TECHNICAL I	MEMORANDUM	PLANS AND DOCUMENTS referred to in the PDA DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL					
		Approval	no: DEV2019/1074	Government			
To:	Richard Bender - EDQ	Date:	26 March 2020				
From:	Ralph Williams / Shaun Leinster DesignFlow						
Date:	24 February 2020						
Subject:	Carseldine Urban Village - Addendum to Carseldine Urban Village – Local flood assessment to support Stage 1 development						
Attachments	1. Flood barrier works for S	tage 1					

1 INTRODUCTION

This technical memorandum provides recommended outcomes for stormwater related items for Carseldine Urban Village Stage 1 development, based on 3rd party reviews by BMT of the Stage 1 flood impact assessments and subsequent discussions with EDQ.

2 BACKGROUND

In support of the Carseldine Urban Village Stage 1 application, DesignFlow completed a detailed local flood assessment, as detailed in *Carseldine Urban Village – Local flood assessment to support Stage 1 development (DesignFlow 10 October 2019).* This shall be referred to as the *Stage 1 Local Flood Assessment* in this technical memorandum.

The flood modelling presented in the *Stage 1 Local Flood Assessment* was completed to inform detail drainage design for Stage 1 development to manage local impacts and demonstrate no flood impacts external to the site as a result of Stage 1 development. The local modelling was completed using the a WBNM rainfall runoff model that feeds local catchment hydrographs into a 1D/2D TUFLOW hydraulic model. Full details of the model setup are provided in the *Stage 1 Local Flood Assessment*. A summary of the model setup is reproduced here in Figure 1.

Local modelling for Stage 1 did not include the flood bund along the eastern boundary of the site as this was not originally intended to be included with Stage 1 works.

Outcomes from the flood impact assessments included:

- Flood level reductions at Beams Rd and areas north of Beams Rd for all events modelled
- Flood level reductions along the rail corridor for all events modelled.



Figure 1 – Local TUFLOW model setup

3 3RD PARTY REVIEW

BMT completed a 3rd party review of the flood modelling associated with Stage 1 development (BMT – *Carseldine Urban Village – Dev 2017/864 – Stage 1 Drainage Peer Review – 21st October 2019*). Formal responses to the 3rd party review were provided by DesignFlow to EDQ (refer to DesignFlow letter response *Carseldine Urban Village Development – Stage 1 drainage peer review – 1st November*, 2019). BMT provided a subsequent letter response (Carseldine Urban Village – Dev 2017/864 Stage 1 Drainage Peer Review – 11th November 2019) and following this a telecom meeting with EDQ, BMT and DesignFlow occurred on the 28th November, 2019 to resolve an agreed outcome for Stage 1 approval. The agreed remaining items to be resolved for Stage 1 development included:

- Item 1 Flood barrier along the eastern boundary of the site consultation be held with TMR/QR
- Item 2 Testing the requirement of the proposed flap valve on the 1200mm pipe culvert
- Item 3 Review flood storage volumes within the BCC waterway corridor extent
- Item 4 Model updates and sensitivity testing post Stage 1

The following provides a summary of the actions completed for each item above and the recommendations relevant to Stage 1 approval.

3.1 ITEM 1 – FLOOD BARRIER ALONG EASTERN BOUNDARY

Since the Stage 1 3rd party review, EDQ have had discussions with TMR regarding flood impacts along the rail corridor zone. TMR have stated that they will not accept any impact in this zone. Although local modelling for Stage 1 had indicated no impacts along the rail corridor with no flood barrier included, there remains a risk in the regional flood case that impacts could occur in this corridor with no flood barrier in place. Whilst this does not cause flooding of the rail line, EDQ have advised that the flood bund is to be constructed as part of Stage 1 works to eliminate the risk of flooding along the rail corridor zone.

Attachment 1 provides details of the proposed flood barrier works. This flood barrier will be combined with the acoustic fence, where applicable. This is consistent with what was previously proposed to eliminate impacts along the rail corridor zone, as detailed in the Carseldine Urban Village - Updated Stormwater Management Plan (DesignFlow October 2019). The top flood barrier levels are based on predicted 100 year flood levels associated with ultimate development conditions, with a minimum 300mm freeboard applied. These flood barrier levels are insensitive to the flap valve on the 1200mm RCP and are related to regional flood effects.

RECOMMENDED ACTION RELEVANT TO STAGE 1: INSTALL THE FLOOD BARRIER ALONG EASTERN BOUNDARY OF THE SITE AS PART OF STAGE 1 WORKS AS PER ATTACHMENT 1

3.2 ITEM 2 – FLAP VALVE

Previous Stage 1 local modelling was completed without the inclusion of the flood barrier along the eastern boundary. This required the inclusion of a flap valve on the 1200mmRCP to avoid impacts within the rail corridor as a result of backwatering from Cabbage Tree Creek, particularly during more frequent local storm events. Given the rail bund will now be completed as part of Stage 1 works to avoid impacts in the rail corridor, the requirement for the flap valve will be tested with the rail bund included. Should a flap valve be required this will be installed prior to plan sealing for Stage 1.

Please note that the current design of the 1200mm RCP has taken into consideration the possibility of installing a flap at a future date. Refer to Calibre plans for details.

RECOMMENDED ACTION RELEVANT TO STAGE 1: ENSURE THE DESIGN OF THE CULVERT CROSSING CAN ACCOMMODATE A FLAP VALVE AND TEST THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE FLAP VALVE AND INSTALL IF REQUIRED PRIOR TO STAGE 1 PLAN SEALING

3.3 ITEM 3 – FLOOD STORAGE

Previous flood storage volume calculations presented in the Carseldine Urban Village Updated Stormwater Management Plan (DesignFlow, October 2019) indicated a loss of storage as a result of development. This value was based on the full extent of flooding within the development site for pre and post conditions.

Compliance with BCC flood overlays for loss of flood plain storage is only required within the waterway extents defined for Cabbage Tree Creek. Revised flood storage calculations within the development were completed for flood storage volumes within the BCC waterway extent only. The following volumes were determined under ultimate development conditions, with the influence of the pedestrian bridge crossing Cabbage Tree Creek included:

- Existing flood storage: 99,276 m³
- Ultimate developed case flood storage: 99,608 m³

• Overall gain in flood storage = 332 m³

RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR STAGE 1: NO FURTHER ACTION REQUIRED – COMPLIANCE WITH BCC FLOOD OVERLAY DEMONSTRATED FOR ULTIMATED DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS

3.4 MODEL UPDATES AND SENSITIVITY TESTING – POST STAGE 1

Model updates and sensitivity testing scenarios were recommended as part of the 3rd party review. The agreed model updates and sensitivity testing to occur as part of future modelling exercises include:

- Remove Cabbage Tree Creek from the local model and adopt a fixed tailwater based on a 5 year flood regional level
- Sensitivity testing of local modelling results using ARR 1987 procedures
- Sensitivity testing of blockage of the 1200mm RCP

It was agreed with BMT that the above be completed post Stage 1 and be included with necessary model updates required to support Stage 2 application.

RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR STAGE 1: NO FURTHER ACTION REQUIRED – MODEL UPDATES AND SCENARIO TESTING TO OCCUR POST STAGE 1 AS AGREED WITH BMT

Based on 3rd party reviews and subsequent discussions with EDQ no further stormwater related items remain outstanding for Stage 1 development.

Prepared by: Ralph Williams Reviewed/Certified by:

Shaun Leinster RPEQ 15637 **DesignFlow**

Attachments:

1. Flood barrier works for Stage 1



11.545	11.465		11.359	11.300		61711	
14.130	14.130		14.130 14.130	14.130	061 11	201	
12.717	12.741		12.914	12.810	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	17.171	
280.000	300.000		326.230	340.000		000.000	
				PDA. I	REF NO. DEV/·		
PROJECT	ARSELDINE URBAI STAGE 1	N VILLAGE	DRAWING TITLE ACOUSTIC FENCE LAYOUT PLAN SHEET 1 OF 2				
DISCLAIMER ALL DIMENSIONS TO BE CHECKED ON SITE BY CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. USE WRITTEN DIMENSIONS ONLY, DO NOT SCALE.			PROJECT No 15-00	3002.01	DRAWING NO. SK1250	revision 1	



F	EVISION	DATE	ISSUE DETAILS	DRAWN	DESIGN	DRAWN CHECK		SCALE 1:500 10 5 0 10 20m A1	CLIENT	
F	1	21.02.20	ISSUED FOR INFORMATION	AA	AA		FOR APPROVAL	1:1000 A3		
						1	NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION	1:500 10 5 0 10 20m A1		
								1:1000	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	
H						DESIGN CHECK		HORIZONTAL	QUEENSLAND	colloro
ŀ						1		1:50 1 0.5 0 1 2m A1		
						1		1:100 A3		
							FOR & ON BEHALF OF CALIBRE CONSULTING (QLD) PTY LTD	VERTICAL		C calibregroup.com

	5
5	
	/
5	
/	
\sim / ³	
\mathbf{X}	
5	
´	
\mathbf{X}	
\sim	
\sim	
(-)	\mathbf{X}
	\sim
	\sim
	\sim
	\geq
	~ /
I Stall	
	\backslash
	\mathbf{X}
	\sim
	\backslash
	\backslash
/	\sim
	\mathbf{n}
	\wedge
	/ \
	/ \
	/
	PDA. REF NO. DEV/
PROJECT	
CARSELDINE URBAN VILLAGE	
CARSELDINE URBAN VILLAGE STAGE 1	
CARSELDINE URBAN VILLAGE STAGE 1	DRAWING TITLE ACOUSTIC FENCE LAYOUT PLAN SHEET 2 OF 2
CARSELDINE URBAN VILLAGE STAGE 1	DRAWING TITLE ACOUSTIC FENCE LAYOUT PLAN SHEET 2 OF 2 PROJECT NO. DRAWING NO. REVISION
PROJECT CARSELDINE URBAN VILLAGE STAGE 1 DISCLAIMER ALL DIMENSIONS TO BE CHECKED ON SITE BY CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION, USE WRITTEN DIMENSIONS ONLY, DO NOT SCALE.	DRAWING TITLE ACOUSTIC FENCE LAYOUT PLAN SHEET 2 OF 2 PROJECT NO. 15-003002.01 PROVENTION OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTR