments 1. Flood barrier works for Stage 1

1 INTRODUCTION

This technical memorandum provides recommended outcomes for stormwater related items for Carseldine Urban Village Stage 1 development, based on 3rd party reviews by BMT of the Stage 1 flood impact assessments and subsequent discussions with EDQ.

2 BACKGROUND

In support of the Carseldine Urban Village Stage 1 application, DesignFlow completed a detailed local flood assessment, as detailed in *Carseldine Urban Village – Local flood assessment to support Stage 1 development (DesignFlow 10 October 2019)*. This shall be referred to as the *Stage 1 Local Flood Assessment* in this technical memorandum.

The flood modelling presented in the *Stage 1 Local Flood Assessment* was completed to inform detail drainage design for Stage 1 development to manage local impacts and demonstrate no flood impacts external to the site as a result of Stage 1 development. The local modelling was completed using the a WBNM rainfall runoff model that feeds local catchment hydrographs into a 1D/2D TUFLOW hydraulic model. Full details of the model setup are provided in the *Stage 1 Local Flood Assessment*. A summary of the model setup is reproduced here in Figure 1.

Local modelling for Stage 1 did not include the flood bund along the eastern boundary of the site as this was not originally intended to be included with Stage 1 works.

Outcomes from the flood impact assessments included:

- Flood level reductions at Beams Rd and areas north of Beams Rd for all events modelled
- Flood level reductions along the rail corridor for all events modelled.



Figure 1 – Local TUFLOW model setup

3 3RD PARTY REVIEW

BMT completed a 3rd party review of the flood modelling associated with Stage 1 development (BMT – *Carseldine Urban Village – Dev 2017/864 – Stage 1 Drainage Peer Review – 21st October 2019*). Formal responses to the 3rd party review were provided by DesignFlow to EDQ (refer to DesignFlow letter response *Carseldine Urban Village Development – Stage 1 drainage peer review – 1st November, 2019*). BMT provided a subsequent letter response (*Carseldine Urban Village – Dev 2017/864 Stage 1 Drainage Peer Review – 11th November 2019*) and following this a telecom meeting with EDQ, BMT and DesignFlow occurred on the 28th November, 2019 to resolve an agreed outcome for Stage 1 approval. The agreed remaining items to be resolved for Stage 1 development included:

- Item 1 - Flood barrier along the eastern boundary of the site – consultation be held with TMR/QR
- Item 2 - Testing the requirement of the proposed flap valve on the 1200mm pipe culvert
- Item 3 - Review flood storage volumes within the BCC waterway corridor extent
- Item 4 - Model updates and sensitivity testing – post Stage 1

The following provides a summary of the actions completed for each item above and the recommendations relevant to Stage 1 approval.

3.1 ITEM 1 – FLOOD BARRIER ALONG EASTERN BOUNDARY

Since the Stage 1 3rd party review, EDQ have had discussions with TMR regarding flood impacts along the rail corridor zone. TMR have stated that they will not accept any impact in this zone. Although local modelling for Stage 1 had indicated no impacts along the rail corridor with no flood barrier included, there remains a risk in the regional flood case that impacts could occur in this corridor with no flood barrier in place. Whilst this does not cause flooding of the rail line, EDQ have advised that the flood bund is to be constructed as part of Stage 1 works to eliminate the risk of flooding along the rail corridor zone.

Attachment 1 provides details of the proposed flood barrier works. This flood barrier will be combined with the acoustic fence, where applicable. This is consistent with what was previously proposed to eliminate impacts along the rail corridor zone, as detailed in the Carseldine Urban Village - Updated Stormwater Management Plan (DesignFlow October 2019). The top flood barrier levels are based on predicted 100 year flood levels associated with ultimate development conditions, with a minimum 300mm freeboard applied. These flood barrier levels are insensitive to the flap valve on the 1200mm RCP and are related to regional flood effects.

RECOMMENDED ACTION RELEVANT TO STAGE 1: INSTALL THE FLOOD BARRIER ALONG EASTERN BOUNDARY OF THE SITE AS PART OF STAGE 1 WORKS AS PER ATTACHMENT 1

3.2 ITEM 2 – FLAP VALVE

Previous Stage 1 local modelling was completed without the inclusion of the flood barrier along the eastern boundary. This required the inclusion of a flap valve on the 1200mm RCP to avoid impacts within the rail corridor as a result of backwatering from Cabbage Tree Creek, particularly during more frequent local storm events. Given the rail bund will now be completed as part of Stage 1 works to avoid impacts in the rail corridor, the requirement for the flap valve will be tested with the rail bund included. Should a flap valve be required this will be installed prior to plan sealing for Stage 1.

Please note that the current design of the 1200mm RCP has taken into consideration the possibility of installing a flap at a future date. Refer to Calibre plans for details.

RECOMMENDED ACTION RELEVANT TO STAGE 1: ENSURE THE DESIGN OF THE CULVERT CROSSING CAN ACCOMMODATE A FLAP VALVE AND TEST THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE FLAP VALVE AND INSTALL IF REQUIRED PRIOR TO STAGE 1 PLAN SEALING

3.3 ITEM 3 – FLOOD STORAGE

Previous flood storage volume calculations presented in the Carseldine Urban Village Updated Stormwater Management Plan (DesignFlow, October 2019) indicated a loss of storage as a result of development. This value was based on the full extent of flooding within the development site for pre and post conditions.

Compliance with BCC flood overlays for loss of flood plain storage is only required within the waterway extents defined for Cabbage Tree Creek. Revised flood storage calculations within the development were completed for flood storage volumes within the BCC waterway extent only. The following volumes were determined under ultimate development conditions, with the influence of the pedestrian bridge crossing Cabbage Tree Creek included:

- Existing flood storage: 99,276 m³
- Ultimate developed case flood storage: 99,608 m³

- Overall gain in flood storage = 332 m³

RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR STAGE 1: NO FURTHER ACTION REQUIRED – COMPLIANCE WITH BCC FLOOD OVERLAY DEMONSTRATED FOR ULTIMATED DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS

3.4 MODEL UPDATES AND SENSITIVITY TESTING – POST STAGE 1

Model updates and sensitivity testing scenarios were recommended as part of the 3rd party review. The agreed model updates and sensitivity testing to occur as part of future modelling exercises include:

- Remove Cabbage Tree Creek from the local model and adopt a fixed tailwater based on a 5 year flood regional level
- Sensitivity testing of local modelling results using ARR 1987 procedures
- Sensitivity testing of blockage of the 1200mm RCP

It was agreed with BMT that the above be completed post Stage 1 and be included with necessary model updates required to support Stage 2 application.

RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR STAGE 1: NO FURTHER ACTION REQUIRED – MODEL UPDATES AND SCENARIO TESTING TO OCCUR POST STAGE 1 AS AGREED WITH BMT

Based on 3rd party reviews and subsequent discussions with EDQ no further stormwater related items remain outstanding for Stage 1 development.

Prepared by:

Ralph Williams

Reviewed/Certified by:



Shaun Leinster

RPEQ 15637

DesignFlow



Attachments:

1. Flood barrier works for Stage 1

ATTACHMENT 1 – FLOOD BARRIER WORKS FOR STAGE 1

LEGEND

- ACOUSTIC FENCE ONLY
- ACOUSTIC FENCE AND RETAINING WALL
- RETAINING WALL ONLY



DATUM RL 8.0

	0.000	20.000	40.000	60.000	80.000	100.000	120.000	140.000	160.000	180.000	197.350	200.000	220.000	240.000	257.760	260.000	280.000	300.000	320.000	326.230	340.000	360.000	
DRAIN INVERT LEVEL	12.717	12.596	12.516	12.435	12.355	12.274	12.194	12.113	12.033	11.952	11.883	11.869	11.789	11.708	11.635	11.626	11.545	11.465	11.384	11.359	11.300	11.219	
RETAINING WALL LEVEL						14.130	14.130	14.130	14.130	14.130	14.130	14.130	14.130	14.130	14.130	14.130	14.130	14.130	14.130	14.130	14.130	14.130	14.130
EXISTING SURFACE	12.879	12.832	12.788	12.827	12.870	12.863	12.899	12.923	12.780	12.709	12.832	12.826	12.819	12.872	12.870	12.873	12.717	12.741	12.718	12.914	12.810	12.761	
CHAINAGES	0.000	20.000	40.000	60.000	80.000	100.000	120.000	140.000	160.000	180.000	197.350	200.000	220.000	240.000	257.760	260.000	280.000	300.000	320.000	326.230	340.000	360.000	

LONGITUDINAL SECTION - EXISTING RAIL BOUNDARY

HORIZ SCALE: 500
VERTICAL SCALE: 50

REVISION 1 21.02.20 ISSUED FOR INFORMATION	DATE 21.02.20	ISSUE DETAILS ISSUED FOR INFORMATION	DRAWN AA	DESIGN AA	DRAWN CHECK DESIGN CHECK APPROVED DANIEL COLLINS RPEQ 18631	STATUS FOR APPROVAL NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION	SCALE 1:500 10 5 0 10 20m A1 1:1000	CLIENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT QUEENSLAND		PROJECT CARSELDINE URBAN VILLAGE STAGE 1	DRAWING TITLE ACOUSTIC FENCE LAYOUT PLAN SHEET 1 OF 2	PDA REF NO. DEV----/--- PROJECT No. 15-003002.01	DRAWING No. SK1250	REVISION 1
---	------------------	---	-------------	--------------	---	---	---	--	--	--	---	---	------------------------------	----------------------

FOR & ON BEHALF OF CALIBRE CONSULTING (QLD) PTY LTD


DISCLAIMER: ALL DIMENSIONS TO BE CHECKED ON SITE BY CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. USE WRITTEN DIMENSIONS ONLY. DO NOT SCALE.



LEGEND

- ACOUSTIC FENCE ONLY
- ACOUSTIC FENCE AND RETAINING WALL
- RETAINING WALL ONLY

JOIN FOR CONTINUATION REFER DRG No. 15-003002-SK1250 LINE



DATUM RL 8.0	
DRAIN INVERT LEVEL	11.219
RETAINING WALL LEVEL	14.130
EXISTING SURFACE	12.761
CHAINAGES	360.000
	380.000
	386.640
	400.000
	420.000
	428.930
	440.000
	460.000
	480.000
	500.000

LONGITUDINAL SECTION - EXISTING RAIL BOUNDARY (CONTINUED)


HORIZ SCALE: 500
VERTICAL SCALE: 50

REVISION	DATE	ISSUE DETAILS	DRAWN	DESIGN	DRAWN CHECK	STATUS
1	21.02.20	ISSUED FOR INFORMATION	AA	AA		

FOR APPROVAL
NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

APPROVED
DANIEL COLLINS RPEQ 18631

FOR & ON BEHALF OF CALIBRE CONSULTING (QLD) PTY LTD



CLIENT
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT QUEENSLAND



PROJECT
CARSELDINE URBAN VILLAGE STAGE 1

DISCLAIMER
ALL DIMENSIONS TO BE CHECKED ON SITE BY CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. USE WRITTEN DIMENSIONS ONLY. DO NOT SCALE.

DRAWING TITLE		
ACOUSTIC FENCE LAYOUT PLAN SHEET 2 OF 2		
PROJECT No. 15-003002.01	DRAWING No. SK1251	REVISION 1

PDA. REF NO. DEV-/-/-

26 November 2020

Richard Bender
Development Manager
Economic Development Queensland
Level 14, 1 William St Brisbane QLD 4000

Dear Richard

RE: CARSELDINE URBAN VILLAGE DEVELOPMENT –LOT 3 DESIGN FLOOD LEVELS

The following provides the design flood levels relevant to Lot 3 retirement village at the Carseldine Urban Village development. This advice is based on recent regional flood modelling completed for the Carseldine Urban Village, as reported in the *Carseldine Urban Village – Updated Stormwater Management Plan Version 4* (DesignFlow, dated October 2019) and *Carseldine Urban Village - Updated Flood Assessments to Support Stage 1 development* (15 May, 2020).

Figure 1 attached shows the modelled flood levels adjacent to Lot 3 for the 1% AEP (Q100) and 0.2% AEP (Q500) events under ultimate developed conditions. Flood levels have been derived from flood modelling based on Brisbane City Council (BCC) supplied URBS and TUFLOW regional models for Cabbage Tree Creek. These models have been updated as necessary to make suitable for a flood assessment of the Carseldine Urban Village development. This includes the new pedestrian bridge linking CUV with Aspley State school. Modelling assumptions are detailed in the Updated Stormwater Management Plan Version 4.

Modelled percent impervious values for developed areas of CUV are assumed at 90%, this includes Lot 3 development.




Figure 1 – 1% and 0.2% flood levels adjacent to Lot 3

Please call me on 0405 715 523 if you have any queries on the above.

Yours sincerely

R. Williams

Ralph Williams
DesignFlow

PLANS AND DOCUMENTS
referred to in the PDA
DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL

Approval no: DEV2019/1074

Date: 26 March 2020



CARSELDINE URBAN VILLAGE

UPDATED STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

DesignFlow

Prepared for Economic Development Queensland

October 2019


**PLANS AND DOCUMENTS
referred to in the PDA
DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL**



Approval no: DEV2019/1074

Date: 26 March 2020

Document Control Sheet

Report Title:	Carseldine Urban Village – Updated Stormwater Management Plan
Suggested Reference:	Carseldine Urban Village – Updated Stormwater Management Plan (DesignFlow, 2019)
Version:	04
Client:	Economic Development Queensland
Author(s):	Ralph Williams
Reviewed By:	Shaun Leinster
Approved By:	Shaun Leinster RPEQ15637 
Date:	10/10/2019
File Location:	S:\Projects\4306
Circulation:	Electronic Copies: Economic Development Queensland

Disclaimer

This document has been prepared solely for the benefit of the client identified above, and is issued in confidence for the purposes only for which it is supplied. Unauthorised use of this document in any form whatsoever is prohibited. No liability is accepted by DesignFlow Consulting Pty Ltd, or any employee, contractor or sub-consultant of this company with respect to its use by any other person.

This disclaimer shall apply notwithstanding that the document may be made available to other persons for an application for permission or approval to fulfil a legal obligation.

Qualifications & Limitations

In preparing this report, Designflow has relied upon and assumed accurate data provided by Brisbane City Council (BCC) and other sources. Unless otherwise stated in this report, Designflow has not attempted to verify the accuracy or completeness of any such information. The accuracy of this report is reliant upon the accuracy of this information.

This investigation is based upon BCC's established flood model of the Cabbage Tree Creek floodplain. While some refinements have been made to BCC's models to suit the current project, overall the modelling approach and assumptions have been applied consistently with that of the established models. Consequently, the model accuracy limitations of BCC's flood models also generally apply to this investigation.

Modelling for this investigation is based on a design event approach and assumptions that are consistent with current industry practice. It is important to be aware that real world flood events are random and highly variable. Consequently, observed and future flooding characteristics may not reflect those described in this report.

This report should be read in full and no excerpts are to be taken as representative of the findings. No responsibility is accepted by Designflow for use of any part of this report in any other context.

Study results should not be used for purposes other than those for which they were prepared.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
1 SITE CHARACTERISTICS	3
1.1 SITE LOCATION.....	3
1.2 CLIMATE	3
1.3 TOPOGRAPHY, CATCHMENTS AND DRAINAGE	4
1.4 SOILS AND VEGETATION	6
1.5 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	6
2 STORMWATER DESIGN OBJECTIVES.....	8
2.1 STORMWATER QUALITY	8
2.2 FLOODING	8
3 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STRATEGY	10
3.1 STORMWATER TREATMENT	13
3.2 FLOOD MANAGEMENT	16
4 STORMWATER QUALITY TREATMENT ASSESSMENT	17
4.1 MODEL STRUCTURE	17
4.2 RESULTS	19
5 FLOOD ASSESSMENT.....	20
5.1 URBS	20
5.1.1 <i>PRE-DEVELOPED CATCHMENTS</i>	20
5.1.2 <i>DEVELOPED CASE CATCHMENTS</i>	22
5.1.3 <i>RAINFALL</i>	23
5.2 TUFLOW	24
5.2.1 <i>DEVELOPMENT EARTHWORKS</i>	25
5.2.2 <i>MITIGATION MEASURES</i>	25
5.3 RESULTS	27
5.3.1 <i>PEAK FLOWS</i>	30
5.3.2 <i>FLOOD INUNDATION – EXISTING CASE</i>	30
5.3.3 <i>FLOOD IMPACTS</i>	34
5.3.4 <i>FLOOD STORAGE</i>	36
6 MAINTENANCE.....	37
6.1 MAINTENANCE PLAN	37
6.1.1 <i>BIORETENTION BASINS</i>	37
7 CONCLUSION.....	39
8 REFERENCES.....	40
APPENDIX A – TUFLOW MODEL OUTPUTS.....	41

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Carseldine Urban Village (Lot 322 on SP172124) is a proposed development on a 45ha site, currently occupied by Queensland Government facilities and community sports fields. The development is currently being undertaken by Economic Development Queensland (EDQ) and involves the creation of lots for a mix of uses including commercial and retail, residential, retirement living and a sporting complex.

This report presents the details of an Updated Stormwater Management Plan for the development to meet the requirements under:

- *State Planning Policy*– SPP (DLGIP, 2017) for the operational stormwater quality objectives;
- *Queensland Urban Drainage Manual* (QUDM) for stormwater quantity management; and
- *Brisbane City Council Planning Scheme*

This report supersedes the previously issued stormwater management plan for the site (DesignFlow, April 2018). This updated stormwater management plan captures the following updates and information that has been made available since the issue of the April 2018 report:

- Updated and approved overall masterplan for the development (source: RPS, October 2019)
- Latest earthworks associated with the development (source: Calibre Consulting, June 2019)
- Existing site pipe drainage survey (completed June 2019 – source: Land Partners)

STORMWATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT

The updated stormwater treatment strategy includes two (2) bioretention basins that treat development runoff prior to discharge to Cabbage Tree Creek:

- Bioretention Basin B1 265m² filter area treating Stages 2, 3 (part of) and S
- Bioretention Basin B2 500m² filter area total treating the remainder of the development (Stages 1,3 (part of), 4 and 5)

These basins are located outside of the Cabbage Tree Creek riparian corridor and will have low impact on existing vegetation. The proposed locations also avoid conflicts with the future busway corridor.

Drainage swales along the eastern boundary of the site and at the southern boundary of the Stage S sports fields also provide additional treatment.

FLOOD MANAGEMENT

Flood impact assessment demonstrates no significant impacts occurring external to the site as a result of development. Some afflux (~50mm) is observed immediately south east of the development boundary, however this afflux occurs within a low-lying flood prone bushland area and is not considered an actionable nuisance.

Improved flood conditions are observed at Beams Road and the rail line at the north-east end of the site. This is because much of the site drainage will be directed to Cabbage Tree Creek. Furthermore, during larger magnitude events, the proposed development fill restricts Cabbage Tree Creek breakout flow from entering this area.

Required mitigation measures to manage flood impacts external to the site include:

- Providing flood storage over the sports field zone for events greater than the 5% AEP (20 year ARI).
- Incorporation of a 1200mm dia pipe with one-way flap valve along the new drainage swale draining the eastern half of the development. This minimizes the impacts of Cabbage Tree Creek flows into the site via this new connection to Cabbage Tree Creek.
- Inclusion of a flood barrier (~1m high) along the eastern boundary of the site. This avoids increases in flood levels along the rail line adjacent to the site.

This report is based on regional flood modelling based upon the Brisbane City Council (BCC) flood model for Cabbage Tree Creek. Updated regional modelling and detailed local modelling will occur as part of continuing design development for the site.

1 SITE CHARACTERISTICS

1.1 SITE LOCATION

The Carseldine Urban Village development is located approximately 14km north of Brisbane. The site is bounded by Beams road to the north, Cabbage Tree Creek to the south, Brisbane rail to the east and Dorville Road to the west.

Figure 1 shows the location of the site.



Figure 1: Locality plan

1.2 CLIMATE

Figure 2 provides a summary of the monthly rainfall based on climate statistics for Brisbane (station No 40223).

The annual average rainfall is 1,190 mm, whilst annual evaporation is approximately 1,950mm. The figure clearly indicates the seasonal nature of rainfall and evaporation with lower rainfall and evaporation periods during the winter months.




Figure 2 Average monthly climate statistics

1.3 TOPOGRAPHY, CATCHMENTS AND DRAINAGE

Ground levels across the site range from approximately RL28 at the high point located at the north western boundary of the development to approximately RL9.5 at the south eastern corner at Cabbage Tree Creek. Grades across the site are flat to moderate typically ranging from 0.5 to 10%.

The site is characterised by areas of low lying and poorly drained topography. Figure 3 shows the existing topography and general drainage of the current site. The majority of the site drainage is toward Cabbage Tree Creek to the south, whilst the north west section of the site drains northward. Poorly drained areas are also noted at the north east of the site.

Pipe drainage within the site discharges at two (2) outfalls to Cabbage Tree Creek. This drainage system minimises localised site flooding in the more frequent events, when regional flooding from Cabbage Tree Creek does not occur.

In general, the northern bank of Cabbage Tree Creek is higher than adjacent ground levels further north within the site. This means flood flows are initially contained within Cabbage Tree Creek but then break out of the banks of the creek over the high point on the northern bank and inundate low lying and poorly drained areas within the site.

At the north eastern end of the site, low lying areas occur adjacent to the rail line and at the northern boundary of the existing sports fields adjacent to Beams Road. This area appears to be providing an overland flow path for flood flows.




Figure 3: Topography and drainage

1.4 SOILS AND VEGETATION

Soils across the site are generally characterised by alluvial soils comprising surface clayey silt overlying medium to high plasticity silty clay and sandy clay, with interbedded layers of clayey sand, gravelly sand and gravel (SGS, 2017).

The site comprises of sports fields and government buildings in the northern half of the site. Extensive good value bushland occurs in the southern half of the site including the Cabbage Tree Creek riparian corridor (refer Figure 1).

1.5 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The Carseldine Urban Village development is located within a 45ha site. The site includes existing government facilities at the north western end of the development that are to be retained. Existing sports fields at the north eastern corner of the site are to be redeveloped, whilst a new sporting precinct will be constructed at the south eastern corner of the site. A future busway is planned at the southern end of the site. The existing QUT research facility at the southern end of the site is planned to be decommissioned in 2020.

The overall development will include approximately 10.3ha of new commercial and residential development, and an approximated 5 ha of new sporting complex area.

The current development layout for Carseldine Urban Village is shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4 Proposed Carseldine Urban Village development (Source: RPS 2019)

2 STORMWATER DESIGN OBJECTIVES

Stormwater management objectives have been established based on the following:

- *State Planning Policy* (DLGIP, 2017)
- *Queensland Urban Drainage Manual* (2016)
- *Brisbane City Council (BCC) Planning Scheme*

2.1 STORMWATER QUALITY

The stormwater quality management objectives that apply to the operational phase of the development are defined in the State Planning Policy (DLGIP, 2017) which applies load based objectives presented in Table 1.

Table 1 – Stormwater quality objectives

Constituent	Discharge criteria
Total suspended solids (TSS)	80% reduction in post developed mean annual load
Total phosphorous (TP)	60% reduction in post developed mean annual load
Total nitrogen (TN)	45% reduction in post developed mean annual load
Gross pollutants	90% reduction in post developed mean annual load

Construction phase erosion and sediment control objectives are outlined in Table A Appendix 2 of SPP (DLGIP, 2017). Detailed erosion and sediment control plans will be provided with the Operational Works application.

2.2 FLOODING

The flood management objectives applicable to the site are presented in Table 2. Carseldine Urban Village development lies within Brisbane City Council (BCC) mapped City Wide Waterway corridor zone.

Table 2 Flood objectives

Criterion	Design Objective
No worsening hydraulic conditions	No worsening hydraulic impact to be demonstrated external to the site for the critical duration storm for the 39% AEP to 1% AEP events
<p><i>BCC flood overlay code PO2</i></p> <p>Development within a creek/waterway flood planning area</p>	<p>a) Maintains conveyance of flood waters to allow flow and debris to pass predominantly unimpeded through the site</p> <p>b) Does not concentrate, intensify or divert floodwater onto upstream, downstream or adjacent properties</p> <p>c) Will not result in a material increase in flood levels or flood hazard on upstream, downstream or adjacent properties</p>
<p><i>BCC Flood overlay code PO8</i></p> <p>Development for filling or excavation in an area affected by creek/waterway flooding</p>	Does not directly, indirectly or cumulatively cause any material increase in flooding or hydraulic hazard or involve significant redistribution of flood storage from high to lower areas in the floodplain

3 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

The stormwater management strategy for the Carseldine Urban Village development has been developed based on discussions with EDQ, the design team and field inspections to identify opportunities and constraints.

When developing the strategy, several guiding principles were considered:

- achieve obligations under the *State Planning Policy*, *BCC planning scheme policy* and *Queensland Urban Drainage Manual*
- ensure stormwater management systems are functionally feasible within the constraints of the development and drainage levels
- avoid numerous stormwater management sites
- avoid works within the Cabbage Tree Creek riparian buffer zone
- minimize impacts on existing good value vegetation
- avoid works encroaching into the future busway corridor
- minimize the need for an on-site flood basin, where possible
- utilization of the 10m wide acoustic barrier at the eastern boundary of the site for drainage conveyance and treatment

Figure 5 shows the stormwater management strategy for the Carseldine Urban Village development. The strategy has been developed considering the proposed drainage for the development (source: Calibre Consulting). This includes pipe drainage for minor storm events and overland flows for flows exceeding pipe capacity.

Performance assessments of the proposed management strategy are presented in Section 4 (stormwater quality) and Section 5 (flooding).

Table 3 Stormwater treatment elements

ID and Stages Treated	Treatment		Catchment ha	Comment
	Type	Area/length		
B1 – Stages 2,3 (part of) and S	Bioretention	265m ²	2.41	Located within Stage S. Treats discharges from Stage 2 and 3 (part of). Receives treated flows from Stage S sports fields. Treated flows and overflows to swale S3.
B2 – Stages 1 and 3 (part of) and 4-5	Bioretention	500m ²	5.19	Located within the QUT facility. Treats Stages 1 and 3 (part of) and Stages 4 and 5. Receives low from diversion from main drainage pipe. Treated outflows to drainage outfall to Cabbage Tree Ck.
S1 – Stages 1, 2 and 3 (part of) and 4	Swale	230m	2.17	Treats north eastern development zone (Stage 1, 2 and 3 (part of) and Stage 4).
S2 – Stage S	Swale	150m	4.8	Treats and drains Stage S sports fields to Bioretention B1
S3 – Stages 1 and 3 (part of) and 2,4 and S	Swale	230m	B1+S1+S2	Conveys eastern development zone discharges to Cabbage Tree Ck. Provides additional treatment for upstream discharges prior to discharge to Cabbage Tree Creek
Stage 1 (part of) – Beams Rd	untreated		0.54	Development treatment upsized to offset this untreated portion of the development
TOTAL			15.11	

3.1 STORMWATER TREATMENT

The treatment strategy includes two (2) bioretention basins treating the development zones as shown in Figure 5. Swales along the southern boundary of the Stage 5 sports fields and at the eastern boundary of the site will also provide a treatment function prior to discharge to Cabbage Tree Creek.

Two small development areas (0.54ha total) adjacent to Beams Road at the northern end of the development do not report to the treatments proposed. The stormwater treatment proposed as part of this strategy have been sufficiently sized to compensate (i.e. over-treat) for the treatment of this area. Refer to Section 4 for performance assessments.

It should also be noted that the proposed drainage strategy will connect existing drainage from the existing facilities at the north west of the site to drainage that will report to Bioretention basin B2. This provides treatment of an area that previously was untreated.

Bioretention Basin B1

Bioretention Basin B1 (filter area 265m² at RL12.0) treats Stage 2 development and the eastern Stage 3 development and receives treated discharges from the swale (S2) draining Stage 5 sports fields. This basin is located at the eastern boundary of the site, just south of Stage 5 carpark. Pipe discharges enter the basin from the development zone via the Stage 5 carpark.

Treated outflows from the bioretention basin discharge to swale S3. Overflows from the bioretention connect directly to swale S3 via an overflow weir.

Detail designs for this bioretention basin have now been completed and construction is currently underway as part of Stage 5 works. A general arrangement of the bioretention basin is shown in Figure 6.




Figure 6 Bioretention basin B1 general arrangement

Bioretention Basin B2

Bioretention Basin B2 (filter area 500m² at RL12.0) treats parts of Stage 1 and 3 (west) development as well as Stages 4 and 5. The basin is proposed to be located within the footprint of the existing QUT research facility at the southern end of the site. This area, covering approximately 6,500m², is due to be decommissioned in 2020.

This treatment site could be incorporated as part of a future stormwater reuse scheme, by directing treated stormwater from the bioretention basin to an adjacent storage pond, which can then be used to supply harvested water for sports field irrigation.

Detail designs have now been completed for this bioretention. A general arrangement is shown in Figure 7.




Figure 7 Bioretention basin B2 general arrangement

A diversion pit will direct development low flows to the bioretention basin at the northern end. In addition, a diversion pipe (600mm dia) will direct drainage from Stage 1 Lot 3 (1.07ha) to the bioretention basin at the southern end. High flows will continue to the drainage outfall to Cabbage Tree Creek via twin 1200mm dia pipes.

Treated outflows and bioretention overflows will be piped from the bioretention basin to the proposed 2x1200 mm dia drainage outfall pipes to Cabbage Tree Creek. A dia 1800mm pit is included with the bioretention basin works to facilitate connection to a future stormwater harvest scheme, should this proceed. This will allow the retrofit of future pumping infrastructure within this pit to pump bioretention treated outflows to a future holding pond. Regardless, the bioretention basin can operate under gravity to drain treated flows and overflows to the outfall of Cabbage Tree Creek i.e. the bioretention basin is not reliant on the inclusion of a stormwater harvest scheme and can operate entirely independently and under gravity.

Swale S1 (~230m)

Swale S1 (~230m) represents the drainage reserve formed at the eastern boundary of the development. Drainage from part of Stages 1 to 3 and Stage 4 will discharge to this

drainage reserve. This area is a minimum 10m wide and will be grassed and treed to form a buffer to the rail corridor. Drainage gradients along this zone are typically flat (~0.3%).

Swale S2 (~150m)

Swale S2 receives and treats drainage from the Stage S sports fields and directs this drainage to Bioretention Basin B1. This swale is turfed with 6H:1V batters. Drainage gradients are typically 0.6%.

Swale S3 (~230m)

Swale S3 connects drainage from the eastern half of the development zone to Cabbage Tree Creek. To minimise the impact on vegetation within the Cabbage Tree Creek riparian zone, batter slopes of 3H:1V are used. Drainage gradients along this zone are typically 0.6%. The swale will be vegetated with a mix of groundcovers and riparian vegetation to provide a treatment function and aid stability.

3.2 FLOOD MANAGEMENT

The majority of development runoff is directed southward to discharge to Cabbage Tree Creek. Development earthworks are configured to facilitate overland flows eastward and southward to allow the majority of development drainage to Cabbage Tree Creek. Developed lots are above 1% AEP levels (Q₁₀₀), however the sports field earthworks allow flooding of the sports fields in events higher than the 5% AEP (Q₂₀). This aids in offsetting loss of flood storage as a result of development and avoids flood impacts along Cabbage Tree Creek.

A new swale along the eastern boundary of the site drains stormwater from the eastern half of the site to Cabbage Tree Creek. A 1200mm dia culvert is included along this swale with a one-way flap valve to minimise backwatering effects of Cabbage Tree Creek flows into the development from this new swale.

A flood barrier is also included along the eastern boundary of the site to contain development flows within the site and avoid impacts along the rail corridor. This flood barrier can take the form of a low block wall (~1m high) and/or bund and can be incorporated with the future acoustic fence along this boundary. Further details are provided in Section 5.2.2.

4 STORMWATER QUALITY TREATMENT ASSESSMENT

MUSIC modelling was conducted to quantitatively assess the stormwater treatment performance of the proposed stormwater treatment strategy. MUSIC version 6.3 was used for the assessment and the parameters have been established in accordance with the MUSIC Modelling Guidelines for South East Queensland (Water by Design, 2010).

Details of the modelling assumptions, parameters used and results are presented in the following sections.

4.1 MODEL STRUCTURE

The structure of the MUSIC model is shown in Figure 8 with the general data upon which the model is based provided in Table 4.

Catchments have been derived from the proposed masterplan layout, considering the pipe drainage system that would apply (refer to Figure 5 previously). Only areas under development are included in the model.

The model adopts a lumped catchment approach.




Figure 8 MUSIC model

Table 4 MUSIC model data summary

Parameter	Value
Source Data	
Rainfall data set	1990-1900 – Brisbane Aero Station No. 40223
Modelled time step	6 minute
Mean annual rainfall 1980--1990	1155 mm (for the period used)
Potential evapotranspiration	1,526mm (Table 3.1 Music modelling guidelines for SEQ)
Soil properties (runoff generation parameters)	Table 3.7 Music Modelling Guidelines for SEQ
Pollutant concentrations (base and storm flow concentration parameters)	Table 3.9 Music Modelling Guidelines for SEQ
Percent impervious	Table 3.6 Music Modelling Guidelines for SEQ Residential/mixed use (50dw/ha): 80% impervious Retail/commercial: 90% impervious Road: 90% impervious
Treatment Devices	
Bioretention	Filter media depth = 0.6 m Extended detention depth = 0.3 m Seepage = 0 mm/hr Saturated hydraulic conductivity 200mm/hr TN content ¹ 400 mg/kg Orthophosphate content ¹ 30mg/kg
Swale	Base width = 1m Top width = 10m Depth = 0.5m (S1 and S2); 1.5m (S3) Vegetation height = 0.05m (S1 and S2); 0.25m (S3) Slope 0.3% (S1); 0.6% (S2 and S3)

Note:

1. Water By Design have recently completed a review of important default values for bioretention basins. In terms of bioretention the parameters adopted are consistent with new values for filter media OP and TN content recently adopted by Healthy Waterways

4.2 RESULTS

The results of the MUSIC modelling are presented in Table 5.

Table 5 Summary of MUSIC modelling – Carseldine Urban Village

Treatment ID	Pollutant	Inflows (kg/yr)	Outflows (kg/yr)	Reduction achieved (%)	Water quality objective
CARSELDINE URBAN VILLAGE					
Bio B1 Filter area 265m ²	TSS	5720	802	86.0	Water quality objective applies to the combined site discharge
	TP	10.8	2.2	79.7	
	TN	65.6	26.7	59.2	
Bio B2 Filter area 500m ²	TSS	11000	1910	82.6	
	TP	25.0	6.08	75.7	
	TN	151	66.7	55.8	
Swale S1 Length = 230m	TSS	4660	535	88.5	
	TP	12.2	3.44	71.9	
	TN	70.5	50.4	28.5	
Swale S2 Length = 150m	TSS	1570	654	58.2	
	TP	4.06	2.48	39.1	
	TN	32.6	27.6	15.3	
Swale S3 Length = 230m	TSS	1950	1200	38.4	
	TP	7.75	6.41	17.3	
	TN	94.5	86.2	8.8	
Stage 1 – Beams Rd 0.54ha untreated	TSS	1820	1820	0	
	TP	3.45	3.45	0	
	TN	17.4	17.4	0	
TOTAL	TSS	24700	4970	80.0	80
	TP	55.6	16.3	70.7	60
	TN	337	181	46.4	45

The results demonstrate that load based objectives are achieved for the Carseldine Urban Village Development with the proposed stormwater treatment strategy.

5 FLOOD ASSESSMENT

Flood modelling has been based on Brisbane City Council (BCC) supplied URBS and TUFLOW regional flood models for Cabbage Tree Creek. These models have been updated as necessary to make suitable for an impact assessment of the Carseldine Urban Village development.

The following describes model updates made to the Council supplied URBS and TUFLOW models to complete assessments on the impacts of the development.

5.1 URBS

URBS has been used to generate flows for the pre-developed and developed case scenarios for incorporation into TUFLOW. The following describes the model updates and assumptions used.

5.1.1 Pre-developed catchments

The Council supplied URBS model includes 70 sub catchments that delineate the approximate 43.1km² Cabbage Tree Creek catchment. URBS catchments covering the Carseldine Urban Village development zone within the Cabbage Tree Creek catchment have been refined to allow better representation of local catchment flooding characteristics in and around the development.

Sub-catchment 29 in the URBS model covers the proposed Carseldine Urban Village development zone. This has been split into 5 sub-catchments (291 to 295) to represent in finer detail site drainage based on existing topography obtained from Council supplied DEM model and ground truthing of current drainage.

Pervious and impervious fractions have been updated for these catchments, together with catchment slopes. Catchment slopes have been updated and estimated using the equal area method for each new sub catchment modelled.

All other URBS catchments have been retained as per the original Council supplied model setup, including catchment slopes.

Figure 9 shows the predeveloped catchments relevant to the Carseldine Urban Village development. Table 6 provides a summary of sub-catchment land uses, areas and slopes modelled in and around the development. URBS model land use is applied by using various land use categories within each sub-catchment. URBS model land use categorisation has been adopted in accordance with the BCC model. Land use categories and associated fractions impervious values are:

- Urban Low Density (10% Impervious)
- Urban Medium Density (50% Impervious)
- Urban High Density (90% Impervious)
- Rural (0% Impervious)

Table 6 Pre-developed catchments

ID	Area ha	Land use (%)				Catchment Slope %
		Low density	Medium density	High density	Rural	
291	18.63	0%	0%	18.0%	82.0%	1.14
292	6.57	0%	0%	9.7%	90.3%	2.04
293	6.52	0%	0%	3.6%	96.4%	0.63
294	5.09	0%	0%	0%	100%	0.55
295	82.15	0%	19.3%	38.3%	42.4%	0.70
32	36.52	0%	83.3%	3.8%	12.8%	1.30




Figure 9 Refined URBS sub-catchments relevant to the development – base case

5.1.2 Developed case catchments

Sub-catchments where development applies were adjusted to represent the proposed development for Carseldine Urban Village. This applies to sub catchments 291, 292, 293, 294 and 32. These sub-catchments are shown in Figure 10.

Catchment land uses have been adjusted to account for the increased impervious area associated with the development. Adjustments to sub-catchment boundaries have also been applied, where necessary to align with the drainage strategy of the developed site.

Sub-catchments 293 and 294 drain southwards to Cabbage Tree Creek via a new drainage swale between the railway line and the development. Sub-catchments 291 and 292 will drain to Cabbage Tree Creek via stormwater pipes that will discharge in the vicinity of the two existing outfalls. The final details of this drainage configuration will be undertaken as part of future detail design phases.




Figure 10 Developed case sub-catchments

Pervious and impervious areas were derived based on expected fraction impervious values for the various land uses. Percent impervious values applied to each land use were based on recommended values in QUDM (2007). The following values have been applied:

- pre-developed vegetation: 0%
- Urban residential: 90%
- Retail/commercial: 90%
- Sports fields: 0%

Modelled catchment areas and slopes for post developed conditions are summarised in Table 7.

Table 7 Carseldine Urban Village development - modelled catchment areas and slopes

ID	Area ha	Land use (%)				Catchment Slope %
		Low density	Medium density	High density	Rural	
291	17.98	0%	0%	16.11%	83.89%	1.14
292	6.63	0%	0%	88.00%	12.00%	2.04
293	6.47	0%	0%	87.83%	12.17%	0.63
294	6.01	0%	0%	1.78%	98.22%	0.55
295	82.15	0%	19.28%	38.3%	42.4%	0.70
32	36.24	0%	83.98%	3.87%	12.15%	1.30

5.1.3 Rainfall

Design event modelling has been undertaken using Australian Rainfall and Runoff (ARR, 1987) industry standard approach of modelling multiple design rainfall burst durations and extracting the maximum values from these events.

Rainfall parameters were based on the following:

- Temporal Patterns were based on the Australian Rainfall and Runoff (1987) publication. Zone 3 is applied to this site.
- Rainfall Intensity Frequency Duration (IFD) data used is consistent with that used in previous modelling, based on AR&R.

Design storms for the 39%, 20%, 10%, 5%, 2% and 1% AEP events have been modelled for the 60, 90, 120, 180 and 360 minute duration storms.

Design event rainfall is retained as per the Council supplied URBS model.

Rainfall losses and roughness values

Loss rates are retained as per the Council supplied URBS model. The following loss rates are used for the pervious areas for all events modelled:

- initial loss – 10 mm
- continuing loss – 0mm/hr

Zero initial and continuing loss is applied to the impervious fractions.

5.2 TUFLOW

Flood modelling has been carried out using a refined version of BCC's Cabbage Tree Creek TUFLOW model. The following updates have been made to the model for this investigation:

- The model has been updated to a recent version of TUFLOW (2016-03-AE_64_iSP_w64)
- Inflow hydrographs have been extracted from the refined URBS sub-catchments.
- TUFLOW 'gully' lines have been incorporated to improve model representation of local gullies in the study area. In particular, the existing drain adjacent to the railway has been modelled using a 'gully' line.
- Inflow hydrographs from the refined URBS sub-catchments have been applied using 2d_sa polygons that have been trimmed to control where flows are input to the TUFLOW model.
- The major drainage pipes associated with the two existing outfalls to Cabbage Tree Creek have been incorporated using 1D pipe elements

Existing stormwater drainage pipes and inlets pits within the site have been incorporated into the pre-developed case TUFLOW model as shown in Figure 11. This is based in recent survey of the existing pipe infrastructure (June 2019). Pipe diameters are shown in metres in Figure 11.

All other model parameters and assumptions remain unchanged.




Figure 11 Existing site pipe drainage

5.2.1 Development earthworks

The proposed development has been incorporated into the TUFLOW model based on the latest earthworks design tin provided by the project civil engineers (Calibre Consulting).

5.2.2 Mitigation measures

Extensive iterative model assessments identified the following mitigation measures were required to avoid impacts external to the site:

- Sports field earthworks are designed to allow flooding during less frequent events (5% AEP and above)
- A 1200mm diameter culvert with a flood valve is included along the proposed eastern swale to minimize backwatering from Cabbage Tree Creek into the development via this swale – this minimizes the impacts of Cabbage Tree Creek flows into the site via this new connection to Cabbage Tree Creek.
- The rail corridor external to the property boundary will be protected from any increase in flood levels through the incorporation of an engineered flood barrier (~1m high) along the eastern boundary of the site - this avoids increases in flood levels external to the site adjacent to the rail line.

Details of the above mitigation measures are provided in Figure 12.




Figure 12 Proposed mitigation measures

The proposed rail flood barrier along the eastern boundary can take the form of a low blockwork wall and/or an earthen bund where space permits. This barrier can be combined with the future acoustic fence along the eastern boundary of the site e.g. the bottom of the acoustic fence takes the form of a blockwork wall with the acoustic fence installed above.

The extent and minimum flood levels for the flood barrier are provided Figure 12. This provides a 300mm freeboard to the expected 100 year developed flood levels. It should be noted that the flood barrier extends to the 1200mm dia culvert at the southern end and not to Cabbage Tree Creek to avoid constraining Cabbage Tree Creek flood flows and causing flood impacts downstream. The existing overland flow path at the north eastern end of the site is retained i.e. the rail flood barrier does not extend all the way to Beams Road.

5.3 RESULTS

Table 8 summarises peak flows immediately upstream of the Railway Bridge at Cabbage Tree Creek (reporting point 10), whilst Table 9 summarises peak water levels for pre and post conditions at various reporting location both within and external to the site. Figure 13 provides locations of reporting points.

Appendix A provides flood depth and impact maps for model runs. These include:

- Figure A1: Base case 39%AEP (Q₂) flood depth
- Figure A2: Base case 5% AEP (Q₂₀) flood depth
- Figure A3: Base case 1% (Q₁₀₀) flood depth
- Figure A4: Developed case 39% AEP (Q₂) flood depth
- Figure A5: Developed case 5% AEP (Q₂₀) flood depth
- Figure A6: Developed case 1% AEP (Q₁₀₀) flood depth
- Figure A7: Flood impact map 39% AEP (Q₂)
- Figure A8: Flood impact map 20%AEP (Q₅)
- Figure A9: Flood impact map 10% AEP (Q₁₀)
- Figure A10: Flood impact map 5% AEP (Q₂₀)
- Figure A11: Flood impact map 2% AEP (Q₅₀)
- Figure A12: Flood impact map 1% AEP (Q₁₀₀)
- Figure A13: Regional flood impact map 39% AEP (Q₂)
- Figure A14: Regional flood impact map 1% AEP (Q₁₀₀)

Table 8 Peak flows – Cabbage Tree Creek - Railway Bridge (Point 10)

AEP	Peak flow (m ³ /s)			Difference %
	Pre	Post	Difference	
39% (Q2)	74.80	74.66	-0.14	-0.2%
20% (Q5)	103.39	103.54	0.15	0.1%
10% (Q10)	122.74	122.59	-0.15	-0.1%
5% (Q20)	146.77	147.19	0.42	0.3%
2% (Q50)	176.57	176.68	0.11	0.1%
1% (Q100)	202.1	202.8	0.70	0.3%




Figure 13 Reporting locations

Table 9 Peak water levels

Water levels (mAHD)																		
ID	39%AEP			20%AEP			10%AEP			5%AEP			2%AEP			1%AEP		
	pre	post	difference	pre	post	difference	pre	post	difference	pre	post	difference	pre	post	difference	pre	post	difference
1	17.791	17.791	0.000	18.270	18.270	0.000	18.53221	18.5323	0.000	18.804	18.804	0.000	18.999	18.999	0.000	19.077	19.077	0.000
2	16.866	16.866	0.000	17.364	17.364	0.000	17.638	17.639	0.000	17.941	17.942	0.000	18.169	18.169	0.000	18.262	18.262	0.000
3	15.475	15.476	0.001	16.008	16.010	0.002	16.272	16.274	0.001	16.542	16.543	0.001	16.717	16.717	0.000	16.779	16.779	0.000
4	15.165	15.167	0.002	15.693	15.696	0.003	15.953	15.955	0.002	16.217	16.218	0.001	16.372	16.373	0.001	16.428	16.428	0.000
5	14.553	14.557	0.004	15.083	15.090	0.006	15.318	15.322	0.004	15.543	15.545	0.002	15.703	15.705	0.002	15.796	15.797	0.001
6	13.739	13.742	0.003	14.217	14.222	0.006	14.462	14.467	0.005	14.734	14.739	0.005	15.044	15.048	0.004	15.267	15.270	0.003
7	13.387	13.388	0.001	13.831	13.835	0.004	14.064	14.067	0.004	14.331	14.337	0.006	14.635	14.638	0.003	14.875	14.879	0.004
8	12.934	12.932	-0.002	13.306	13.306	-0.001	13.499	13.498	-0.001	13.715	13.718	0.004	13.961	13.964	0.003	14.191	14.197	0.007
9	12.299	12.301	0.002	12.664	12.663	-0.002	12.867	12.859	-0.008	13.126	13.122	-0.004	13.443	13.437	-0.006	13.739	13.740	0.001
10	11.684	11.683	-0.002	12.084	12.086	0.002	12.338	12.335	-0.002	12.692	12.695	0.003	13.098	13.101	0.003	13.462	13.470	0.009
11	11.405	11.402	-0.002	11.799	11.800	0.001	12.039	12.037	-0.002	12.309	12.311	0.002	12.565	12.567	0.002	12.755	12.759	0.004
12	11.134	11.131	-0.003	11.573	11.575	0.001	11.835	11.834	-0.002	12.120	12.122	0.002	12.376	12.378	0.002	12.561	12.565	0.004
13	11.029	11.027	-0.002	11.484	11.485	0.001	11.750	11.748	-0.002	12.035	12.038	0.002	12.286	12.288	0.002	12.464	12.468	0.004
14	10.955	10.953	-0.002	11.395	11.396	0.001	11.643	11.642	-0.002	11.901	11.903	0.002	12.118	12.119	0.002	12.272	12.275	0.003
15	9.854	9.851	-0.003	10.346	10.346	0.001	10.596	10.594	-0.001	10.846	10.848	0.002	11.067	11.071	0.005	11.244	11.245	0.001
16	dry	dry	NA	dry	dry	NA	dry	dry	NA	16.109	16.109	0.000	16.240	16.240	0.001	16.282	16.282	0.000
17	dry	dry	NA	dry	dry	NA	dry	dry	NA	15.037	15.039	0.001	15.148	15.148	0.001	15.206	15.207	0.001
18	dry	dry	NA	dry	dry	NA	dry	dry	NA	dry	dry	NA	14.824	14.753	-0.071	14.919	14.849	-0.070
19	dry	dry	NA	dry	dry	NA	dry	dry	NA	dry	dry	NA	dry	dry	NA	14.252	14.307	0.055
20	12.810	dry	NA	12.911	dry	NA	13.037	dry	NA	13.213	12.865	-0.347	13.426	13.175	-0.251	13.529	13.481	-0.048
21	12.401	dry	NA	12.618	12.041	-0.577	12.791	12.310	-0.482	13.095	12.690	-0.406	13.383	13.122	-0.261	13.520	13.457	-0.063
22	11.961	11.964	0.003	12.720	12.717	-0.003	12.942	12.934	-0.008	13.254	13.254	-0.001	13.692	13.692	0.001	14.024	14.030	0.007
23	13.402	13.403	0.001	13.855	13.861	0.005	14.103	14.107	0.005	14.385	14.390	0.005	14.715	14.718	0.003	14.979	14.984	0.005
24	14.969	14.969	0.000	15.222	15.228	0.006	15.275	15.285	0.010	15.318	15.313	-0.005	15.357	15.358	0.000	15.403	15.403	0.000
25	12.860	dry	NA	13.061	dry	NA	13.118	12.992	-0.126	13.247	13.234	-0.013	13.442	13.401	-0.040	13.518	13.467	-0.051

5.3.1 Peak flows

Peak flows upstream at the Railway Bridge over the range of storm events up to the 1% AEP (100yr ARI) are effectively retained at predeveloped levels (+0.3% to -0.2%). For the 1% AEP a minor increase is observed and represents a 0.3% increase. No adverse impacts downstream of the Bridge are observed in all events tested.

5.3.2 Flood inundation – existing case

Existing case flood inundation maps indicate flooding of low-lying areas at the north eastern corner of the site occurs on a frequent basis. Existing drainage within the site directs this more frequent drainage to the existing drainage outfalls to Cabbage Tree Creek. No flooding of Beams Rd is expected for the more frequent flood events. Figure 14 shows inundation mapping for the minor 39% AEP (2 yr) event.

At the 5% AEP (20 yr ARI event - see Figure 15) breakout from Cabbage Tree Creek occurs along the northern bank at the western end of the site. These breakout flows are then predicted to flow generally in a north-east direction at shallow depths through the site. Inundation in the north-east of the site is constrained west of the rail corridor. Shallow flooding of Beams Road is expected in this case and is anticipated to extend north of Beams Road.

In the 1% AEP event (refer to Figure 16) there is a significant increase in the inundation area of breakout flows through the site. While there is a large increase in the inundation extent, the actual flood depths predicted over most of this area remain typically less than 250mm. Inundation is also predicted to occur across the rail corridor at the north eastern boundary of the site and extends along Beams Road and adjacent existing developed areas to the north and east. Flow depths are noted to be mostly less than 250mm in this case, except for low lying areas adjacent to the rail corridor.

Flooding across the site resulting from Cabbage Tree Creek breakout flows is characterised by shallow (typically less than 250mm), conveyance dominated flows. Consequently, flood storage influences are expected to be minor. For this reason, it would be expected that a loss of floodplain storage in these areas would be unlikely to cause significant adverse flood impacts. This is discussed in the following sections.




Figure 14 39% AEP flood inundation - existing conditions




Figure 15 5% AEP flood inundation - existing conditions




Figure 16 1% AEP flood inundation - existing conditions

5.3.3 Flood impacts

Table 9 previously summarises peak water levels for pre and post conditions at various reporting locations for the 39% AEP to 1% AEP model runs. Flood impacts maps for the 39% AEP to 1% AEP are included in Appendix A.

Flood impact maps demonstrate no significant adverse impacts occurring external to the site as a result of the development, with the proposed mitigation measures included.

Improved flood conditions are observed at Beams Road and the rail line at the north-east corner of the site. This is because much of the site drainage is directed to Cabbage Tree Creek as part of the development. Furthermore, during larger magnitude events, the proposed development filling restricts Cabbage Tree Creek breakout flow from entering this area.

Impacts noted on the afflux maps are typically contained within the site boundary and are associated with flooding of the sports fields (above 5% AEP event) and the operation of the development drainage swales. This is expected. Other low-lying riparian bushland areas already subject to flooding within the site also experience localised increases in flooding south west of the sports fields, however this does not impact on any existing facilities or infrastructure. Increases in flooding within the site as described above help offset loss of flood storage. Commercial and residential lots are protected from flooding during the 1% AEP (100 year ARI) event.

Minor impacts (typically up to 50mm) external to the site at the south eastern boundary are noted, however these occur in a low-lying bushland area currently subject to flooding from Cabbage Tree Creek and is not considered an actionable nuisance.




Figure 17 1% AEP flood impacts

5.3.4 Flood storage

An assessment of the impacts of development on flood storage has been completed for the 1% AEP event. This is to review compensatory earthworks, in line with BCC compensatory earthworks planning scheme policy for developments within mapped creek corridors.

Flood storage volumes within the site boundary have been calculated for the existing case and developed case scenarios. Table 10 summarises the estimated flood storage volumes, based on the current model assumptions.

Table 10 Flood storage volumes – 1% AEP

Scenario	Flood storage (m ³)
Existing conditions	44,929
Developed case	38,208
Loss in storage	6,721

Overall, the flood modelling predicts that a loss of flood storage will occur (~15%). Despite this, the modelling also demonstrates that no significant adverse offsite flood impacts are expected to occur along Cabbage Tree Creek and improved flood conditions can be expected at both Beams Road and the rail line at the north east of the site. This is because the storage loss is relatively minor in the context of the regional floodplain and the site largely serves a flood conveyance (or overland flow) function as opposed to a flood storage function for Cabbage Tree Creek floodwaters.

6 MAINTENANCE

WSUD infrastructure such as bioretention basins require ongoing inspection and maintenance to ensure they establish and operate in accordance with the design intent. Potential problems associated with WSUD as a result of poor maintenance include:

- Decreased aesthetic amenity;
- Reduced functional performance;
- Public health and safety risks; and
- Decreased habitat diversity (dominance of exotic weeds).

6.1 MAINTENANCE PLAN

A Maintenance Plan will be required prior to handover of WSUD assets. The plan will provide detailed guidance around maintenance of WSUD assets, as well as frequency of maintenance activities. The manual will include performance inspection checklists. The document will be consistent with the methodologies and principles detailed in *Maintaining WSUD Assets (Water by Design, 2012)*.

The maintenance plan and checklists will be a living document and can be refined where required in collaboration with Council assets and maintenance departments to ensure the structure and frequency of maintenance is consistent with current Council procedures. This will also provide an opportunity for transfer of knowledge in this regard to allow Council to effectively operate the sediment ponds and bioretention basin.

6.1.1 Bioretention basins

Typical maintenance of bioretention systems during operation will involve:

- Routine inspection of the bio-retention system profile to identify any areas of obvious increased sediment deposition, scouring from storm flows, rill erosion of the batters from lateral inflows, damage to the profile from vehicles and clogging of the bio-retention system (evident by a 'boggy' filter media surface).
- Routine inspection of inflows systems, overflow pits and under-drains to identify and clean any areas of scour, litter build up and blockages.
- Removal of sediment where it is smothering the bio-retention system vegetation.
- Repairing any damage to the profile resulting from scour, rill erosion or vehicle damage by replacement of appropriate fill (to match onsite soils) and revegetating.
- Tilling of the bioretention system surface, or removal of the surface layer, if there is evidence of clogging.
- Regular watering/ irrigation of vegetation until plants are established and actively growing.
- Removal and management of invasive weeds (herbicides should not be used).

- Removal of plants that have died and replacement with plants of equivalent size and species as detailed in the plant schedule.
- Pruning to remove dead or diseased vegetation material and to stimulate growth.
- Vegetation pest monitoring and control.

Maintenance should only occur after a reasonably rain free period when the soil in the bioretention system is dry. Inspections are also recommended following large storm events to check for scour and other damage.

7 CONCLUSION

An updated stormwater management strategy has been developed for the Carseldine Urban Village to meet the requirements of the *State Planning Policy* (DLGIP, 2017), QUDM and *Brisbane City Council Planning Scheme*.

STORMWATER TREATMENT

The updated strategy includes two (2) bioretention basins that treat development runoff prior to discharge to Cabbage Tree Creek:

- Bioretention Basin B1 265m² filter area treating Stages 2, 3 (part of) and S
- Bioretention Basin B2 500m² filter area total treating the remainder of the development (Stages 1,3 (part of), 4 and 5)

Drainage swales along the eastern boundary of the site and at the southern boundary of the Stage S sports fields also provide additional treatment.

FLOODING

Flood impact assessment demonstrates no significant impacts occurring external to the site as a result of development. Some afflux (~50mm) is observed immediately south east of the development boundary, however this afflux occurs within a low-lying flood prone bushland area and is not considered an actionable nuisance.

Improved flood conditions are observed at Beams Road and the rail line at the north-east end of the site. This is because much of the site drainage will be directed to Cabbage Tree Creek. Furthermore, during larger magnitude events, the proposed development fill restricts Cabbage Tree Creek breakout flow from entering this area.

Required mitigation measures to manage flood impacts external to the site include:

- Providing flood storage over the sports field zone for events greater than the 5% AEP (20 year ARI)
- incorporation of a 1200mm dia pipe with one-way flap valve along the new drainage swale draining the eastern half of the development – this minimizes the impacts of Cabbage Tree Creek flows into the site via this new connection to Cabbage Tree Creek
- inclusion of a flood barrier along the eastern boundary of the site (~1m high) – this avoids increases in flood levels external to the site adjacent to the rail line

Updated regional modelling and detailed local modelling will occur as part of continuing design development for the site.

8 REFERENCES

Australian Rainfall and Runoff (1987). *A Guide to Flood Estimation*. Engineers Australia

Calibre (2017). *Flood Impact Assessment & Concept Stormwater Management Plan – Carseldine Urban Village (Master Plan)*. Prepared for Economic Development Queensland.


DLGIP (2017). *State Planning Policy*

Healthy Waterways (2010). *MUSIC Modelling Guidelines*

QUDM (2007). *Queensland Urban Drainage Manual*. Second Edition 2007. Department of Natural Resources and Water

SGS (2017). *Geotechnical Investigation Report – Carseldine Urban Village, Beams Road, Carseldine*. Prepared for Economic Development Queensland.

APPENDIX A – TUFLOW MODEL OUTPUTS




LEGEND

- Site
- Cadastral Data
- Peak Flood Height Contours (m AHD)

Depth (m)

- Less than 0.25
- 0.25 to 0.5
- 0.5 to 0.75
- 0.75 to 1
- 1 to 1.5
- 1.5 to 2
- Greater than 2

FIGURE A1



© Hydrology and Water Management Consulting Pty Ltd (HWMC) 2019.
HWMC endeavours to ensure that the information contained in this figure is correct at the time of publication. Furthermore, HWMC makes no representations, warranties or guarantees about its accuracy.

Carseldine Urban Village

Peak Flood Depth & Peak Flood Level Contours

Existing Case (TUFLOW ID B01d)

39% AEP Event (Q002)

Client: Economic Development Queensland

LEGEND

-  Site
-  Cadastral Data
-  Peak Flood Height Contours (m AHD)

Depth (m)








-  Less than 0.25
-  0.25 to 0.5
-  0.5 to 0.75
-  0.75 to 1
-  1 to 1.5
-  1.5 to 2
-  Greater than 2

FIGURE A2



© Hydrology and Water Management Consulting Pty Ltd (HWMC) 2019.
HWMC endeavours to ensure that the information contained in this figure is correct at the time of publication. Furthermore, HWMC makes no representations, warranties or guarantees about its accuracy.


Carseldine Urban Village

Peak Flood Depth & Peak Flood Level Contours

**Existing Case
(TUFLOW ID B01d)**

5% AEP Event (Q020)

Client: Economic Development Queensland



LEGEND

-  Site
-  Cadastral Data
-  Peak Flood Height Contours (m AHD)

Depth (m)








-  Less than 0.25
-  0.25 to 0.5
-  0.5 to 0.75
-  0.75 to 1
-  1 to 1.5
-  1.5 to 2
-  Greater than 2

FIGURE A3



© Hydrology and Water Management Consulting Pty Ltd (HWMC) 2019.
HWMC endeavours to ensure that the information contained in this figure is correct at the time of publication. Furthermore, HWMC makes no representations, warranties or guarantees about its accuracy.


Carseldine Urban Village

Peak Flood Depth & Peak Flood Level Contours



Existing Case
(TUFLOW ID B01d)

1% AEP Event (Q100)

Client: Economic Development Queensland



LEGEND

-  Site
-  Cadastral Data
-  Peak Flood Height Contours (m AHD)

Depth (m)








-  Less than 0.25
-  0.25 to 0.5
-  0.5 to 0.75
-  0.75 to 1
-  1 to 1.5
-  1.5 to 2
-  Greater than 2

FIGURE A4



© Hydrology and Water Management Consulting Pty Ltd (HWMC) 2019.
HWMC endeavours to ensure that the information contained in this figure is correct at the time of publication. Furthermore, HWMC makes no representations, warranties or guarantees about its accuracy.


Carseldine Urban Village

Peak Flood Depth & Peak Flood Level Contours



**Proposed Case
(TUFLOW ID P02)**

39% AEP Event (Q2)

Client: Economic Development Queensland



LEGEND

-  Site
-  Cadastral Data
-  Peak Flood Height Contours (m AHD)

Depth (m)








-  Less than 0.25
-  0.25 to 0.5
-  0.5 to 0.75
-  0.75 to 1
-  1 to 1.5
-  1.5 to 2
-  Greater than 2

FIGURE A5



© Hydrology and Water Management Consulting Pty Ltd (HWMC) 2019.
HWMC endeavours to ensure that the information contained in this figure is correct at the time of publication. Furthermore, HWMC makes no representations, warranties or guarantees about its accuracy.


Carseldine Urban Village

Peak Flood Depth & Peak Flood Level Contours

**Proposed Case
(TUFLOW ID P02)**

5% AEP Event (Q20)

Client: Economic Development Queensland



LEGEND

-  Site
-  Cadastral Data
-  Peak Flood Height Contours (m AHD)

Depth (m)








-  Less than 0.25
-  0.25 to 0.5
-  0.5 to 0.75
-  0.75 to 1
-  1 to 1.5
-  1.5 to 2
-  Greater than 2

FIGURE A6



© Hydrology and Water Management Consulting Pty Ltd (HWMC) 2019. HWMC endeavours to ensure that the information contained in this figure is correct at the time of publication. Furthermore, HWMC makes no representations, warranties or guarantees about its accuracy.


Carseldine Urban Village

Peak Flood Depth & Peak Flood Level Contours






**Proposed Case
(TUFLOW ID P02J)**

1% AEP Event (Q100)

Client: Economic Development Queensland



LEGEND

-  Site
-  Cadastral Data
-  LiDAR Contours (5m)
-  URBS Sub-Catchments (BCC)
-  Updated Sub-Catchments

Impact (m)















-  Less than -0.2
-  -0.1 to -0.2
-  -0.05 to -0.1
-  -0.02 to -0.05
-  -0.01 to -0.02
-  -0.01 to 0.01
-  0.01 to 0.02
-  0.02 to 0.05
-  0.05 to 0.1
-  0.1 to 0.2
-  Greater than 0.2
-  Was Wet - Now Dry
-  Was Dry - Now Wet

FIGURE A7



© Hydrology and Water Management Consulting Pty Ltd (HWMC) 2019.
HWMC endeavours to ensure that the information contained in this figure is correct at the time of publication. Furthermore, HWMC makes no representations, warranties or guarantees about its accuracy.


Carseldine Urban Village

**Peak Flood Level Impacts
Proposed Vs Existing Case**






(TUFLOW Case P02j Vs B01d)

39%AEP Event (Q002)

Client: Economic Development Queensland



LEGEND

-  Site
-  Cadastral Data
-  LiDAR Contours (5m)
-  URBS Sub-Catchments (BCC)
-  Updated Sub-Catchments

Impact (m)















-  Less than -0.2
-  -0.1 to -0.2
-  -0.05 to -0.1
-  -0.02 to -0.05
-  -0.01 to -0.02
-  -0.01 to 0.01
-  0.01 to 0.02
-  0.02 to 0.05
-  0.05 to 0.1
-  0.1 to 0.2
-  Greater than 0.2
-  Was Wet - Now Dry
-  Was Dry - Now Wet

FIGURE A8



© Hydrology and Water Management Consulting Pty Ltd (HWMC) 2019.
HWMC endeavours to ensure that the information contained in this figure is correct at the time of publication. Furthermore, HWMC makes no representations, warranties or guarantees about its accuracy.


Carseldine Urban Village

**Peak Flood Level Impacts
Proposed Vs Existing Case**






(TUFLOW Case P02j Vs B01d)

20% AEP Event (Q5)

Client: Economic Development Queensland



LEGEND

-  Site
-  Cadastral Data
-  LiDAR Contours (5m)
-  URBS Sub-Catchments (BCC)
-  Updated Sub-Catchments

Impact (m)















-  Less than -0.2
-  -0.1 to -0.2
-  -0.05 to -0.1
-  -0.02 to -0.05
-  -0.01 to -0.02
-  -0.01 to 0.01
-  0.01 to 0.02
-  0.02 to 0.05
-  0.05 to 0.1
-  0.1 to 0.2
-  Greater than 0.2
-  Was Wet - Now Dry
-  Was Dry - Now Wet

FIGURE A9



© Hydrology and Water Management Consulting Pty Ltd (HWMC) 2019.
HWMC endeavours to ensure that the information contained in this figure is correct at the time of publication. Furthermore, HWMC makes no representations, warranties or guarantees about its accuracy.


Carseldine Urban Village

**Peak Flood Level Impacts
Proposed Vs Existing Case**

(TUFLOW Case P02j Vs B01d)

10% AEP Event (Q10)

Client: Economic Development Queensland



LEGEND

- Site
- Cadastral Data
- LiDAR Contours (5m)
- URBS Sub-Catchments (BCC)
- Updated Sub-Catchments

Impact (m)

- Less than -0.2
- 0.1 to -0.2
- 0.05 to -0.1
- 0.02 to -0.05
- 0.01 to -0.02
- 0.01 to 0.01
- 0.01 to 0.02
- 0.02 to 0.05
- 0.05 to 0.1
- 0.1 to 0.2
- Greater than 0.2
- Was Wet - Now Dry
- Was Dry - Now Wet

FIGURE A10



© Hydrology and Water Management Consulting Pty Ltd (HWMC) 2018.
HWMC endeavours to ensure that the information contained in this figure is correct at the time of publication. Furthermore, HWMC makes no representations, warranties or guarantees about its accuracy.


Carseldine Urban Village

**Peak Flood Level Impacts
Proposed Vs Existing Case**






(TUFLOW Case P02j Vs B01d)

%A QEP Event (5 20)

Client: Economic Development Queensland



LEGEND

-  Site
-  Cadastral Data
-  LiDAR Contours (5m)
-  URBS Sub-Catchments (BCC)
-  Updated Sub-Catchments

Impact (m)















-  Less than -0.2
-  -0.1 to -0.2
-  -0.05 to -0.1
-  -0.02 to -0.05
-  -0.01 to -0.02
-  -0.01 to 0.01
-  0.01 to 0.02
-  0.02 to 0.05
-  0.05 to 0.1
-  0.1 to 0.2
-  Greater than 0.2
-  Was Wet - Now Dry
-  Was Dry - Now Wet

FIGURE A11



© Hydrology and Water Management Consulting Pty Ltd (HWMC) 2019.
HWMC endeavours to ensure that the information contained in this figure is correct at the time of publication. Furthermore, HWMC makes no representations, warranties or guarantees about its accuracy.


Carseldine Urban Village

**Peak Flood Level Impacts
Proposed Vs Existing Case**






(TUFLOW Case P02j Vs B01d)

2%AEP Event (Q050)

Client: Economic Development Queensland



LEGEND

-  Site
-  Cadastral Data
-  LiDAR Contours (5m)
-  URBS Sub-Catchments (BCC)
-  Updated Sub-Catchments

Impact (m)














-  Less than -0.2
-  -0.1 to -0.2
-  -0.05 to -0.1
-  -0.02 to -0.05
-  -0.01 to -0.02
-  -0.01 to 0.01
-  0.01 to 0.02
-  0.02 to 0.05
-  0.05 to 0.1
-  0.1 to 0.2
-  Greater than 0.2
-  Was Wet - Now Dry
-  Was Dry - Now Wet

FIGURE A12



© Hydrology and Water Management Consulting Pty Ltd (HWMC) 2019.
HWMC endeavours to ensure that the information contained in this figure is correct at the time of publication. Furthermore, HWMC makes no representations, warranties or guarantees about its accuracy.


Carseldine Urban Village


**Peak Flood Level Impacts
Proposed Vs Existing Case**

(TUFLOW Case P02j Vs B01d)

1% AEP Event (Q100)

Client: Economic Development Queensland






LEGEND

- Site
- Cadastral Data
- LiDAR Contours (5m)
- URBS Sub-Catchments (BCC)
- Updated Sub-Catchments

Impact (m)

- Less than -0.2
- 0.1 to -0.2
- 0.05 to -0.1
- 0.02 to -0.05
- 0.01 to -0.02
- 0.01 to 0.01
- 0.01 to 0.02
- 0.02 to 0.05
- 0.05 to 0.1
- 0.1 to 0.2
- Greater than 0.2
- Was Wet - Now Dry
- Was Dry - Now Wet

FIGURE A13



© Hydrology and Water Management Consulting Pty Ltd (HWMC) 2019.
HWMC endeavours to ensure that the information contained in this figure is correct at the time of publication. Furthermore, HWMC makes no representations, warranties or guarantees about its accuracy.

Carseldine Urban Village






**Peak Flood Level Impacts
Proposed Vs Existing Case**

(TUFLOW Case P02j Vs B01d)

39% AEP Event (Q2)

Client: Economic Development Queensland

LEGEND

-  Site
-  Cadastral Data
-  LiDAR Contours (5m)
-  URBS Sub-Catchments (BCC)
-  Updated Sub-Catchments

Impact (m)















-  Less than -0.2
-  -0.1 to -0.2
-  -0.05 to -0.1
-  -0.02 to -0.05
-  -0.01 to -0.02
-  -0.01 to 0.01
-  0.01 to 0.02
-  0.02 to 0.05
-  0.05 to 0.1
-  0.1 to 0.2
-  Greater than 0.2
-  Was Wet - Now Dry
-  Was Dry - Now Wet

FIGURE A14



© Hydrology and Water Management Consulting Pty Ltd (HWMC) 2019.
HWMC endeavours to ensure that the information contained in this figure is correct at the time of publication. Furthermore, HWMC makes no representations, warranties or guarantees about its accuracy.

Carseldine Urban Village

**Peak Flood Level Impacts
Proposed Vs Existing Case**

(TUFLOW Case P02j Vs B01d)

1% AEP Event (Q100)

Client: Economic Development Queensland

